

CSA Notice of Publication

Regulation to Amend Regulation 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities -and-

Amendments to Policy Statement to Regulation 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities

December 4, 2014

Introduction

The Canadian Securities Administrators (the CSA or we), are making amendments to *Regulation* 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities (Regulation) and Policy Statement to Regulation 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities (Policy Statement) (the Amendments). The Amendments are being made in response to our observation of reporting issuer disclosure and to industry feedback. Subject to ministerial approval requirements, the Amendments will come into force on July 1, 2015. CSA Staff Notice 51-324 Revised Glossary to Regulation 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Disclosure are also being amended in connection with the Amendments and will be published concurrently with the Amendments.

The CSA published draft amendments to the Regulation and the Policy Statement on October 17, 2013 for a 90 day comment period. Written comments received during and following this period, in conjunction with those obtained through oral communication with reporting issuers, independent qualified reserves evaluators and auditors and others were taken into consideration by the CSA in preparation of the Amendments.

The text of the Amendments is published with this Notice and is available on the websites of members of the CSA jurisdictions. We expect the Amendments to be adopted in each jurisdiction of Canada, following the satisfaction of applicable ministerial approval requirements.

Substance and Purpose of the Amendments

The Regulation sets out both the general disclosure standards and specific annual disclosure requirements applicable to reporting issuers with oil and gas activities while the Policy Statement sets out the views of the CSA respecting the interpretation and application of the Regulation. Under the Regulation, the disclosure of resources other than reserves is voluntary. In recent years, the number of reporting issuers disclosing contingent and prospective resources has increased significantly. We have observed certain early stage issuers disclose resources other than reserves to convey the potential of their assets. To date, this disclosure has occurred both within and outside of the annual disclosure requirements with varying degrees of consistency and completeness.

The CSA acknowledges the importance of disclosure of resources other than reserves and expects that the Amendments will help further clarify the disclosure obligations of reporting issuers and provide guidance on their presentation.

The Amendments promote improved disclosure of resources other than reserves and associated metrics while simultaneously providing increased flexibility for oil and gas issuers that operate and report in different jurisdictions and recover product types not previously recognized by the Regulation, and align the Regulation with the amended Canadian Oil and Gas Evaluation Handbook (COGE Handbook). This includes the guidelines for estimation and classification of resources other than reserves (ROTR Guidelines), which became effective July 17, 2014; and the detailed guidelines for estimation and classification of bitumen resources (Bitumen Guidelines) published on April 1, 2014. While the effective date of the Amendments is July 1, 2015, reporting issuers are required to immediately follow the latest requirements of the COGE Handbook including ROTR Guidelines and Bitumen Guidelines as currently required pursuant to the Regulation.

Background

Under the Regulation, reporting issuers engaged in oil and gas activities are required to provide annual disclosure, appoint an independent qualified reserves evaluator or auditor, facilitate communication between the board of directors and the independent qualified reserves evaluator or auditor and prepare, evaluate or audit all public disclosure of reserves and resources other than reserves in accordance with the requirements of Part 5 of the Regulation. Part 5 of the Regulation mandates that reserves and resources other than reserves be prepared in accordance with the COGE Handbook and be evaluated or audited by a qualified reserves evaluator or auditor. The Regulation was implemented in 2003 and amended in 2007 and 2010.

On October 17, 2013, the following amendments were proposed by the CSA:

- in certain circumstances and subject to disclosure requirements, permitting disclosure prepared under an alternative resources evaluation standard;
- inclusion and refinement of product type definitions in the Regulation;
- additional requirements regarding the disclosure of contingent and prospective resources;
- introduction of a principle-based approach to the disclosure of oil and gas metrics;
- clarification of the point at which sales of product types and associated by-products should be disclosed;
- definition of and requirements related to the disclosure of abandonment and reclamation costs;

- removal of the requirement to match the presentation of reserves not directly held by the reporting issuer in the statement prepared in accordance with Form 51-101F1 to the presentation of the assets in the financial statements;
- removal of the requirement to obtain independent qualified reserves evaluator consent before disclosing results from the annual evaluation outside of the required annual filings;
- revision of the date at which the independent qualified reserves evaluator takes responsibility for information related to the reserves evaluation;
- clarification of required disclosure when an issuer has no reserves.

Summary of the Comments Received by the CSA

Thirteen letters were submitted during and shortly after the comment period. Letters were received from six large reporting issuers, three independent qualified reserves evaluators and auditors, one senior oil sands issuer, one law firm, one individual and one professional organization. Additional comments were received via oral communications with reporting issuers, independent qualified reserves evaluators and auditors and others.

The comments received were generally supportive of the draft amendments while the draft amendments respecting the requirements for additional disclosure of contingent and prospective resources received the most feedback. The comments were considered in detail by the CSA prior to preparation of the Amendments. Annex A of this Notice identifies the commenters and Annex B summarizes the associated comments and our responses. The comment letters are posted on the ASC's website at <u>www.albertasecurities.com</u>. We extend our thanks to all the commenters.

Summary of Changes

After considering the comments, we made amendments to the Regulation, including Form 51-101F1, Form 51-101F2 and Form 51-101F3, and to the Policy Statement, and added Form 51-101F5. As these changes were not material from the draft amendments, the CSA did not republish the Amendments for an additional comment period. See Annex C for a summary of the changes made to the Amendments as originally published on October 17, 2013.

Local Matters

An annex is being published in any local jurisdiction that is making related changes to local securities laws, including local notices or other policy instruments in that jurisdiction. It also includes any additional information that is relevant to that jurisdiction only.

Summary of the Amendments

1. Alternative Resources Evaluation Standard

Numerous issuers reporting in Canada also access the U.S. capital markets and are subject to the SEC's reserves disclosure regime. For example, SEC issuers who prepare financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, as defined in *Regulation 52-107 respecting Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards*, have a requirement under Statement 19 of the Financial Standards Accounting Board to include reserves disclosure prepared in accordance with the U.S. regime within their financial statements. Certain issuers have sought and obtained a limited form of exemptive relief that allows them to disclose reserves prepared in accordance with U.S. requirements in addition to their reserves prepared under the Regulation. The relief is required owing to an interpretation of sections 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3 of the Regulation that does not allow for any public disclosure of reserves other than estimates prepared in accordance with the COGE Handbook.

Amended section 5.18 of the Regulation allows for disclosure from alternative regimes. The disclosure under the alternative regime must be accompanied by the disclosure required by the Regulation, be made in respect of a regime which is comparable to the COGE Handbook, have a scientific basis and be based on reasonable assumptions. Those estimates must be prepared or audited by a qualified reserves evaluator or auditor.

2. Product Type and Production Group

The amended Regulation imports and refines the product type definitions from the COGE Handbook for securities disclosure purposes. The concept of production group is removed. The inclusion of the definitions and removal of the production group concept gives greater emphasis to both the oil and gas sources and recovery processes, and moves away from grouping resources into conventional and unconventional categories.

We do not anticipate any issues regarding reconciliations of product types under Part 4 of Form 51-101F1 as a result of this change. The opening balance for December 31, 2014 should be taken from the product types listed in the Statement of Reserves Data as per Item 2.1 of Form 51-101F1. A reporting issuer should choose the closest product type if the substance produced does not exactly match one of the product types or if it matches more than one of the product types listed in the Regulation.

3. Contingent and Prospective Resources

The Amendments provide clearer guidance for the disclosure of contingent resources data and prospective resources data in the annual filings, including requiring the disclosure of risked net

present value of future net revenue within an appendix to the statement. In addition, the Amendments require those resources other than reserves estimates be prepared or audited by an independent qualified reserves evaluator or auditor.

4. Oil and Gas Metrics

The amended section 5.14 of the Regulation lists principle-based requirements to describe the standard, methodology and meaning of a publicly disclosed oil and gas metric. If there is no standard, a reporting issuer must also describe the parameters used in calculating the oil and gas metric and provide a cautionary statement.

5. Marketability of Production and Reserves

Reporting issuers are obligated by the Regulation to disclose production and resources based on the price that was or would be used at the point at which the product type is or could be sold. However, in certain scenarios it may not be appropriate, or even possible, to allocate a price at a point of sale. In respect of resources or sales of oil, gas or associated by-products, the volume may be measured at the point of sale to a third party (first point of sale), or of transfer to another division of the reporting issuer (alternate reference point) for treatment prior to sale to a third party. For gas, this may occur either before or after the removal of natural gas liquids. For bitumen or heavy oil, this is before the addition of diluent.

The amendments to the Regulation clarify the concept of marketability in the reporting of oil and gas volumes. The amended sections 5.4 and 5.5 of the Regulation requires a reporting issuer to report volumes and values at the first point of sale of the particular product type, unless that point is not relevant, in which case, the reporting issuer can select a point of measurement prior to the first point of sale.

6. Abandonment and Reclamation Costs

CSA staff have observed, and have received commentary from industry about, inconsistency in the determination of what constitutes abandonment and reclamation costs for the purpose of the annual oil and gas disclosure.

The Amendments clarify what constitutes abandonment and reclamation costs and require the disclosure of both abandonment costs and reclamation costs in the future net revenue disclosure and in the significant factors or uncertainties disclosure in the statement prepared in accordance with Form 51-101F1.

7. Reserves Presentation

The introduction of IFRS 11 highlighted the need for changes to the requirements in respect of the presentation of reserves data in the statement prepared in accordance with Form 51-101F1.

The Amendments point to the COGE Handbook for the purpose of determining ownership and allow for flexibility in the manner of presenting resources for which a reporting issuer does not have control.

8. Other Amendments

The amendments also clarify areas that have given rise to confusion, such as

- the requirement to obtain consent of the independent qualified reserves evaluator as it relates to the report prepared in accordance with Item 2 of section 2.1,
- the date on which the independent qualified reserves evaluator or auditor is responsible for changes in the reporting issuer's reserves data, and
- the disclosure required when a reporting issuer has no reserves.

Questions

Please refer questions to any of the following:

Luc Arsenault Géologue Autorité des marchés financiers 514 395-0337 ext. 4373 or 877 525-0337 (toll free across Canada) <u>luc.arsenault@lautorite.qc.ca</u>

Craig Burns Manager, Oil and Gas Alberta Securities Commission 403 355-9029 craig.burns@asc.ca

Floyd Williams Senior Petroleum Evaluation Engineer Alberta Securities Commission 403 297-4145 floyd.williams@asc.ca

Christopher Peng Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance Alberta Securities Commission 403 297-4230 <u>christopher.peng@asc.ca</u>

Gordon Smith Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance British Columbia Securities Commission 604 899-6656 or 800 373-6393 (toll free across Canada) gsmith@bcsc.bc.ca Darin Wasylik Senior Geologist British Columbia Securities Commission 604 899-6517 or 800 373-6393 (toll free across Canada) <u>dwasylik@bcsc.bc.ca</u>

Annex A

List of written commenters

Regulation to amend Regulation 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities

COMMENTER	REPRESENTATIVE	DATE
Canadian Natural Resources Limited	Lyle Stevens	February 7, 2014
	Arthur Faucher	
Canadian Oil Sands Limited	Robert P. Dawson	January 17, 2014
Cenovus Energy Inc.	Ivor M. Ruste	January 9, 2014
Gaffney, Cline & Associates	Rawdon J. H. Seager	February 7, 2014
Geoscientists Canada	Greg Vogelsang	January 17, 2014
GLJ Petroleum Consultants Ltd.	Keith M. Braaten	January 17, 2014
Husky Energy Inc.	Janice Knoechel	February 5, 2014
	Fred Au-Yeung	
Imperial Oil Limited	Mark D. Taylor	January 16, 2014
Joan Simmins	Joan Simmins	January 17, 2014
Norton Rose Fulbright Canada LLP	Eric Geppert	January 17, 2014
RPS Energy Canada Ltd.	Brian D. Weatherill	January 17, 2014
Suncor Energy Inc.	Jolienne Guillemaud	January 17, 2014
Talisman Energy Inc.	Robert R. Rooney	January 15, 2014

Request for Comment October 17, 2013

Annex B

Regulation to amend Regulation 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil & Gas Activities

Summary of Comments and CSA Responses

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response		
	Comments in response to questions in CSA Notice dated October 17, 2013				
	•	der an alternative resource evalu	ustion system (Ausstion 1)		
1. Disclosure of esti-	mates prepareu un	uer an alternative resource eval	uation system (Question 1)		
supplementary to reserves	disclosed under the	Regulation. Do you support the p	in accordance with, for example, the SEC regime proposal to permit the supplementary disclosure of out in proposed section 5.18 of the Regulation? Please		
Proposed section 5.18 of	General	Five commenters support the	We thank the commenters for their input.		
the Regulation	Comments For	proposal to allow			
		supplementary disclosure of an			
		evaluation under an alternative			
		resources evaluation standard.			
		Their reasons include the			
		following:			
		• The number of issuers			
		subject to reporting in			
		multiple jurisdictions			
		and the close economic			
		ties between Canada			
		and, for example, the			
		United States make it			
		important for			
		disclosure under other			
		similar standards to be			
		permitted.			

	• Providing a mechanism	
General Comments Against	 Troviding a mechanism to disclose reserves in accordance with other standards provides greater comparability between Canadian and foreign issuers' oil and gas disclosure. This will allow reporting issuers the ability to meet the needs of multiple stakeholders more effectively. One commenter does not support the requirement to disclose additional information 	We thank the commenter for their input, however, the Regulation adopts the COGE Handbook as the standard for the classification and evaluation of
Questions Regarding Application	for an estimate prepared under an alternative resources evaluation standard. Their reason is that it is excessive to have companies duplicate effort when they have already prepared a reserve estimate in a format that is comparable to COGE Handbook. One commenter asked what obligation does a 40-F filer have relative to the proposed disclosure requirements for the public disclosure of a reserves	resources. The COGE Handbook enables greater comparability and predictability between resource estimates. To the extent an estimate of resources has not been classified and evaluated in accordance with the COGE Handbook, investors must be made aware of the differences. Under section 5.18 of the Regulation a reporting issuer may disclose a resource estimate using a standard other than that set out by COGE Handbook. If a reporting issuer is required by the local regulator to provide disclosure under another standard, for
	omments gainst uestions egarding	greater comparability between Canadian and foreign issuers' oil and gas disclosure. • This will allow reporting issuers the ability to meet the needs of multiple stakeholders more effectively. • One commenter does not support the requirement to disclose additional information for an estimate prepared under an alternative resources evaluation standard. Their reason is that it is excessive to have companies duplicate effort when they have already prepared a reserve estimate in a format that is comparable to COGE Handbook. • COGE Handbook. • Uestions egarding pplication • Digation does a 40-F filer have relative to the proposed disclosure requirements for the

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
		resources evaluation standard.	 standard, then disclosure of the estimate would be "required" for the purpose of the amendments. If a reporting issuer is not required by the local regulator to provide, for example, disclosure of reserves prepared under an alternate standard in its disclosure documents, the disclosure of the estimate would "not be required" for the purpose of the amendments. A reporting issuer should obtain legal advice to whether in its circumstances it is required to provide the required disclosure.
	Questions Regarding Reconciliations	One commenter asked if an arithmetic reconciliation of an estimate prepared under the alternative resources evaluation standard to the estimate prepared under the COGE Handbook would be required.	An arithmetic reconciliation of the alternate disclosure and the Regulation disclosure is not required.

2. Do you support the removal of the requirement to disclose information by production group (Question 2)

The proposed amendments eliminate the requirement to disclose a reporting issuer's reserves data by production group. Do you support the removal of the requirement to disclose reserves data by production group? Please explain your views.

Repealed paragraph	Support	6 commenters support the	We thank the commenters for their input.
1.1(u) of the Regulation,	production group	proposal to remove the	
removal of requirement	removal	requirement to disclose the net	
from paragraph 3(c) of		present value of future net	
item 2.1 of Form 51-		revenue by production group.	
101F1		Their reasons include the	
		following:	
		Removing the concept	

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
		 of production group and using qualifying definitions will better define the actual resource potential. The proposal brings consistency with other elements of reporting which are based on product type. 	
	Reduction of number of product types	Three commenters suggested that we reduce the total number of product types and specifically allow reporting issuers to combine similar product types if reasonable. For example, when a reporting issuer produces gaseous hydrocarbons, since costs do not vary materially due to differing origins of natural gas, or multiple liquid product types from the same field.	 We thank the commenter for the input, however, product types are included to describe both the physical product and the source in an attempt to capture the following comparability factors: The same physical product attracts the same price (adjusted for quality and transport costs) whatever the source, but Different sources have significantly different cost and risk profiles, and production characteristics. Having multiple "product types" provides an investor with a more comprehensive picture rather than having the general product types "oil" or "gas". Reducing the number of product types is outside of the scope of these proposed amendments.

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
			product types provides an investor with information
			on some of the differences in cost and risk profiles
			and production characteristics.
	Question about	One commenter asked if the	We thank the commenter for the question. In
	condensate	definition of light crude oil	paragraph 1.1(q.2) the definition of natural gas
		includes condensates.	liquids includes condensates. Light crude oil, for the
			purpose of product types in the Regulation, does not
		-	include condensates.
	Removal of unit	One commenter suggested that	We thank the commenter for the input, however, the
	values	unit values should be removed.	removal of unit values is outside of the scope of the
	~		changes contemplated by the proposed amendments.
	Comment on	One commenter suggested that	We thank the commenter for the input. In addition to
	NGLs	NGLs are a by-product and	the required product type disclosure, paragraph
		should be combined with oil or	1.1(3)(c) of the Form 51-101F1 requires the
		gas.	disclosure of product types with their associated by-
		<u> </u>	products, which for oil or gas, may include NGLs.
	Clarification of	Several commenters identified	We thank the commenters for their input. We have
	bitumen	a potential overlap between the	amended the definition of "bitumen" to include the
	definition	definitions of heavy crude oil	concept of bitumen being "solid or semi-solid" and
		and bitumen.	that "it is not primarily recoverable at economic rates
			through a well without the implementation of
			enhanced recovery methods."
	Re-inclusion of	One commenter stated that	We thank the commenter for the input. We have
	shale oil as a	shale oil should be included as	revised the proposed amendments to include tight oil
	product type	a product type.	as a product type, which includes shale oil. and net present value of future net revenue in

3. The requirement to provide low, best and high estimates of volume and net present value of future net revenue in respect of any contingent resources or prospective resources included in the annual statement of reserves data (Question 4)

A reporting issuer that includes contingent resources and/or prospective resources is not currently required to have those estimates prepared by an independent qualified reserves evaluator. Do you support the requirement in proposed item 2 of section 2.1 of the

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response	
Regulation for an independent qualified reserves evaluator to evaluate or audit any contingent resources or prospective resources included in the annual statement of reserves data? Please explain your views. Do you support the requirement in proposed paragraph 4 of item 2.1 of Form 51-101F1 to provide low, best and high estimates of volume and net present value of future net revenue in respect of any contingent resources or prospective resources included in the annual statement of reserves data? Please explain your views.				
Part 7 of Form 51-101F1	General comments for requirement to provide low, best, high estimates	3 commenters support the proposed requirement to provide low, best, high estimates.	We thank the commenters for their input, however, we have removed the proposed requirement to disclose low and high estimates in addition to the best estimate. Nevertheless, if a reporting issuer discloses a high estimate, the low estimate must also be disclosed as required by section 5.17 of the Regulation.	
	General comments against requirement to provide low, best, high estimate	 6 commenters do not support the requirement to disclose the low and high estimates in addition to the best estimate. Their reasons include the following: Disclosure of the medium or 'best' estimate of volume is sufficient. Certain reporting issuers may consider this requirement as onerous. Estimates may vary widely due to limited information. 	We thank the commenters for their input. We have amended the requirement relative to the optional contingent and prospective resources disclosure in the statement prepared in accordance with Form 51-101F1 to only require disclosure of the 2C estimate for contingent resources or the best estimate for prospective resources. However, if a 3C or high estimate is disclosed, section 5.17 of the Regulation requires that the 1C or low estimate also be disclosed.	

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
	IQRE requirement	Two commenters inquired whether an exemption will be available from the requirement to have an independent evaluation or audit of any contingent resources or prospective resources included in the annual statement of reserves data.	 We thank the commenters for the question. The CSA has granted relief from the requirement for the annual preparation of an evaluation or audit by an independent qualified reserves evaluator to reporting issuers that have been able to establish that they have: (a) qualified reserves evaluators and auditors within the meaning of the Regulation; (b) a well-established reserves evaluation process that is at least as rigorous as would be the case were it to rely upon independent reserves evaluators or auditors; and (c) implemented a technical quality assurance program in connection with the preparation of its internally generated reserves data. CSA staff are willing to consider relief for reporting issuers that are able to make the same representations in respect of their resources other than reserves data.
		Two commenters suggested that the independent qualified reserves evaluator (IQRE) requirement should only be required for "development pending" contingent resources and that making this a requirement for contingent resources and prospective resources disclosed in Form 51-101F1 seems onerous and	We thank the commenter for their input. The IQRE requirement ensures that if a reporting issuer elects to disclose contingent resources and prospective resources in an appendix to its statement prepared in accordance with Form 51-101F1, those estimates are subject to the same rigour and technical quality assurance as the reserves estimates included in the Form 51-101F1 disclosure. A reporting issuer is not required to engage an IQRE for disclosure made outside of the required annual statement.

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
		may not be necessary if	In addition, the internal qualified evaluator of the
		competent staff are completing	reporting issuer can evaluate the resources and
		the assessments.	volumes and values audited by an IQRE.
		One commenter stated that an	We thank the commenter for the input. If a reporting
		IQRE may not have enough	issuer discloses contingent or prospective resources
		information at early stages if	in an appendix to its statement prepared in
		license terms are not fully	accordance with Form 51-101F1, section 3.2 and 3.3
		defined.	of the Regulation impose an obligation on the
			reporting issuer to provide "all information
			reasonably necessary to enable the qualified reserves
			evaluators or auditors to provide a report that will
			satisfy the applicable requirements of this
			Regulation", which includes the requirement to be
			prepared in accordance with the COGE Handbook.
		One commenter suggested that	We thank the commenter for the input, however,
		an IQRE should only be	disclosure of contingent and prospective resources in
		required to evaluate or audit	the statement prepared in accordance with Form 51-
		75% of resources other than	101F1 is voluntary. If a reporting issuer includes
		reserves and no need for	disclosure of contingent resources or prospective
		review on the remaining 25%.	resources at its own discretion, it may provide those
			estimates in respect of one or several of its properties.
			This flexibility requires that all contingent resources
			and prospective resources optionally included in an
			appendix to the Form 51-101F1 be prepared by an
			IQRE or IQRA.
	Estimates of	Several commenters suggested	We thank the commenters for their input. Where an
	prospective and	that prospective resource	estimate of volume or value of prospective resources
	contingent	estimates need to be risked,	is disclosed, paragraph 5.9(1)(d) of the Regulation
	resources	and that specific guidance	requires a reporting issuer to disclose, in writing, the
	<u> </u>	should be included as to how	"risks and the level of uncertainty associated with

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
		risk should be incorporated	recovery of the resources."
		into estimates.	
			We have included specific directions in the Form 51-
			101F1 to clarify that for the purpose of optional
			annual disclosure, when contingent resources or
			prospective resources are disclosed, a numeric
			quantification of the risks is required and the risked
			estimates must be provided.
			We have updated the requirement in Form 51-101F1
			to clarify that if contingent resources and prospective
			resources are optionally disclosed in an appendix to
			the statement prepared in accordance with Form 51-
			101F1, a quantification of, and explanation of the
			method for arriving at, the chance of discovery and
			chance of development are required. the Regulation
			is primarily focused on disclosure of reserves data.
			The techniques and evaluation and audit practices
			required to carry out a reserves or resources other than reserves evaluation are collectively governed by
			the COGE Handbook, the obligations imposed by
			professional organizations, as defined by the
			Regulation, and best industry practices on the
			subject.
	Disclosure of	Several commenters	We thank the commenters for their input. We have
	NPV for	recommended that for	revised the presentation and clarified the
	contingent and	contingent resources, they may	requirements related to the optional disclosure of
	prospective	disclose NPV for development	contingent resources and prospective resources in
	resources	pending and on-hold in some	response to the valid concerns raised in respect of the
		cases. For development not	disclosure of the net present value of future net
		viable, sub-economic or	revenue of contingent resources and prospective
		unrecoverable, commenters	resources in the statement prepared in accordance

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
		suggested disclosing volumes	with Form 51-101F1.
		only. For prospective	
		resources, commenters	Optional presentation of contingent resources and
		suggested disclosing NPV or	prospective resources as a part of the required annual
		analog minimum economic	filing may now only be made as an appendix to the
		field size.	Form 51-101F1. The disclosure must be classified
			according to the most specific sub-classes set out in
		Additionally commenters	the COGE Handbook, which have been refined in
		suggested that economic and	chapter 2 of volume 2. To highlight the difference
		sub-economic resources	between reserves and resources other than reserves,
		should be disclosed separately	additional cautionary language for the estimates of
		and prospective resources should be risked for chance of	value is now required. In addition, rather than net present value, the disclosure of risked net present
		discovery or perhaps show	value of future net revenue will instead be required
		both unrisked and risked in	for contingent resources in the development pending
		Form 51-101F2.	project maturity sub-class (see section 10.2 of
		10111 51 1011 2.	volume 1 and section 5.8.1 of volume 2 of the COGE
			Handbook).
			The ability to disclose contingent resources and
			prospective resources is increasingly important for
			reporting issuers at early stages with a need to
			express the potential of the interests they hold in their
			oil and gas assets. We have seen an increase in the
			disclosure of contingent resource volumes and values
			in the required annual disclosure of reporting issuers.
			We continue to be of the view that the disclosure of
			contingent resources and prospective resources
			without providing information as to its economic
			viability can be misleading. We are of the view that
			providing the risked net present value of future net
			revenue for contingent resources in the development

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	 pending project maturity sub-class and prospective resources volumes optionally disclosed in the annual statement will assist an investor "in reaching an opinion on the merit and likelihood of the company proceeding with the required investment." (see section 5.8.1 of the COGE Handbook volume 2). Balancing the benefit to certain reporting issuers in having the ability to provide disclosure of volumes of contingent and prospective resources and values of contingent resources in the development pending project maturity sub-class against an investor's need to appreciate the value of a particular property or group of properties to the reporting issuer, requires something more than the prohibition of the disclosure of contingent resources and prospective resources and something less than the ability to allocate value to those properties without a framework to properly account for how the reporting issuer arrived at that value. By replacing the requirement for net present value of future net revenue in the development pending project maturity sub-class of contingent resources and particular property at that value of properties without a framework to properly account for how the reporting issuer arrived at that value. By replacing the requirement for net present value of future net revenue in the development pending project maturity sub-class of contingent resources, investors should have enough information to determine whether the volumes allocated to a particular project are realizable while allowing the reporting issuer to speak to potential.
			development pending project maturity sub-class, we are no longer requiring the disclosure of the value of contingent and prospective resource values when a

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
	-		volume is optionally disclosed as a part of the Form
			51-101F1 disclosure. This is in response to a concern
			over the uncertainty associated with these estimates
			and the potential for misunderstanding by a reader of
			the document.
			A reporting issuer may disclose estimates of volume and value of contingent resources other than those in the development pending project maturity sub-class and of prospective resources as a part of its annual disclosure, however, the reporting issuer should consider whether the level of uncertainty associated with the particular estimate is of such a degree to make that estimate misleading if used in the context of the Form 51-101F1.
		Several commenters suggested	We thank the commenters for their input. We have
		that poorly defined	revised item 5.9(2)(d)(iii.1)(A) of the Regulation to
		development and marketing	clarify that the estimated total capital requirements to
		plans may lead to misleading	achieve production and a general timeline of the
		disclosures. The commenters	project, including the estimated date of first
		noted that values for	production must be disclosed along with the
		contingent and prospective	contingent or prospective resources estimate. An
		resources are dependent on	investor will be able to assess the particular estimate
		significant factors such as	against the information disclosed by the reporting
		recovery technology, market	issuer about the project.
		access and development plans,	
		costs and schedule, which have	In addition to the disclosures required by section 5.9
		the potential for significant	of the Regulation, refinement to the classification
		variations in the assumptions	framework in the COGE Handbook will allow for
		around those factors among	more specific contingent resource and prospective
		various parties assigning a	resource sub-classes which reflect the stage of

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
		value to a resource.	development. Information regarding recovery
		Additionally, commenters	technology, market access, development plans, costs
		noted that the requirement to	and schedule would be required to be disclosed if a
		provide detailed descriptions	reporting issuer optionally discloses contingent or
		of development projects	prospective resources.
		associated with disclosed	
		contingent and prospective	An estimate of contingent resources or prospective
		resources will be unduly	resources is made as of an effective date. Disclosure
		onerous for reporting issuers	about the project at the effective date, allows an
		with contingent resources and	investor to assess the validity of the estimates and the
		prospective resources located	likelihood that the reporting issuer would actually
		in multiple accumulations,	develop the contingent or prospective resources. The
		each requiring its own	omission of this information could mislead an
		development plan, even	investor about the potential represented in contingent
		though the descriptions may	or prospective resources estimates.
		provide limited useful	
		information.	Other than for contingent resources in the
			development pending project maturity sub-class, we
		Several commenters stated that	are no longer requiring the disclosure of the value of
		significant uncertainties are	contingent and prospective resource values when a
		involved with long term	volume is optionally disclosed as a part of the Form
		contingent resource and	51-101F1 disclosure. This is in response to a concern
		prospective resource estimates	over the uncertainty associated with these estimates
		and the requirement for NPV	and the potential for misunderstanding by a reader of
		of prospective and contingent	the document.
		resources should be removed.	
			A reporting issuer may disclose estimates of volume
			and value of contingent resources other than those in
			the development pending project maturity sub-class
			and of prospective resources as a part of its annual
			disclosure, however, the reporting issuer should
			consider whether the level of uncertainty associated

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
			 with the particular estimate is of a sufficient degree to make that estimate misleading if used in the context of the Form 51-101F1. If a reporting issuer is unable to comply with section 5.9 of the Regulation or the disclosure requirements of the Form 51-101F1 because there is not enough detail or certainty around the project, then the reporting issuer should consider whether it would be misleading to include the contingent or prospective resource estimates in annual disclosure.
		One commenter suggested that contingent resources should be disclosed separately in Appendix 1.	We thank the commenter for the input. We have revised the presentation of the Form 51-101F1 to require the presentation of the optional disclosure of contingent resources and prospective resources in an appendix to the Form 51-101F1 or the annual information form.
		Some commenters stated that the new provisions require issuers to ascribe economic value to resources (that are not themselves required to be economic), which could result in misleading or confusing disclosures caused by issuers ascribing vastly different economic values to contingencies depending on their circumstances.	We thank the commenters for their input. We have changed the requirement for net present value of future net revenue to a requirement to disclose the risked net present value of future net revenue of contingent resources in the development pending project maturity sub-class. If a reporting issuer optionally discloses a volume of contingent resources in the development pending project maturity sub- class that has a negative risked net present value of future net revenue in its statement prepared in accordance with Form 51-101F1, it would be important for an investor to understand the extent to which the contingent resources are negative as it
			suggests the likelihood of the development of contingent resources.

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
		One commenter suggested that the requirement to disclose NPV of FNR may cause certain reporting issuers to consider it enough reason to re-consider the merits of listing as a public company in Canada.	A reporting issuer may disclose estimates of volume and value of contingent resources other than those in the development pending project maturity sub-class and of prospective resources as a part of its annual disclosure, however, that disclosure will be subject to the prohibition against misleading statements. An estimate may be misleading for the purpose of the required annual disclosure if the estimate is highly uncertain. We thank the commenter for the input. The disclosure of contingent and prospective resources is optional. If a reporting issuer seeks to establish its potential to its investors on the basis of its contingent resources and prospective resources and elects to disclose that potential in the statement prepared in accordance with the Form 51-101F1, those estimates should be subject to the same rigour as reserves data and provide sufficient information to an investor to allow an investor to fully assess the potential being represented in the reporting issuer's contingent and prospective resources.
	Guidelines for disclosing contingent and prospective resources	One commenter suggested that COGE Handbook volume 2, chapter 2 may not provide sufficient guidelines to ensure consistent disclosure of all resources.	We thank the commenter for the input. Chapter 2 of volume 2 of the COGE Handbook requires that "evaluators must rely on their professional expertise and experience, be accountable for their interpretations and professional judgments and provide clear and complete documentation for their work." Under the current version of the Regulation reporting issuers can disclose both or either of contingent and prospective resources volumes and values with minimal guidance. The new guidelines

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
		One commenter stated that the reporting issuer should disclose the relative quality of the development plan and associated cost estimates.	enhance the classification framework and provide additional guidance to evaluators in classifying and categorizing contingent and prospective resources. We thank the commenter for the input. The refinements to the classification framework in the COGE Handbook provide an indication as to the stage of development of the particular estimate. In addition, under item 5.9(2)(d)(iii.1)(D) of the Regulation, reporting issuers will be required to disclose whether the project is based on a conceptual or pre-development or prospective resources in the statement prepared in accordance with Form 51- 101F1, a reporting issuer is required to provide all information reasonably necessary to enable the qualified reserves evaluator or auditor to provide a report that will satisfy the applicable requirements of the Regulation.

4. The requirements to disclose the standard, methodology and meaning of the disclosed metric (Question 5)

When a reporting issuer discloses an oil and gas metric, the proposed amendments would require the reporting issuer to disclose the standard, methodology and meaning of the disclosed metric, and if there was no identifiable standard, the parameters used in calculating the oil and gas metric and a cautionary statement. Do you support the proposed amendment to section 5.14 of the Regulation to impose the above described disclosure-based approach to oil and gas metrics such as BOEs, finding and development costs, netbacks, etc.? Please explain your views.

Section 5.14 of the	General	6 commenters support the	We thank the commenters for their input.
Regulation	comments for	proposed requirements to	
	disclosure-based	disclose the standard,	
	approach to oil	methodology and meaning of	
	and gas metrics	the disclosed metric.	

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
	Equivalency	One commenter agreed with the proposal, however recommended retaining 6 Mcf = 1 BOE for reporting equivalency.	 We thank the commenter for the input. We have provided guidance in <i>Policy Statement to Regulation</i> 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities (Policy Statement) which describes a method of providing disclosure on BOEs. The COGE Handbook states: Reserves quoted in BOE calculated using a conversion of 6:1 Mcf/BOE generally overstate the reserves of a company, but it is currently the most commonly used method in the industry. The best approach to considering investment alternatives is not to use BOE conversions at all.
5. Marketability of I	Production & Rese	rves	
Section 5.4 and 5.5 of the Regulation	Point of sale	One commenter stated that the new provisions should not be interpreted to prevent the booking of NGLs subject to Aux Sable agreements as reserves. Another commenter stated that there are challenges with determining the proper future net revenue that would be attributed to the wet gas stream	We thank the commentor for the input. The proposed amendment to section 5.4 of the Regulation maintains the concept that the value assigned to reserves should be determined at the point at which the particular product type is to be or was sold. The alternate reference point allows reporting issuers to have a point, prior to the first point of sale, at which it would be appropriate to allocate value. This does not, however, permit the allocation of value after the first point of sale. To clarify that product types must be recovered

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
		at the delivery point into a	before the first point of sale or alternate reference
		system, and that the future net	point, we have re-inserted section 5.5 of the
		revenue determined at the	Regulation.
		delivery point into the system	
		may be misleading and not be	The responsibility for ensuring public disclosure of
		aligned with the issuer's	future net revenue is not misleading falls on the
		financial disclosure.	reporting issuer and its independent qualified
			reserves evaluator (for more detail, see section 2 of
			CSA Notice 51-327).
6. Abandonment an		ts	
Sections 1.1(n.3) and	Distinction	One commenter suggested we	We thank the commenter for the input. We have
(z.01) of the Regulation,	between	not separate abandonment and	revised the definition of abandonment and
and item 5.2 of Form	abandonment and	reclamation costs, but allow	reclamation costs and have revised the sample table
51-101F1	reclamation costs	issuers to continue to disclose	included in the Policy Statement to clarify that the
		on a combined basis and	abandonment and reclamation costs may be disclosed
		footnote as such, particularly	together.
		where a reporting issuer's	
		estimate of either	
		abandonment costs or	
		reclamation costs is less than a	
		certain percentage (eg. 20%)	
	A1 1 (of the whole.	
	Abandonment	One commenter stated that the	We thank the commenters for the input. We have
	and reclamation costs - offshore	reclamation costs definition	revised the definition of abandonment and
		does not contemplate offshore	reclamation costs to clarify that the reporting
	and scope	costs.	obligation applies to a "property that has been disturbed by oil and gas activities", which by
		Additionally, a commenter	definition are activities prior to the first point of sale.
		suggested that a definition for	definition are activities prior to the first point of sale.
		"in the vicinity of the well"	
		and "land" is required.	

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
		A commenter suggested that	
		the definition of reclamation	
		costs should be amended to	
		better define its scope, and in	
		particular, whether it is meant	
		to extend to costs beyond well-	
		related reclamation costs.	
	Evaluation by	One commenter suggested we	We thank the commenter for the input. We will
	IQRE	not repeal item 6.4 of Form	repeal item 6.4 of Form 51-101F1. Since its
		51-101F1 because reserves	implementation in 2003, reporting issuers have been
		evaluations only include well	required for the purpose of annual disclosure under
		abandonment costs. Other	the Regulation to calculate the net present value of
		abandonment and reclamation	future net revenue using both abandonment and
		costs should be disclosed	reclamation costs. Disclosure of a reporting issuer's
		separately. The commenter	obligations relative to the abandonment of pipelines
		suggested that the repeal of 6.4	and facilities not included at the field level would be
		means that abandonment and	available in the financial statements of the reporting
		reclamation costs associated	issuer.
		with properties and wells with	
		no assigned resources, all	Section 4.5 of the COGE Handbook volume 1
		pipelines, and facilities not	requires an evaluator to take certain measures to
		located on the well site will	reduce the likelihood that data not prepared by the
		not be included in the	independent qualified reserves evaluator is erroneous
		reporting issuer's disclosure.	or unrepresentative. The COGE Handbook states
		The commenter noted that	that "one or more cross checks or other tests can
		IQREs are not qualified to	confirm the reasonableness and completeness of
		address total field	client provided information". A cross check that may
		abandonment and reclamation	be of assistance in respect of reclamation costs could
		costs. The commenter asked if	be to request the "cooperation and assistance from
		IQREs would be allowed to	the company's independent financial auditor." The
		rely on estimates provided by	reporting issuer is obliged on a regular basis to revise
		the reporting issuer.	its estimates regarding asset retirement obligations,

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
			making the financial auditor a potential resource to
			the evaluator. Another cross check may be for the
			evaluator to compare information provided by the
			reporting issuer with guides provided by regulators in
			the jurisdiction in which the reclamation costs will
			accrue. For example, in Alberta and Saskatchewan,
			regulators have estimated abandonment and
			reclamation costs for different regions in the
			province.
	Disclosure in	One commenter suggested that	We thank the commenter for the input. The asset
	audited financial	the current disclosure of	retirement obligations included in financial
	statements	abandonment and reclamation	statements only include existing wells and facilities;
		costs in audited financial	they do not include retirement obligations for
		statements is adequate and that	"planned wells", see 7.6.4 of the COGE Handbook
		further evaluation of these	volume 1. Abandonment costs are also used to test
		costs would be redundant.	the economics of the undeveloped properties.
	Abandonment	Two commenters wanted	Our view is that abandonment and reclamation costs
	and reclamation	clarification on whether	are only included at the company level, which is
	costs at the asset	abandonment and reclamation	compatible with accounting requirements.
	level	costs need to be applied at the	
		asset level (including	
		contingent and prospective	
	T (C	resource projects).	
	Location of	One commenter requested	If reserves are not assigned to the depleted or non-
	abandonment and	clarification on where	productive assets, generally speaking, the
	reclamation costs	abandonment and reclamation	abandonment and reclamation costs would no longer
	disclosure	costs with depleted and / or	be included in the required annual oil and gas
		non-productive assets would be included.	disclosure, but would presumably continue as an
			asset retirement obligation in the reporting issuer's financial statements.
	Clarification of	One commenter recruested	Abandonment and reclamation costs should include
		One commenter requested clarification on whether	
	abandonment and	clarification on whether	both existing and future leases, wells and facilities.

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
	reclamation costs	abandonment and reclamation	Abandonment and reclamation costs for the purpose
		costs should include future	of the Regulation are based on the regulations of the
		leases, wells and facilities or	jurisdictions within which a reporting issuer carries
		should they be restricted to	out oil and gas activities.
		existing abandonment and	
		reclamation liabilities.	
7. Other Amendmen	nts		
Other Amendments	Removal of	One commenter agreed with	We thank the commenter for the input.
	consent	removal of section 5.7 consent.	
	Effective date of	One commenter agreed with	We thank the commenter for the input.
	evaluation by	the change to Form 51-101F2	-
	evaluator	for evaluators to take	
		responsibility only in respect	
		of events up to the effective	
		date of the evaluation.	
	Canadian	One commenter noted that the	We thank the commenter for the input. The
	Professional	Association of Professional	Association of Professional Geoscientists of Nova
	Organization	Geoscientists of Nova Scotia is	Scotia has now been included in the Policy
		not listed as a Canadian	Statement.
		Professional Organization.	
	Definition of	One commenter suggested	We thank the commenter for the input, we have
	conventional	revising the definition of	revised the definition of conventional natural gas to
	natural gas in	conventional natural gas since	align with the definition of conventional resources in
	section 1.1(f.2) of	it does not fit tight gas such as	chapter 2 of COGE Handbook volume 2 as follows:
	the Regulation	Montney.	
			Conventional natural gas means natural gas
			that has been generated elsewhere and has
			migrated as a result of hydrodynamic forces
			and is trapped in discrete accumulations by
			seals that may be formed by localized
			structural, depositional or erosional
			geological features.

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
	Relative density	One commenter suggested the	We thank the commenter for the input. We have
	in section	addition of the word "relative"	revised the definitions to refer to "relative density".
	1.1(n.5) of the	before "density" since API	
	Regulation	gravity is not a measure of density.	
	Clarification of conceptual study in section 5.9(2)(d)(iii.1)(C) of the Regulation	One commenter suggested the wording of 5.9(a)(iii.1)(C) is awkward. The commenter suggested adding "based on" before "a conceptual". The commenter stated that the difference between a conceptual and pre- development study is not clear.	We thank the commenter for the input. Describing the project level of detail provides an indication of the reliability of an evaluation at various stages of maturity. A conceptual study is the initial stage in the development of a project scenario, with limited detail and typically based on limited information. A pre- development study is an intermediate step in the development of a project evaluation scenario, where the level of economic analysis is sufficient to assess development options and overall project viability, but is insufficient for making a final investment decision. These concepts are described in greater detail in chapter 2 of the COGE Handbook volume 2.
	Preparation date	One commenter questioned	We thank the commenter for the input. The
	in item 1.1.3 of	whether references to	preparation date is necessary because, as is described
	Form 51-101F1	preparation date are still	in Instruction (3) to item 1.1 of Form 51-101F1, it
		necessary.	takes time after the end of the financial year to
			assemble the information for that completed year that
			is needed to prepare the required disclosure as at the
			end of that financial year.
	Reserves volume	One commenter noted the	We thank the commenter for the input. The removal
	disclosure in	disclosure of first attributed	of first attributed is outside of the scope of the
	section 5.1 of	reserves volume is not	changes currently being contemplated by the
	Form 51-101F1	meaningful to investors.	proposed amendments.
	Proved undeveloped	One commenter suggested replacing "not planning to	We thank the commenter for the input. We have revised item 5.1.1 of Form 51-101F1 as follows:

Item	Subject	Summarized Comment	CSA Response
	reserves in section 5.1.1 of Form 51-101F1	develop" with "deferring the development" creates a sentence that does not make sense.	discuss generally the basis on which the reporting issuer attributes proved undeveloped reserves, its plans (including timing) for developing the proved undeveloped reserves and, if applicable, its reasons for deferring the development of particular proved undeveloped reserves beyond two years.
	Commerciality under Part 7 of Form 51-101F1	One commenter suggested that the summation of an economic project with a sub-economic project would be misleading.	We thank the commenter for the input. We agree that sub-classes should not be summed but should be reported separately due to variations in chance of commerciality. We have revised the proposed disclosure with Part 7 of Form 51-101F1 and the appendix to the Policy Statement.
	Definition of field	One commenter noted the term "field" is not defined.	We thank the commenter for the input. Clarification on our interpretation of the term "field" is provided in section 5.8 of the Policy Statement.
	First attributed PUD and PbUD in the aggregate	One commenter supported the requirement to remove the aggregate first attributed PUD and PbUD.	We thank the commenter for the input and this revision is incorporated into the amendments to the Regulation.
	Risked net present value of future net revenue	One commenter stated it is not clear whether other elements of future net revenue for contingent and prospective resources must be reported.	We thank the commenter for the input. Disclosure of the risked net present value of future net revenue of contingent resources and prospective resources does not require a similar breakdown as required for reserves under item 3(b) of 2.1 of Form 51-101F1.

Annex C

Summary of Changes from the Draft Amendments Published for Comment on October 17, 2013

The information below summarizes the differences between the draft Amendments published by the CSA for the comment period on October 17, 2013 and the Amendments published in conjunction with this Notice.

Regulation 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities

- We have combined the definitions of abandonment costs and reclamation costs
- We have refined the definition of bitumen to create a clearer boundary between it and heavy crude oil
- We have included the concept of risking the estimates in the definitions of contingent resources data and prospective resources data
- We have included tight oil as a product type in response to public comments tight oil includes "shale oil", which is a product type under the current version of the Regulation
- We have re-inserted section 5.5 of the Regulation in order to respond to uncertainty over the point at which natural gas liquids can be included in reserves
- We have refined 5.9(2)(d)(iii.1) to allow reporting issuers to provide disclosure on key information related to projects without requiring an unnecessary level of detail

Form 51-101F1 Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information

- In response to commentary from industry and revisions to the *COGE Handbook*, we will require that all resources other than reserves disclosure a reporting issuer optionally disclosed as a part of the statement and reports required under the Annual Disclosure Requirements be:
 - included in an appendix to a statement of the reserves data and other information filed under item 1 of section 2.1 of the Regulation
 - risked for chance of discovery and chance of development, as applicable, for both volumes and values
- We will no longer require the disclosure of values for classes and categories of resources other than reserves other than contingent resources in the development pending project maturity sub-class, when these resources are optionally disclosed. Staff is of the view that the additional disclosure requirements and refinement to the classification framework and additional evaluation guidance in the COGE Handbook will provide a reader of the disclosure with needed information about the likelihood of actual recovery of the volumes disclosed

• We have required additional disclosure around the risk and uncertainty of the estimate when values are disclosed for contingent resources and prospective resources for any project maturity sub-classes other than development pending when those values are disclosed within the statement or reports required by the Annual Disclosure Requirements

Form 51-101F2 Report on [Reserves Data]][,][Contingent Resources Data][and][Prospective Resources Data] by Independent Qualified Reserves Evaluator or Auditor

• We revised the form to incorporate and parallel the changes made to *Regulation 51-101* respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities, including Form 51-101F1 Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information

Form 51-101F3 Report of Management and Directors on Oil and Gas Disclosure

• We revised the form to incorporate and parallel the changes made to *Regulation 51-101* respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities, including Form 51-101F1 Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information

Policy Statement to Regulation 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities

- We have updated the list of Canadian Professional Organizations and Other Professional Organizations in section 1.1(5)
- We have provided guidance on when disclosure is required for the purpose of disclosure under an alternative resources evaluation standard
- We added section 2.7(4.1) to provide guidance on preparing and disclosing estimates of contingent resources and prospective resources
- We added guidance in section 2.7(7) on the need to disclose incidents that led to a significant decrease in the volume of production, in particular as it relates to theft and sabotage
- We added guidance on the disclosure of natural gas liquids reserves in section 5.4
- We emphasized that risked future net revenue is not an indication of fair market value in section 5.5
- We provided guidance on interpreting the term field in section 5.8
- We updated the sample disclosure in Appendix 1 to parallel the changes to the Regulation, including Form 51-101F1