

Ronald P. Harvey, B. Comm., CGA, CFP
Senior Financial Advisor and Branch Manager
Independent Planning Group Inc.
1545 Carling Avenue, Suite 200
Ottawa, On K1Z 8P9
Phone 613-238-7818 or 1-800-700-3589

Sept. 21, 2011

British Columbia Securities Commission
Alberta Securities Commission
Saskatchewan Financial Services Commission
Manitoba Securities Commission
Ontario Securities Commission
Autorité des marchés financiers
New Brunswick Securities Commission
Superintendent of Securities, Prince Edward Island
Nova Scotia Securities Commission
Superintendent of Securities, Newfoundland and Labrador
Superintendent of Securities, Northwest Territories
Superintendent of Securities, Yukon Territory
Superintendent of Securities, Nunavut

John Stevenson
Secretary
Ontario Securities Commission
20 Queen Street West, Suite 1903, Box 55
Toronto, ON M5H 3S8

Me Anne-Marie Beaudoin
Corporate Secretary
Autorité des marchés financiers
800, square Victoria, 22e étage
C.P. 246, tour de la Bourse
Montréal (Québec) H4Z 1G3

Re: Proposed Amendments to National Instrument 31-103: Cost Disclosure and Performance Reporting

I am writing to provide comments to the proposed amendments to *National Instrument 31-103 Registration Requirements and Exemptions: Cost Disclosure and Performance Reporting* (the "Proposals").

I am an investment advisor with the firm, Independent Planning Group Inc. I am licensed in Ontario and Quebec.

I have read and am in agreement with the letter put forward by The Investment Funds Institute of Canada ("IFIC") on September 7, 2011.

I support the general principles of the Proposals to provide clients with clear and transparent reporting on performance and costs. However, I agree with the IFIC letter that the Proposals raise two significant concerns for the industry which need to be taken into consideration before any further work is done on these regulations. My concerns relate to:

- The disregard for the CRM consultative process under the Registration Reform project where performance reporting and cost disclosure were first delegated to IIROC and MFDA for rule development and then readdressed in the current Proposals – a practice which ultimately draws into question the integrity of the consultative process;
- The overemphasis on disclosure of fees and compensation that are already paid by the MER and included in net return reporting, and are already clearly defined in the prospectus – an overemphasis which will confuse investors and promote misleading cost comparisons with products that do not require similar disclosures.

Regulatory Coordination:

I am concerned that conclusions reached following lengthy public consultation by the MFDA, as reflected in MFDA Rule 5.3.5 are balanced in their application, and believe that they should not be set aside by these Proposals. To do so, in my view would undermine the value of the extensive and valuable work contributed over the last seven years of public consultations, and would place at risk the credibility of the public consultation process itself.

The statement and system changes that will be made to meet the new MFDA Rule 5.3.5, due to become effective in July 2012, will be overtaken by the implementation of the Proposals. If dealers are required to make statement reporting changes to meet the MFDA requirement, and subsequently to make changes to implement the Proposals, then over a relatively short time investors will experience two significant statement and reporting changes. Such instability in reporting and statement presentation cannot be in the best interests of the investor. I believe it is in the best interests of investors to have one clear and consistent rule for performance reporting and cost disclosure as developed through accepted practices of public consultation.

I ask that the CSA allow the SROs to develop rules for the regulation of performance reporting and cost disclosure of their members, and exempt SRO members from compliance with the Proposals.

Overlap with Point of Sale NI 81-101 Changes

There is significant overlap with the Point of Sale (POS) disclosure requirements. It is my view that disclosure of mutual fund information should be mandated through changes to NI 81-101, and should not also be mandated in advance of Phase 3 of POS through changes to NI 31-103.

Annual Cost Disclosure:

The cost disclosures found in the Proposal will confuse investors and may lead them to draw misleading cost comparisons.

The new emphasis on aggregating charges and disclosing fees such as trailer fees may cause investors to double count charges that have already been charged to their investments and are disclosed elsewhere. This misleading practice may cause investors to believe their mutual fund investments are being overcharged relative to other products, and lead them away from suitable mutual fund investments to less suitable and less transparent investment options in the banking and insurance sectors where such detailed requirements are not required.

Annual Performance Reporting:

The MFDA has an approved rule in place for performance reporting which is in line with the principles of the CRM and represents the balance of interests reflected in the extensive public consultations that preceded its adoption. I believe that the MFDA Rule 5.3.5 which mandates a simple measure with flexibility to provide annual gain/loss information or percentage return, aligns well with the expressed needs of investors and their unwillingness to pay additional for more detailed performance information.

I believe that the IFIC recommendations meet the needs of all stakeholders and I recommend that you consider them seriously as you decide on next steps for this initiative.

Yours truly,

Ronald P. Harvey, B. Comm., CGA, CFP
Senior Financial Advisor and Branch Manager
Independent Planning Group Inc.
1545 Carling Avenue, Suite 200
Ottawa, On K1Z 8P9
Phone 613-238-7818 or 1-800-700-3589
Fax 613-238-8035
E-mail ron@ipgottawacentral.ca

The following material is intended for use by the individual or entity to which it is specifically addressed and should not be read by or delivered to any other person. Such material may contain privileged or confidential information, the disclosure or other use of which by other than the intended recipient may result in a breach of certain laws or the infringement on the rights of third parties. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately and destroy the original email without making a copy.