

**POLICY STATEMENT TO REGULATION 52-110
RESPECTING AUDIT COMMITTEES**

TABLE OF CONTENT

<u>PART</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
PART 1	GENERAL	1
	1.1 Purpose	1
	1.2 Application to Non-Corporate Entities	1
	1.3 Management Companies	1
	1.4 Audit Committee Procedures	1
PART 2	THE ROLE OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	2
	2.1 The Role of the Audit Committee	2
	2.2 Relationship between External Auditors and Shareholders	2
	2.3 Public Disclosure of Financial Information	2
PART 3	INDEPENDENCE	3
	3.1 Meaning of Independence	3
	3.2 Derivation of Definition	3
	3.3 Safe Harbour	3
PART 4	FINANCIAL LITERACY, FINANCIAL EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE	4
	4.1 Financial Literacy	4
	4.2 Financial Education and Experience	4
PART 5	NON-AUDIT SERVICES	4
	5.1 Pre-Approval of Non-Audit Services	4
PART 6	DISCLOSURE OBLIGATIONS	5
	6.1 Incorporation by Reference	5

**POLICY STATEMENT TO REGULATION 52-110
RESPECTING AUDIT COMMITTEES**

PART 1 GENERAL

1.1 Purpose

Regulation 52-110 respecting Audit Committees (the Regulation) is a rule in each of Québec, Alberta, Manitoba, Ontario, Nova Scotia and Newfoundland and Labrador, a Commission regulation in Saskatchewan and Nunavut, a policy in New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island and the Yukon Territory, and a code in the Northwest Territories. We, the securities regulatory authorities in each of the foregoing jurisdictions (the Jurisdictions), have implemented the Regulation to encourage reporting issuers to establish and maintain strong, effective and independent audit committees. We believe that such audit committees enhance the quality of financial disclosure made by reporting issuers, and ultimately foster increased investor confidence in Canada's capital markets.

This Policy Statement (the Policy) provides information regarding the interpretation and application of the Regulation.

1.2 Application to Non-Corporate Entities

The Regulation applies to both corporate and non-corporate entities. Where the Regulation or this Policy refers to a particular corporate characteristic, such as a board of directors, the reference should be read to also include any equivalent characteristic of a non-corporate entity.

E.g., for an income trust to comply with the Regulation, the trustees should appoint a minimum of three trustees who are independent of the trust and the underlying business to act as an audit committee and fulfil the responsibilities of the audit committee imposed by the Regulation. Similarly, in the case of a limited partnership, the directors of the general partner who are independent of the limited partnership (including the general partner) should form an audit committee which fulfils these responsibilities.

If the structure of an issuer will not permit it to comply with the Regulation, the issuer should seek exemptive relief.

1.3 Management Companies

The definition of "executive officer" includes any individual who performs a policy-making function in respect of the entity in question. We consider this aspect of the definition to include an individual who, although not employed by the entity in question, nevertheless performs a policy-making function in respect of that entity, whether through another person or company or otherwise.

1.4 Audit Committee Procedures

The Regulation establishes requirements for the responsibilities, composition and authority of audit committees. Nothing in the Regulation is intended to restrict the ability of the board of directors or the audit committee to establish the committee's quorum or procedures, or to restrict the committee's ability to invite additional parties to attend audit committee meetings.

PART 2 THE ROLE OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

2.1 The Role of the Audit Committee

An audit committee is a committee of a board of directors to which the board delegates its responsibility for oversight of the financial reporting process. Traditionally, the audit committee has performed a number of roles, including

- helping directors meet their responsibilities,
- providing better communication between directors and the external auditors,
- enhancing the independence of the external auditor,
- increasing the credibility and objectivity of financial reports, and
- strengthening the role of the directors by facilitating in-depth discussions among directors, management and the external auditor.

The Regulation requires that the audit committee also be responsible for managing, on behalf of the shareholders, the relationship between the issuer and the external auditors. In particular, it provides that an audit committee must have responsibility for:

- (a) overseeing the work of the external auditors engaged for the purpose of preparing or issuing an auditor's report or related work; and
- (b) recommending to the board of directors the nomination and compensation of the external auditors.

Although under corporate law an issuer's external auditors are responsible to the shareholders, in practice, shareholders have often been too dispersed to effectively exercise meaningful oversight of the external auditors. As a result, management has typically assumed this oversight role. However, the auditing process may be compromised if the external auditors view their main responsibility as serving management rather than the shareholders. By assigning these responsibilities to an independent audit committee, the Regulation ensures that the external audit will be conducted independently of the issuer's management.

2.2 Relationship between External Auditors and Shareholders

Subsection 2.3(3) of the Regulation provides that an audit committee must be directly responsible for overseeing the work of the external auditors engaged for the purpose of preparing or issuing an auditor's report or performing other audit, review or attest services for the issuer, including the resolution of disagreements between management and the external auditors regarding financial reporting. Notwithstanding this responsibility, the external auditors are retained by, and are ultimately accountable to, the shareholders. As a result, subsection 2.3(3) does not detract from the external auditors' right and responsibility to also provide their views directly to the shareholders if they disagree with an approach being taken by the audit committee.

2.3 Public Disclosure of Financial Information

Issuers are reminded that, in our view, the extraction of information from financial statements that have not previously been reviewed by the audit committee and the release of that information into the marketplace is inconsistent with the issuer's obligation to have its audit committee review the financial statements. See also National Policy 51-201, *Disclosure Standards*.

PART 3 INDEPENDENCE

3.1 Meaning of Independence

The Regulation generally requires every member of an audit committee to be independent. Subsection 1.4(1) of the Regulation defines independence to mean the absence of any direct or indirect material relationship between the director and the issuer. In our view, this relationship may include commercial, charitable, industrial, banking, consulting, legal, accounting or familial relationships. However, only those relationships which could, in the view of the issuer's board of directors, reasonably interfere with the exercise of a member's independent judgement should be considered material relationships within the meaning of section 1.4.

Subsection 1.4(3) of the Regulation sets out a list of persons that we believe have a relationship with an issuer that would reasonably interfere with the exercise of the person's independent judgement. Consequently, these persons are not considered independent for the purposes of the Regulation and are therefore precluded from serving on the issuer's audit committee. Directors and their counsel should therefore consider the nature of the relationships outlined in subsection 1.4(3) as guidance in applying the general independence test set out in subsection 1.4(1).

3.2 Derivation of Definition

The definition of independence and associated provisions included in the Regulation have been derived from both the rules promulgated by the SEC in response to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the corporate governance rules issued by the NYSE. The SEC rules set out requirements for a member of the audit committee to be considered independent. The NYSE corporate governance rules define independence and outline conditions for a director to be considered independent and also require that audit committee members be independent directors as defined by both the SEC provisions and the NYSE rules. We have mirrored this composite approach to the definition of independence for audit committee members in the Regulation.

3.3 Safe Harbour

Subsection 1.3(1) of the Regulation provides, in part, that a person or company is an affiliated entity of another entity if the person or company controls the other entity. Subsection 1.3(4), however, provides that a person will not be considered to be an affiliated entity of an issuer if the person:

- (a) owns, directly or indirectly, ten per cent or less of any class of voting equity securities of the issuer; and
- (b) is not an executive officer of the issuer.

Subsection 1.3(4) is intended only to identify those persons who are not considered affiliated entities of an issuer. The provision is not intended to suggest that a person who owns more than ten percent of an issuer's voting equity securities is automatically an affiliated entity of the issuer. Instead, a person who owns more than ten percent of an issuer's voting equity securities should examine all relevant facts and circumstances to determine if he or she is an affiliated entity within the meaning of subsection 1.3(1).

PART 4 FINANCIAL LITERACY, FINANCIAL EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE

4.1 Financial Literacy

For the purposes of the Regulation, an individual is financially literate if he or she has the ability to read and understand a set of financial statements that present a breadth and level of complexity of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of the issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by the issuer's financial statements. In our view, it is not necessary for a member to have a comprehensive knowledge of GAAP and GAAS to be considered financially literate.

4.2 Financial Education and Experience.

- (1) Item 3 of Form 52-110F1 requires an issuer to disclose any education or experience of an audit committee member that would provide the member with, among other things, an understanding of the accounting principles used by the issuer to prepare its financial statements. In our view, for a member to have such an understanding, the member needs a detailed understanding of only those accounting principles that might reasonably be applicable to the issuer in question. For example, an individual would not be required to have a detailed understanding of the accounting principles relating to the treatment of complex derivatives transactions if the issuer in question would not reasonably be involved in such transactions.
- (2) Item 3 of Form 52-110F1 also requires an issuer to disclose any experience that the member has, among other things, actively supervising persons engaged in preparing, auditing, analyzing or evaluating certain types of financial statements. The phrase active supervision means more than the mere existence of a traditional hierarchical reporting relationship between supervisor and those being supervised. A person engaged in active supervision participates in, and contributes to, the process of addressing (albeit at a supervisory level) the same general types of issues regarding preparation, auditing, analysis or evaluation of financial statements as those addressed by the person or persons being supervised. The supervisor should also have experience that has contributed to the general expertise necessary to prepare, audit, analyze or evaluate financial statements that is at least comparable to the general expertise of those being supervised. An executive officer should not be presumed to qualify. An executive officer with considerable operations involvement, but little financial or accounting involvement, likely would not be exercising the necessary active supervision. Active participation in, and contribution to, the process, albeit at a supervisory level, of addressing financial and accounting issues that demonstrate a general expertise in the area would be necessary.

PART 5 NON-AUDIT SERVICES

5.1 Pre-Approval of Non-Audit Services

Section 2.6 of the Regulation allows an audit committee to satisfy, in certain circumstances, the pre-approval requirements in subsection 2.3(4) by adopting specific policies and procedures for the engagement of non-audit services. The following guidance should be noted in the development and application of such policies and procedures:

- Monetary limits should not be the only basis for the pre-approval policies and procedures. The establishment of monetary limits will not, alone, constitute

policies that are detailed as to the particular services to be provided and will not, alone, ensure that the audit committee will be informed about each service.

- The use of broad, categorical approvals (e.g. tax compliance services) will not meet the requirement that the policies must be detailed as to the particular services to be provided.
- The appropriate level of detail for the pre-approval policies will differ depending upon the facts and circumstances of the issuer. The pre-approval policies must be designed to ensure that the audit committee knows precisely what services it is being asked to pre-approve so that it can make a well-reasoned assessment of the impact of the service on the auditor's independence. Furthermore, because the Regulation requires that the policies cannot result in a delegation of the audit committee's responsibility to management, the pre-approval policies must be sufficiently detailed as to particular services so that a member of management will not be called upon to determine whether a proposed service fits within the policy.

PART 6 DISCLOSURE OBLIGATIONS

6.1 Incorporation by Reference

Regulation 51-102 permits disclosure required to be included in an issuer's AIF or information circular to be incorporated by reference, provided that the referenced document has already been filed with the applicable securities regulatory authorities.¹ Any disclosure required by the Regulation to be included in an issuer's AIF or management information circular may also be incorporated by reference, provided that the procedures set out in Regulation 51-102 are followed.

¹ See Part 1, paragraph (f) of Form 51-102F2 (*Annual Information Form*) and Part 1, paragraph (c) of Form 51-102F5 (*Information Circular*).