

POLICY STATEMENT

TO REGULATION 24-101

RESPECTING INSTITUTIONAL TRADE MATCHING AND SETTLEMENT

PART 1

INTRODUCTION, PURPOSE AND DEFINITIONS¹(1)

1.1. Purpose of Regulation

Regulation 24-101 respecting Institutional Trade Matching and Settlement (Regulation) provides a framework in provincial securities regulation for more efficient and timely trade settlement processing, particularly institutional trades. The increasing volumes and dollar values of securities traded in Canada and globally by institutional investors mean existing back-office systems and procedures of market participants are challenged to meet post-execution processing demands. New requirements are needed to address the increasing risks. The Regulation is part of a broader initiative in the Canadian securities markets to implement straight-through processing (STP).²(2)

1.2. General explanation of matching, clearing and settlement

(1) Parties to institutional trade — A typical trade with or on behalf of an institutional investor might involve at least three parties:

- a registered adviser or other *buy-side* manager acting for an institutional investor in the trade—and often acting on behalf of more than one institutional investor in the trade (i.e., multiple underlying institutional client accounts)—who decides what securities to buy or sell and how the assets should be allocated among the client accounts;
- a registered dealer (including an Alternative Trading System registered as a dealer) responsible for executing or clearing the trade; and
- any financial institution or registered dealer (including under a *prime brokerage* arrangement) appointed to hold the institutional investor's assets and settle trades.

(2) Matching — A first step in settling a securities trade is to ensure that the buyer and the seller agree on the details of the transaction, a process referred to as trade confirmation and affirmation or trade *matching*.³(3) A registered dealer who executes trades with or on behalf of others is required to report and confirm trade details, not only with the counterparty to the trade, but also with the client for whom it acted or the client with whom it traded (in which case, the client would be the counterparty). Similarly, a registered adviser or other buy-side manager is required to report trade details and provide settlement instructions to its custodian. The parties must agree on trade details—sometimes referred to as *trade data elements*—as soon as possible so that errors and discrepancies in the trades can be discovered early in the clearing and settlement process.

(3) Matching process — Verifying the trade data elements is necessary to *match* a trade executed on behalf of or with an institutional investor. Matching occurs when the relevant parties to the trade have, after verifying the trade data elements, reconciled or agreed to the details of the trade. Matching also requires that any custodian holding the institutional investor's assets be in a position to affirm the trade so that the trade can be ready for the clearing and

settlement process through the facilities of the clearing agency. To illustrate, trade matching usually includes these following activities:

(a) The registered dealer notifies the buy-side manager that the trade was executed.

(b) The buy-side manager advises the dealer and any custodian(s) how the securities traded are to be allocated among the underlying institutional client accounts managed by the buy-side manager.⁴⁽⁴⁾ For so-called *block settlement trades*, the dealer sometimes receives allocation information from the buy-side manager based only on the number of custodians holding institutional investors' assets instead of on the actual underlying institutional client accounts managed by the buy-side manager.

(c) The dealer reports and confirms the trade details to the buy-side manager and clearing agency. The trade details required to be confirmed for matching, clearing and settlement purposes are generally similar to the information required in the customer trade confirmation delivered pursuant to securities legislation or self-regulatory organization (SRO) rules.⁵⁽⁵⁾

(d) The custodian or custodians of the assets of the institutional investor verify the trade details and settlement instructions against available securities or funds held for the institutional investor. After trade details are agreed, the buy-side manager instructs the custodian(s) to release funds and/or securities to the dealer through the facilities of the clearing agency.

(4) Clearing and settlement — The *clearing* of a trade begins after the execution of the trade. After matching is completed, clearing will involve the calculation of the mutual obligations of participants for the exchange of securities and money—a process which generally occurs within the facilities of a clearing agency. The *settlement* of a trade is the moment when the securities are transferred finally and irrevocably from one participant to another in exchange for a corresponding transfer of money. In the context of settlement of a trade through the facilities of a clearing agency, often acting as central counterparty, settlement will be the discharge of obligations in respect of funds or securities, computed on a net basis, between and among the clearing agency and its participants. Through the operation of novation and set-off in law or by contract, the clearing agency becomes a counterparty to each trade so that the mutual obligation to settle the trade is between the clearing agency and each participant.

1.3. Section 1.1 - Definitions and scope

(1) Clearing agency — Today, the definition of *clearing agency* applies only to The Canadian Depository for Securities Limited (CDS). The definition takes into account the fact that securities regulatory authorities in Ontario and Quebec currently recognize or otherwise regulate clearing agencies in Canada under provincial securities legislation.⁶⁽⁶⁾ The functional meaning of *clearing agency* can be found in the securities legislation of certain jurisdictions.⁷⁽⁷⁾

(2) Custodian — While investment assets are sometimes held directly by investors, most are held on behalf of the investor by or through securities accounts maintained with a financial institution or dealer. The definition of *custodian* includes both a financial institution (non-dealer custodian) and a dealer acting as custodian (dealer custodian). Most institutional investors, such as pension and mutual funds, hold their assets through custodians that are prudentially-regulated financial institutions. However, others (like hedge funds) often maintain their investment assets with dealers under so-called *prime-brokerage* arrangements. A financial institution or dealer in Canada need not necessarily have a direct contractual relationship with an institutional investor to be considered a custodian of portfolio assets of the institutional investor for the purposes of the Regulation if it is acting as sub-custodian to a global custodian or international central securities depository.

(3) Institutional investor — An individual can be an “institutional investor” if the individual has been granted DAP/RAP trading privileges (i.e., he or she has a DAP/RAP account with a dealer). This will likely be the case

whenever an individual's investment assets are held by or through securities accounts maintained with a custodian instead of the individual's dealer that executes his or her trades. While the expression "institutional trade" is not defined in the Regulation, we use the expression in this Policy Statement to mean broadly any DAP/RAP trade.

(4) DAP/RAP trade — The concepts *delivery against payment* and *receipt against payment* are generally understood by the industry. They are also defined terms in the Notes and Instructions (Schedule 4) to the *Joint Regulatory Financial Questionnaire and Report* of the Canadian SROs. All DAP/RAP trades, whether settled by a non-dealer custodian or a dealer custodian, are subject to the requirements of Part 3 of the Regulation. The definition of DAP/RAP trade excludes a trade for which settlement is made on behalf of a client by a custodian that is also the dealer that executed the trade.

(5) Trade-matching party — An institutional investor, whether Canadian or foreign-based, is a trade-matching party. As such, it or its adviser would be required to enter into a trade-matching agreement or provide a trade-matching statement under Part 3 of the Regulation. A custodian that settles a trade on behalf of an institutional investor is also a trade-matching party and must enter into a trade-matching agreement or provide a trade-matching statement. However, a foreign global custodian or international central securities depository that holds Canadian portfolio assets through a local Canadian sub-custodian would not normally be considered a trade-matching party if it is not a clearing agency participant or otherwise directly involved in settling the trade in Canada.

(6) Application of Regulation — Part 2 of the Regulation enumerates certain types of trades that are not subject to the Regulation.

PART 2 TRADE MATCHING REQUIREMENTS

2.1. Trade data elements

Trade data elements that must be verified and agreed to are those identified by the SROs or the best practices and standards for institutional trade processing established and generally adopted by the industry. See section 2.4 of this Policy Statement. To illustrate, trade data elements that should be transmitted, compared and agreed to may include the following:

(a) *Security identification*: standard numeric identifier, currency, issuer, type/class/series, market ID; and

(b) *Order and trade information*: dealer ID, account ID, account type, buy/sell indicator, order status, order type, unit price/face amount, number of securities/quantity, message date/time, trade transaction type, commission, accrued interest (fixed income), broker settlement location, block reference, net amount, settlement type, allocation sender reference, custodian, payment indicator, IM portfolio/account ID, quantity allocated, and settlement conditions.

2.2. Trade matching deadlines for registrants

The obligation of a registered dealer or registered adviser to establish, maintain and enforce policies and procedures, pursuant to sections 3.1 and 3.3 of the Regulation, will require the dealer or adviser to take reasonable steps to achieve matching as soon as practical after the DAP/RAP trade is executed and in any event no later than the end of T. If the trade results from an order to buy or sell securities received from an institutional investor whose investment decisions are usually made in and communicated from a geographical region outside of the western hemisphere, the deadline for matching is the end of T+1(subsections 3.1(2) and 3.3(2)).

2.3. Choice of trade-matching agreement or trade-matching statement

(1) Establishing, maintaining and enforcing policies and procedures

(a) A registered dealer or registered adviser can open an account for an institutional investor, or accept or give, as the case may be, an order for an existing account of an institutional investor, only if each of the trade-matching parties has either (i) entered into a trade-matching agreement with the dealer or adviser or (ii) provided or made available a trade-matching statement to the dealer or adviser (sections 3.2 and 3.4). The purpose of the trade-matching agreement or trade-matching statement is to ensure that all trade-matching parties have established, maintain, and enforce appropriate policies and procedures designed to achieve matching of a DAP/RAP trade as soon as practical after the trade is executed.

(b) The parties described in paragraphs (a), (b), (c) and (d) of the definition “trade-matching party” in section 1.1 of the Regulation need not necessarily all be involved in a trade for the requirements of sections 3.2 and 3.4 of the Regulation to apply. For example, the requirement to enter into a trade-matching agreement or provide a trade-matching statement will apply in a simple case where an individual has a DAP/RAP trading account with a dealer and investment assets held separately by a custodian (sections 3.2 and 3.4). There is no need for an adviser to be involved in the individual’s investment decisions for the requirement to apply to the dealer, the custodian and the institutional investor. In this case, the trade-matching parties that must have appropriate policies and procedures in place would be the individual (as institutional investor), the dealer and the custodian.

(c) Where a trade-matching party is an entity, we are of the view that a trade-matching agreement or trade-matching statement should be signed by a senior executive officer of the entity to ensure its policies and procedures are given sufficient attention and priority within the entity’s senior management. A senior executive officer would be any individual who is (a) the chair of the entity, if that individual performs the functions of the office on a full time basis, (b) a vice-chair of the entity, if that individual performs the functions of the office on a full time basis, (c) the president, chief executive officer or chief operating officer of the entity, and (d) a senior vice-president of the entity in charge of the entity’s operations and back-office functions.

(2) Trade-matching agreement

(a) A registered dealer or registered adviser need only enter into one trade-matching agreement with the other trade-matching parties for new or existing DAP/RAP trading accounts of an institutional investor for all future trades in relation to such account. The trade-matching agreement may be a single multi-party agreement among the trade-matching parties, or a network of bilateral agreements. A single trade-matching agreement is also sufficient for the general and all sub-accounts of the registered adviser or buy-side manager. If the dealer or adviser uses a trade-matching agreement, the form of such agreement may be incorporated into the institutional account opening documentation and may be modified from time to time with the consent of the parties.

(b) The agreement must specify the roles and responsibilities of each of the trade-matching parties and should describe the minimum standards and best practices to be incorporated into the policies and procedures that each party has in place. This should include the timelines for accomplishing the various steps and tasks of each trade-matching party for timely matching. For example, the agreement may include, as applicable, provisions dealing with:

For the dealer executing and/or clearing the trade:

- how and when the notice of trade execution (NOE) is to be given to the institutional investor or its adviser, including the format and content of the NOE (e.g., electronic);
- how and when trade details are to be entered into the dealer’s internal systems and the clearing agency’s systems;
- how and when the dealer is to correct or adjust trade details entered into its internal systems or the

clearing agency's systems as may be required to agree to trade details with the institutional investor or its adviser;

- general duties of the dealer to cooperate with other trade-matching parties in the investigation, adjustment, expedition and communication of trade details to ensure trades can be matched within prescribed timelines.

For the institutional investor or its adviser:

- how and when to review the NOE's trade details, including identifying any differences from its own records;
- how and when to notify the dealer of trade differences, if any, and resolve such differences;
- how and when to determine and communicate settlement details and account allocations to dealer and/or custodian(s);
- general duties of the institutional investor or its adviser to cooperate with other trade-matching parties in the investigation, adjustment, expedition and communication of trade details to ensure trades can be matched within prescribed timelines.

For the custodian settling the trade at the clearing agency:

- how and when to receive trade details and settlement instructions from institutional investors or their advisers;
- how and when to review and monitor trade details submitted to the clearing agency on an ongoing basis for items entered and awaiting affirmation or challenge;
- how and when to report to institutional investors or their advisers on an ongoing basis changes to the status of a trade and the matching of a trade;
- general duties of the custodian to cooperate with other trade-matching parties in the investigation, adjustment, expedition and communication of trade details to ensure trades can be matched within prescribed timelines.

(3) Trade-matching statement — A single trade-matching statement is sufficient for the general and all sub-accounts of the registered adviser or buy-side manager. A registered dealer or registered adviser may accept a trade-matching statement signed by a senior executive officer of a trade-matching party without further investigation and may continue to rely upon the statement for all future trades in an account, unless the dealer or adviser has knowledge that any statements or facts set out in the statement are incorrect. Mass mailings or emails of a trade-matching statement, or the posting of a single uniform trade-matching statement on a Website, would be acceptable ways of providing the statement to other trade-matching parties. A registrant may rely on a trade-matching party's representations that the trade-matching statement was provided to the other trade-matching parties without further investigation.

(4) Monitoring and enforcement of undertakings in trade-matching documentation — Registered dealers and advisers should use reasonable efforts to monitor compliance with the terms or undertakings set out in the trade-matching agreements or trade-matching statements. Dealers and advisers should report details of non-compliance in their Form 24-101F1 exception reports. This could include identifying to the regulators those trade-matching parties that are consistently non-compliant either because they do not have adequate policies and procedures in place or because they are not consistently complying with them.

Dealers and advisers should also take active steps to address problems if the policies and procedures of other trade-matching parties appear to be inadequate and are causing delays in the matching process. Such steps might include imposing monetary incentives (e.g. penalty fees) or requesting a third party review or assessment of the party's policies and procedures. This approach could enhance cooperation among the trade-matching parties leading to the identification of the root causes of failures to match trades on time.

2.4. Determination of appropriate policies and procedures

(1) Best practices — We are of the view that, when establishing appropriate policies and procedures, a party should

consider the industry's generally adopted best practices and standards for institutional trade processing.⁸⁽⁸⁾ It should also include those policies and procedures into its regulatory compliance and risk management programs.

(2) Different policies and procedures — We recognize that appropriate policies and procedures may not be the same for all registered dealers, registered advisers and other market participants because of the varying nature, scale and complexity of a market participant's business and risks in the trading process. For example, policies and procedures designed to achieve matching may differ among a registered dealer that acts as an "introducing broker" and one that acts as a "carrying broker".⁹⁽⁹⁾ In addition, if a dealer is not a clearing agency participant, the dealer's policies and procedures to expeditiously achieve matching should be integrated with the clearing arrangements that it has with any other dealer acting as carrying or clearing broker for the dealer. Establishing appropriate policies and procedures may require registered dealers, registered advisers and other market participants to upgrade their systems and enhance their interoperability with others.¹⁰⁽¹⁰⁾

2.5. Use of matching service utility

The Regulation does not require the trade-matching parties to use the facilities or services of a matching service utility to accomplish matching of trades within the prescribed timelines. However, if such facilities or services are made available in Canada, the use of such facilities or services may help a trade-matching party's compliance with the Regulation's requirements.

PART 3 INFORMATION REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

3.1. Exception reporting for registrants

(a) Part 4 of the Regulation requires a registrant to complete and deliver to the securities regulatory authority Form 24-101F1 and related exhibits. Form 24-101F1 need only be delivered if less than a percentage target of the DAP/RAP trades executed by or for the registrant in any given calendar quarter have matched within the time required by the Regulation. Tracking of a registrant's trade-matching statistics may be outsourced to a third party service provider, including a clearing agency or custodian. However, despite the outsourcing arrangement, the registrant retains full legal and regulatory liability and accountability to the Canadian securities regulatory authorities for its exception reporting requirements. If a registrant has insufficient information to determine whether it has achieved the percentage target of matched DAP/RAP trades in any given calendar quarter, it must explain in Form 24-101F1 the reasons for this and the steps it is taking to obtain this information in the future.

(b) Form 24-101F1 requires registrants to provide aggregate quantitative information on their equity and debt DAP/RAP trades. They must also provide qualitative information on the circumstances or underlying causes that resulted in or contributed to the failure to achieve the percentage target for matched equity and/or debt DAP/RAP trades within the maximum time prescribed by Part 3 of the Regulation and the specific steps they are taking to resolve delays in the trade reporting and matching process in the future. Registrants should provide information that is relevant to their circumstances. For example, dealers should provide information demonstrating problems with NOEs or reporting of trade details to the clearing agency. Reasons given for the failure could be one or more matters within the registrant's control or due to another trade-matching party or service provider.

(c) The steps being taken by a registrant to resolve delays in the matching process could be internally focused, such as implementing a new system or procedure, or externally focused, such as meeting with a trade-matching party to determine what action should be taken by that party. Dealers should confirm what steps they have taken to inform and encourage their clients to comply with the requirements or undertakings of the trade-matching agreement and/or trade-matching statement. They should confirm what problems, if any, they have

encountered with their clients, other trade-matching parties or service providers. They should identify the trade-matching party or service provider that appears to be consistently not meeting matching deadlines or to have no reasonable policies and procedures in place. Advisers should provide similar information, including information demonstrating problems with communicating allocations or with service providers or custodians.

3.2. Regulatory reviews of registrant exception reports

(a) We will review the completed Forms 24-101F1 on an ongoing basis to monitor and assess compliance by registrants with the Regulation's matching requirements. We will identify problem areas in matching, including identifying trade-matching parties that have no or weak policies and procedures in place to ensure matching of trades is accomplished within the time prescribed by Part 3 of the Regulation. Monitoring and assessment of registrant matching activities may be undertaken by the SROs in addition to, or in lieu of, reviews undertaken by us.

(b) Consistent inability to meet the matching percentage target will be considered as evidence by the Canadian securities regulatory authorities that either the policies and procedures of one or more of the trade matching parties have not been properly designed or, if properly designed, have been inadequately complied with. Consistently poor qualitative reporting will also be considered as evidence of poorly designed or implemented policies and procedures. See also section 2.3(4) of this Policy Statement for a further discussion of our approach to compliance and enforcement of the trade-matching requirements of the Regulation.

3.3. Other information reporting requirements

Clearing agencies and matching service utilities are required to include in Forms 24-101F2 and 24-101F5 certain trade-matching information in respect of their participants or users/subscribers. The purpose of this information is to facilitate monitoring and enforcement by the Canadian securities regulatory authorities or SROs of the Regulation's matching requirements.

3.4. Forms delivered in electronic form

We prefer that all forms and exhibits required to be delivered to the securities regulatory authority under the Regulation be delivered in electronic format by e-mail. Each securities regulatory authority will publish a local notice setting out the e-mail address or addresses to which the forms are to be sent.

3.5. Confidentiality of information

The forms delivered to the securities regulatory authority by a registrant, clearing agency and matching service utility under the Regulation will be treated as confidential by us, subject to the applicable provisions of the freedom of information and protection of privacy legislation adopted by each province and territory. We are of the view that the forms contain intimate financial, commercial and technical information and that the interests of the providers of the information in non-disclosure outweigh the desirability of making such information publicly available. However, we may share the information with SROs and may publicly release aggregate industry-wide matching statistics on equity and debt DAP/RAP trading in the Canadian markets.

PART 4 REQUIREMENTS FOR MATCHING SERVICE UTILITIES

4.1. Matching service utility

(1) Part 6 of the Regulation sets out reporting, systems capacity, and other requirements of a matching service utility. The term *matching service utility* expressly excludes a clearing agency. A matching service utility would be any entity that provides the services of a post-execution centralized matching facility for trade-matching parties. It may use technology to match in real-time trade data elements throughout a trade's processing lifecycle. A matching service utility would not include a registered dealer who offers "local" matching services to its institutional investor-clients.

(2) A matching service utility would be viewed by us as an important infrastructure system involved in the clearing and settlement of securities transactions. We believe that, while a matching service utility operating in Canada would largely enhance operational efficiency in the capital markets, it would raise certain regulatory concerns. Comparing and matching trade data are complex processes that are inextricably linked to the clearance and settlement process. A matching service utility concentrates processing risk in the entity that performs matching instead of dispersing that risk more to the dealers and their institutional investor-clients. Accordingly, we believe that the breakdown of a matching service utility's ability to accurately verify and match trade information from multiple market participants involving large numbers of securities transactions and sums of money could have adverse consequences for the efficiency of the Canadian securities clearing and settlement system. The requirements of the Regulation applicable to a matching service utility are intended to address these risks.

4.2. Initial information reporting requirements for a matching service utility

Sections 6.1(1) and 10.2(4) of the Regulation require any person or company that carries on or intends to carry on business as a matching service utility to deliver Form 24-101F3 to the securities regulatory authority. We will review Form 24-101F3 to determine whether the person or company that delivered the form is an appropriate person or company to act as a matching service utility for the Canadian capital markets. We will consider a number of factors when reviewing the form, including:

- (a) the performance capability, standards and procedures for the transmission, processing and distribution of details of trades executed on behalf of institutional investors;
- (b) whether market participants generally may obtain access to the facilities and services of the matching service utility on fair and reasonable terms;
- (c) personnel qualifications;
- (d) whether the matching service utility has sufficient financial resources for the proper performance of its functions;
- (e) the existence of, and interoperability arrangements with, another entity performing a similar function for the same type of security; and
- (f) the systems report referred to in section 6.5(b) of the Regulation.

4.3. Change to significant information

Under section 6.2 of the Regulation, a matching service utility is required to deliver to the securities regulatory authority an amendment to the information provided in Form 24-101F3 at least 45 days before implementing a significant change involving a matter set out in Form 24-101F3. In our view, a significant change includes a change to the information contained in the General Information items 1-10 and Exhibits A, B, E, G, I, J, O, P and Q of Form

24-101F3.

4.4. Ongoing information reporting and other requirements applicable to a matching service utility

(1) Ongoing quarterly information reporting requirements will allow us to monitor a matching service utility's operational performance and management of risk, the progress of interoperability in the market, and any negative impact on access to the markets. A matching service utility will also provide trade matching data (e.g., number of trades matched on T) and other information to us so that we can monitor industry compliance.

(2) Completed forms delivered by a matching service utility will provide useful information on whether it is:

(a) developing fair and reasonable linkages between its systems and the systems of any other matching service utility in Canada that, at a minimum, allow parties to executed trades that are processed through the systems of both matching service utilities to communicate through appropriate, effective interfaces;

(b) negotiating with other matching service utilities in Canada fair and reasonable charges and terms of payment for the use of interface services with respect to the sharing of trade and account information; and

(c) not unreasonably charging more for use of its facilities and services when one or more counterparties to trades are customers of other matching service utilities than the matching service utility would normally charge its customers for use of its facilities and services.

4.5. Capacity, integrity and security system requirements

(1) The activities in section 6.5(a) of the Regulation must be carried out at least once a year. We would expect these activities to be carried out even more frequently if there is a significant change in trading volumes that necessitates that these functions be carried out more frequently in order to ensure that the matching service utility can appropriately service its clients.

(2) The independent review contemplated by section 6.5(b) of the Regulation should be performed by competent and independent audit personnel, in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Depending on the circumstances, we would consider accepting a review performed and written report delivered pursuant to similar requirements of a foreign regulator to satisfy the requirements of this section. A matching service utility that wants to advocate for that result must submit a request for discretionary relief.

(3) The notification of a material systems failure under section 6.5(c) of the Regulation should be provided promptly from the time the incident was identified as being material and should include the date, cause and duration of the interruption and its general impact on users or subscribers. We consider promptly to mean within one hour from the time the incident was identified as being material. Material systems failures include serious incidents that result in the interruption of the matching of trades for more than thirty minutes during normal business hours.

PART 5 TRADE SETTLEMENT

5.1. Trade settlement by dealer

Section 7.1 of the Regulation is intended to support and strengthen the general settlement cycle rules of the

SROs and marketplaces. Current SRO and marketplace rules mandate a standard T+3 settlement cycle period for most transactions in equity and long term debt securities.¹¹⁽¹¹⁾ If a dealer is not a participant of a clearing agency, the dealer's policies and procedures to facilitate the settlement of a trade should be combined with the clearing arrangements that it has with any other dealer acting as carrying or clearing broker for the dealer.

PART 6 REQUIREMENTS OF SELF-REGULATORY ORGANIZATIONS AND OTHERS

6.1. Standardized documentation

Without limiting the generality of section 8.2 of the Regulation, an SRO may require its members to use, or recommend that they use, a standardized form of trade-matching agreement or trade-matching statement prepared or approved by the SRO, and may negotiate on behalf of its members with other trade-matching parties and industry associations to agree on the standardized form of trade-matching agreement or trade-matching statement to be used by all relevant sectors in the industry (dealers, buy-side managers and custodians).

PART 7 TRANSITION

7.1. Transitional dates and percentages

The following table summarizes the coming-into-force and transitional provisions of Part 10 of the Regulation for most DAP/RAP trades governed by this Regulation. For DAP/RAP trades that result from an order to buy or sell securities received from an institutional investor whose investment decisions are usually made in and communicated from a geographical region outside of the western hemisphere, the same table can be read to apply to such trades except that references in the second column (matching deadline) to "T+1" and "T" should be read as references to "T+2" and "T+1" respectively.

For DAP/RAP trades executed:	Matching deadline for trades executed anytime on T (Part 3 of Regulation)	Percentage trigger of DAP/RAP trades for registrant exception reporting (Part 4 of Regulation)	Periods in which: - exception reporting must be made (Part 4 of Regulation) - documentation must be in place (Sections 3.2 and 3.4 of Regulation)
after March 31, 2007 but before October 1, 2007	12:00 p.m. (noon) on T+1	N/A ¹²⁽¹²⁾	Not required
after September 30, 2007 but before January 1, 2008	12:00 p.m. (noon) on T+1	Less than 80% matched by deadline	Required
after December 31, 2007 but before July 1, 2008	12:00 p.m. (noon) on T+1	Less than 90% matched by deadline	Required

after June 30, 2008 but before January 1, 2009	11:59 p.m. on T	Less than 70% matched by deadline	Required
after December 31, 2008 but before July 1, 2009	11:59 p.m. on T	Less than 80% matched by deadline	Required
after June 30, 2009, but before January 1, 2010	11:59 p.m. on T	Less than 90% matched by deadline	Required
after December 31, 2009	11:59 p.m. on T	Less than 95% matched by deadline	Required

Décision 2007-PDG-0056 -- 6 mars 2007
Bulletin de l'Autorité : 2007-03-23, Vol. 4 n° 12

Notes

1 (Commentaire déroulant - Popup)

In this Policy Statement, the terms “CSA”, “we”, “our” or “us” are used interchangeably and generally mean the same thing as *Canadian securities regulatory authorities* defined in National Instrument 14-101 — *Definitions*.

2 (Commentaire déroulant - Popup)

For a discussion of Canadian STP initiatives, see Canadian Securities Administrators’ (CSA) Discussion Paper 24-401 on *Straight-through Processing* and Request for Comments, June 11, 2004 (supplement to the Bulletin of the *Autorité des marchés financiers*, Vol. 1, no. 19, June 11, 2004 [Discussion Paper 24-401]); and CSA Notice 24-301—*Responses to Comments Received on Discussion Paper 24-401 on Straight-through Processing, Draft Regulation 24-101 respecting Post-trade Matching and Settlement, and Draft Policy Statement to Regulation 24-101 Post-trade Matching and Settlement*, February 11, 2005 (Supplement to the Bulletin of the *Autorité des marchés financiers*, Vol. 2, no. 6, February 11, 2005).

3 (Commentaire déroulant - Popup)

The processes and systems for matching of “non-institutional trades” in Canada have evolved over time and become automated, such as retail trades on an exchange, which are matched or *locked-in* automatically at the exchange, or direct non-exchange trades between two participants of a clearing agency, which are generally matched through the facilities of the clearing agency. Dealer to dealer trades are subject to Investment Dealers Association of Canada (IDA) Regulation 800.49, which provides that trades in non-exchange traded securities (including government debt securities) among dealers must be entered or accepted or rejected through the facilities of an “Acceptable Trade Matching Utility” within one hour of the execution of the trade.

4 (Commentaire déroulant - Popup)

We remind investment counsel/portfolio managers (ICPMs) of their obligations to ensure fairness in the allocation of investment opportunities among the ICPM’s clients. An ICPM’s written fairness policies should include the following disclosures, where applicable to its investment processes: (i) method used to allocate price and commission among clients when trades are bunched or blocked; (ii) method used to allocate block trades and IPOs among client accounts, and (iii) method used to allocate among clients block trades and IPOs that are partially filled (e.g., pro-rata). Securities legislation requires ICPMs to file a copy of their current fairness policies with securities regulatory authorities. See, for example, Regulation 115 under the *Securities Act* (Ontario) and OSC Staff Notice 33-723—*Fair Allocation of Investment Opportunities—Compliance Team Desk Review*.

5 (Commentaire déroulant - Popup)

See, for example, section 162 of the *Securities Act* (Québec), sections 243 to 248 of the *Securities Regulation* (Québec), section 36 of the *Securities Act* (Ontario), The Toronto Stock Exchange (TSX) Rule 2-405 and IDA Regulation 200.1(h).

6 (Commentaire déroulant - Popup)

CDS is also regulated by the Bank of Canada pursuant to the *Payment Clearing and Settlement Act* (Canada).

7 (Commentaire déroulant - Popup)

See, for example, s. 1(1) of the *Securities Act* (Ontario).

8 (Commentaire déroulant - Popup)

The Canadian Capital Markets Association (CCMA) released in December 2003 the final version of a document entitled *Canadian Securities Marketplace Best Practices and Standards: Institutional Trade Processing, Entitlements and Securities Lending* (“CCMA Best Practices and Standards White Paper”) that sets out best practices and standards for the processing for settlement of institutional trades, the processing of entitlements (corporate actions), and the processing of securities lending transactions. The CCMA Best Practices and Standards White Paper can be found on the CCMA website at www.ccma-acmc.ca.

9 (Commentaire déroulant - Popup)

See IDA By-Law No. 35 — *Introducing Broker / Carrying Broker Arrangements*.

10 (Commentaire déroulant - Popup)

See Discussion Paper 24-401, at p. 3984, for a discussion of *interoperability*.

11 (Commentaire déroulant - Popup)

See, for example, IDA Regulation 800.27 and TSX Rule 5-103(1).

12 (Commentaire déroulant - Popup)

Although exception reporting is not required during this period (see next column), we recommend that registrants consider applying a 70% threshold for internal measurement purposes in anticipation of reporting commencing on October 1, 2007.