Draft Regulations

Securities Act (chapter V-1.1, s. 331.1, pars. (1), (3), (6), (8), (11). (14) and (34), and s. 331.2)

Regulation to amend Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions and concordant regulation - Accredited Investor and Minimum Amount Investment Prospectus **Exemptions**

Notice is hereby given by the Autorité des marchés financiers (the "Authority") that, in accordance with section 331.2 of the Securities Act, R.S.Q. c. V-1.1, the following Regulations, the texts of which are published hereunder, may be made by the Authority and subsequently submitted to the Minister of Finance and the Economy for approval, with or without amendment, after 90 days have elapsed since their publication in the Bulletin of the Authority:

- Regulation to amend Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions;
 - Regulation to amend Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities.

We are publishing blacklined versions showing the amendments to Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions and forms and to Policy Statement to Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions.

Request for comment

Comments regarding the above may be made in writing by May 28, 2014, to the following:

M^e Anne-Marie Beaudoin Corporate Secretary Autorité des marchés financiers 800, square Victoria, 22^e étage C.P. 246, tour de la Bourse Montréal (Québec) H4Z 1G3

Fax: (514) 864-6381

E-mail: consultation-en-cours@lautorite.gc.ca

Further information

Further information is available from:

Sylvie Lalonde Director, Policy and Regulations Department Autorité des marchés financiers 514 395-0337, ext. 4461 Toll-free: 1 877 525-0337 sylvie.lalonde@lautorite.gc.ca

Alexandra Lee Senior Policy Advisor Autorité des marchés financiers 514 395-0337, ext. 4465 Toll-free: 1 877 525-0337 alexandra.lee@lautorite.qc.ca

February 27, 2014

CSA Notice and Request for Comment Draft Regulation to amend Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions Relating to the Accredited Investor and **Minimum Amount Investment Prospectus Exemptions**

February 27, 2014

Introduction

The Canadian Securities Administrators (CSA or we) are publishing for a 90-day comment period a draft Regulation to amend Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions (the **Draft Regulation**).

If adopted, the Draft Regulation would, among other things:

- require persons relying on the accredited investor prospectus exemption in section 2.3 of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions (Regulation 45-106) and section 73.3 of Securities Act (Ontario) (the AI Exemption) to obtain a signed risk acknowledgement in Form 45-106F9 Risk Acknowledgement Form for Individual Accredited Investors (Form 45-106F9) from certain individual accredited investors who are not permitted clients.
- restrict the minimum amount investment prospectus exemption in section 2.10 of Regulation 45-106 (the MA Exemption) to distributions to non-individual investors, and
- amend the definition of accredited investor in Ontario to allow fully managed accounts to purchase investment fund securities using the managed account category of the AI Exemption, as is permitted in other Canadian jurisdictions.

The text of the Draft Regulation is published with this notice and will also be available on websites of CSA jurisdictions, including:

www.lautorite.qc.ca www.albertasecurities.com www.bcsc.bc.ca www.nssc.gov.ns.ca www.fcnb.ca www.osc.gov.on.ca www.fcaa.gov.sk.ca www.msc.gov.mb.ca

Substance and Purpose

The Draft Regulation is intended to address concerns that:

- some individual investors may not understand the risks of investing under the AI Exemption or may not in fact qualify as accredited investors
- the threshold of \$150,000 in the MA Exemption may not be a proxy for sophistication or ability to withstand financial loss for individual investors and may encourage overconcentration in one investment for an individual investor.

We are not proposing to change the dollar thresholds in either the AI Exemption or the MA Exemption because we concluded that this would not address the identified concerns.

Background

The AI Exemption and the MA Exemption have historically been premised on the investor having one or more of

- a certain level of sophistication
- the ability to withstand financial loss
- the financial resources to obtain expert advice
- the incentive to carefully evaluate the investment given its size.

The AI Exemption and the MA Exemption provide cost-effective objective measures for issuers to distribute securities to raise capital or for other purposes. However, the thresholds for individuals to qualify as accredited investors were originally set by the Securities and Exchange Commission (**SEC**) in 1982, and subsequently adopted by the CSA in the early 2000s. The current \$150,000 threshold for the MA Exemption was set in 1987. The thresholds have not been changed or adjusted for inflation since.

The CSA conducted a broad review of the AI Exemption and the MA Exemption because of investor protection concerns highlighted by the financial crisis in 2007-2008. On November 10, 2011, the CSA published CSA Staff Consultation Note 45-401 *Review of Minimum Amount and Accredited Investor Exemptions* (the **consultation note**). On June 7, 2012, the CSA published CSA Staff Notice 45-310 *Update on CSA Staff Consultation Note 45-401 Review of Minimum Amount and Accredited Investor Exemptions*.

As part of our broad review, CSA staff reviewed and considered the following information:

- 110 comment letters received on the consultation note
- feedback received during consultation sessions held across Canada
- data relating to the exempt market and the use of the capital raising prospectus exemptions gathered from exempt distribution reports filed in the participating jurisdictions for distributions in 2011
- data compiled from Statistics Canada on Canadian income levels
- input from compliance and enforcement staff about complaints and investigations involving the use of these exemptions
- decisions resulting from enforcement proceedings of securities regulatory authorities involving the exemptions
- guidance issued by CSA members on establishing accredited investor status.

As a result of this broad review, the CSA learned the following about the AI Exemption:

- The data we gathered confirmed that the AI Exemption is the most relied on capital raising exemption for all issuers (investment funds and non-investment funds; reporting and non-reporting issuers) both in terms of amount of capital invested under it (\$134 billion or 90% of the total invested in 2011 by Canadians) as well as number of times relied on for distributions to Canadian investors (64%).
- A common theme in the 110 comment letters received on the consultation note was the need
 to maintain or increase access to capital. Many commenters expressed concern about any
 changes to the AI Exemption that may limit access to capital, particularly for small and
 medium sized enterprises. A majority of commenters supported keeping the AI Exemption at
 its current income and asset thresholds. Approximately one-third of commenters supported
 decreasing the thresholds to encourage new capital investment.
- Very few Canadians meet the current thresholds to be accredited investors. Approximately 1.1% of Canadians met the net income test in 2011. Increasing the income threshold to \$245,000 to account for inflation since 2001 would reduce that number by almost one-third (only 0.7% of Canadians had income of \$250,000 and over in 2011). (Statistics Canada, Table 111-0008, representing individuals that filed a tax return in Canada).
- Most enforcement hearings involving the AI Exemption focused on whether the investors
 properly met the accredited investor test. In many cases, the investors were not informed
 about the details of the tests or the risks of purchasing under a prospectus exemption.

Review of MA Exemption

The CSA learned the following about the **MA Exemption** from our broad review:

• The MA Exemption raises the second highest amount of capital (\$5.6 billion or 3.7% of total invested in 2011 by Canadians), after the AI Exemption. However, when we considered the number of times investors invested under the MA Exemption, we found it was relied on less than 1% of the time for distributions to Canadian investors. This is less frequently than other "capital raising" exemptions, such as the AI Exemption, the family, friends and business associates exemption in section 2.5 of Regulation 45-106 and the offering memorandum exemption in section 2.9 of Regulation 45-106.

_

¹ A total of \$149.5 billion was invested by Canadian investors in investment funds and non-investment fund issuers under the five main prospectus exemptions used for capital raising: the AI Exemption; the family, friends and business associates exemption in section 2.5 of Regulation 45-106; the offering memorandum exemption in section 2.9 of Regulation 45-106; the MA Exemption; and the additional investment in investment funds in section 2.19 of Regulation 45-106. The amount of capital invested in investment fund issuers likely includes funds investing in other funds and investors redeeming in one fund and moving their capital to another fund – it is not limited to new capital invested. Investment funds are not required to reflect redemptions when reporting distributions.

- Commenters were evenly divided on whether to retain the MA Exemption. Many
 commenters supported eliminating it because it is philosophically unsound and creates the
 risk that the investor is over-concentrated in one product. Those that supported retaining it
 told us that it is an efficient, cost effective alternative when the AI exemption is not
 available.
- The majority of individuals invest between \$150,000 and \$200,000 when investing under the MA Exemption. When investors can choose how much to invest, they generally invest much less than \$150,000. For example, most individuals invest \$30,000 or less when investing under the AI Exemption.
- Compliance and enforcement staff in some jurisdictions told us the problems they typically see with the MA Exemption include:
 - o situations where the investment is clearly not suitable for the investor;
 - o individual investors are encouraged to borrow money to meet the terms of the MA Exemption; and
 - o individual investors are pressured to invest \$150,000 to participate in an "opportunity" when they would rather invest less.
- While the MA Exemption is not widely used in all jurisdictions or by all industries, it does provide an inexpensive alternative when the investor is not an accredited investor. The exemption works well for certain industries; for example, for the sale of real estate securities such as condominium projects where the condominium unit is valued over \$150,000. During consultation sessions, staff of some jurisdictions heard that certain small and medium-sized enterprises may not be able to invest under the AI Exemption because they do not meet the net asset test that applies to corporations (net assets of \$5 million).
- We reviewed all Canadian purchasers under the MA Exemption in 2011 and categorized them as individuals or non-individuals. Based on this review, we estimated that individuals investing under the MA Exemption represented less than 1% of the total \$149.5 billion invested by Canadians in 2011².

Summary of the Draft Regulation

Proposed amendments to the AI Exemption

We do not propose to change the income or asset thresholds used in the definition of accredited investor at this time. We will continue to monitor developments in other jurisdictions.

² Represents the amount invested by Canadian investors in investment funds and non-investment fund issuers under the five main prospectus exemptions used for capital raising: the AI Exemption; the family, friends and business associates exemption in section 2.5 of Regulation 45-106; the offering memorandum exemption in section 2.9 of Regulation 45-106; the MA Exemption; and the additional investment in investment funds in section 2.19 of Regulation 45-106. The amount of capital invested in investment fund issuers likely includes funds investing in other funds and investors redeeming in one fund and moving their capital to another fund – it is not limited to new capital invested. Investment funds are not required to reflect redemptions when reporting distributions.

We propose certain amendments to the AI Exemption to address investor protection concerns, particularly that some individual investors may not understand the risks associated with exempt market investments or may not in fact qualify as accredited investors. The following lists all changes we propose to make to the AI Exemption:

- 1. Individual accredited investors must complete and sign a new risk acknowledgement form, Form 45-106F9 *Risk Acknowledgement Form for Individual Accredited Investors*. Form 45-106F9 describes, in plain language, the categories of individual accredited investor and the protections an investor is renouncing by purchasing under the exemption. The investor would be required to indicate on the Form 45-106F9 which category of accredited investor they satisfy.
- 2. The Form 45-106F9 requirement would apply to all existing categories of *individual* accredited investor, namely individuals that:
 - earned net income of \$200,000, or \$300,000 with a spouse, in each of the two most recent calendar years, with a reasonable expectation to exceed that level in the current calendar year,
 - own financial assets (cash and securities no real estate), alone or with a spouse, in excess of \$1 million, or
 - own net assets of at least \$5 million.
- 3. Individual accredited investors who meet the permitted client test under *Regulation 31-103* respecting Registration Requirements, Exemptions and Ongoing Registrant Obligations (Regulation 31-103) would not be required to complete and sign Form 45-106F9. To be a permitted client, an individual must own financial assets in excess of \$5 million. As a permitted client, these individuals are able to waive suitability under subsection 13.3(4) of Regulation 31-103.
- 4. Any salesperson or finder, whether registered or not, involved in the trade to the individual investor would be required to complete and sign Form 45-106F9.
- 5. We propose additional guidance in the Policy Statement on the steps issuers should take to verify accredited investor status, including explaining the different tests and asking questions to obtain factual information from purchasers about their income or assets before discussing the investment.
- 6. Issuers would be required to identify the category of accredited investor of each purchaser in the report of exempt distribution (Form 45-106F1 and, in BC, Form 45-106F6). This would assist our compliance and enforcement departments when reviewing adherence to the AI Exemption.
- 7. The definition of accredited investor would be amended to include family trusts established by an accredited investor for his or her family, provided the majority of trustees of the family trust are accredited investors. We propose this amendment to address comments we have received since adopting Regulation 45-106 as well as on the consultation note, that it seemed

inconsistent that an accredited investor could not purchase securities on behalf of a trust established for the benefit of the accredited investor's family.

- 8. The Ontario Securities Commission (the OSC) proposes to amend the definition of accredited investor to allow fully managed accounts to purchase investment fund securities in Ontario. Registered advisers of fully managed accounts have a fiduciary duty to investors. A registered adviser of a fully managed account is an accredited investor under the definition of accredited investor in Regulation 45-106 and can buy all types of securities for the managed account on an exempt basis except, in Ontario, investment fund securities. A number of investment management industry participants commenting on this carve-out supported its removal, for the following reasons:
 - a portfolio manager's proficiency and fiduciary obligation to the investor serve as adequate investor protection,
 - managed account clients should have the benefit of the exemption whether investing in securities directly or through an investment fund, and
 - it would harmonize the managed account category of the AI Exemption across Canada.

Proposed amendment to the MA Exemption

We propose that the MA Exemption be amended so that it is only available for distributions to non-individuals to address investor protection concerns associated with the use of the exemption to distribute securities to individual investors.

Other proposed amendments

We propose to amend the form of **report of exempt distribution** (Form 45-106F1 and, in BC, Form 45-106F6) to gather additional information, particularly:

- the category of accredited investor for each purchaser
- updated industry categories
- more information on any person being compensated in connection with the distribution, including identifying which purchasers the person was compensated for.

This additional information will assist our compliance and data gathering functions. Having more information about the types of issuers using these exemptions will enable us to more effectively understand and regulate this market.

We are also making **housekeeping changes** resulting from the removal of the dealer registration exemptions (formerly Part 3 of Regulation 45-106) effective March 27, 2010 to reflect the adoption of Regulation 31-103. These include changing the title of Regulation 45-106 from "Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions" to "Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions" and making consequential amendments to other regulations to recognize the title change.

Impact on Investors

The Draft Regulation are intended to enhance investor protection.

The amendments to the AI Exemption, except those to the definition of accredited investor in connection with fully managed accounts and family trusts, would help individual investors understand whether they qualify as accredited investors and the risks of investing in the exempt market.

The OSC's proposed amendment to the definition of accredited investor to allow fully managed accounts to purchase investment fund securities in Ontario would permit fully managed accounts to purchase all securities on an exempt basis, including investment fund securities.

The amendment to the definition of accredited investor to include family trusts would permit an accredited investor to establish a family trust for the benefit of his or her family members.

The amendment to the MA Exemption is intended to reduce the risk of individual investors over-concentrating their investable assets in one investment while retaining the efficiency of the exemption for corporate and institutional investors. It also addresses our concern that the amount invested is not a good proxy for sophistication or the ability to withstand financial loss for individual investors.

The amendments to the report of exempt distribution would provide us with more information about this market, enabling us to better regulate by developing more targeted compliance and investor education programs.

Consequential Amendments

National Amendments

We will consequentially amend the following regulations and policy statements to recognize the change in title of Regulation 45-106 from "Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions" to "Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions":

- Policy Statement to Regulation 11-102 respecting Passport System
- Regulation 13-102 respecting System Fees for SEDAR and NRD
- Regulation 31-103 respecting Registration Requirements, Exemptions and Ongoing Registrant Obligations;
- Policy Statement to Regulation 31-103 respecting Registration Requirements, Exemptions and Ongoing Registrant Obligations;
- Regulation 32-102 respecting Registration Exemptions for Non-Resident Investment Fund Managers
- Regulation 33-105 respecting Underwriting Conflicts;
- Regulation 41-101 respecting General Prospectus Requirements;
- Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities;
- Policy Statement to Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities;
- Regulation 51-102 respecting Continuous Disclosure Obligations;
- Policy Statement to Regulation 51-105 respecting Issuers Quoted in the U.S. Over-the-Counter Markets
- Regulation 52-107 respecting Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards;

- Regulation 62-103 respecting The Early Warning System and Related Take-Over Bid and Insider Reporting Issues; and
- Regulation 62-104 respecting Take-Over Bids and Issuer Bids.

Local Matters

An annex is being published in any local jurisdiction that is making related changes to local securities laws, including local notices or other policy instruments in that jurisdiction. It also includes any additional information that is relevant to that jurisdiction only.

Both the British Columbia Securities Commission (BCSC) and the Ontario Securities Commission (OSC) are proposing local amendments to Regulation 45-106. In addition, the OSC is proposing local amendments to *Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities* (**Regulation 45-102**) and OSC Rule 45-501 *Ontario Prospectus and Registration Exemptions* (**OSC Rule 45-501**).

The BCSC proposes to amend Form 45-106F6 to gather additional information about issuers, purchasers and persons being compensated for distributions under prospectus exemptions. The BCSC also proposes to amend Form 45-106F6 and add a new section 6.7 to Regulation 45-106 to codify certain exemptions from the requirements in Form 45-106F6 currently in BC Instrument 45-533 *Exemptions from Form 45-106F6 Requirements*.

The OSC proposes to amend Regulation 45-106, Regulation 45-102 and OSC Rule 45-501 to reflect the anticipated coming into force of certain amendments to the *Securities Act* (Ontario) (the **OSA**). These OSA amendments were originally introduced in Bill 162 *An Act respecting the budget measures and other matters* (**Bill 162**) in 2009 in conjunction with changes to registration requirements made at that time. The proposed OSA amendments were to be implemented in two phases, and the second phase amendments are now expected to be proclaimed into force. In accordance with the provisions of Bill 162, certain prospectus exemptions currently set out in Regulation 45-106 or OSC Rule 45-501 were replaced with an equivalent list of statutory exemptions. Assuming the remaining provisions of Bill 162 are proclaimed, the following prospectus exemptions (or elements of these exemptions) currently in Regulation 45-106 will be moved to the OSA:

- the AI Exemption in subsection 2.3(1) of Regulation 45-106 will be moved to section 73.3 of the OSA, and
- the private issuer exemption in subsection 2.4(2) of Regulation 45-106 will be moved to section 73.4 of the OSA.

The local amendments proposed by the BCSC and OSC are reflected in the amendments to Regulation 45-102, in the Draft Regulation and in the blacklined version showing the amendments to Regulation 45-106 (including the forms), published with this notice. A more detailed explanation of the proposed local amendments is provided in the relevant annex, which is available on the website of each of the BCSC (www.bcsc.bc.ca) and OSC (www.osc.gov.on.ca).

Request for Comments

We welcome all comments on the Draft Regulation, the policy statement, forms, and consequential amendments.

Please submit your comments in writing on or before May 28, 2014. If you are not sending your comments by email, please send a CD containing the submissions (in Microsoft Word format).

Address your submission to all of the CSA as follows:

British Columbia Securities Commission

Alberta Securities Commission

Financial and Consumer Affairs Authority (Saskatchewan)

Manitoba Securities Commission

Ontario Securities Commission

Autorité des marchés financiers

Financial and Consumer Services Commission (New Brunswick)

Superintendent of Securities, Department of Justice and Public Safety, Prince Edward Island

Nova Scotia Securities Commission

Securities Commission of Newfoundland and Labrador

Registrar of Securities, Northwest Territories

Registrar of Securities, Yukon

Superintendent of Securities, Nunavut

Deliver your comments **only** to the three addresses below. Your comments will be distributed to the other participating CSA jurisdictions.

Me Anne-Marie Beaudoin Corporate Secretary Autorité des marchés financiers 800, square Victoria, 22e étage C.P. 246, tour de la Bourse Montréal (Québec) H4Z 1G3

Fax: 514-864-6381

consultation-en-cours@lautorite.qc.ca

Leslie Rose

Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance British Columbia Securities Commission P.O. Box 10142, Pacific Centre 701 West Georgia Street Vancouver, British Columbia, V7Y 1L2

Fax: 604-899-6814 lrose@bcsc.bc.ca

The Secretary
Ontario Securities Commission
20 Queen Street West
22nd Floor
Toronto, Ontario, M5H 3S8

Fax: 416-593-2318 comments@osc.gov.on.ca

We cannot keep submissions confidential. Please note that all comments received will be posted on the website of the *Autorité des marchés financiers* at www.lautorite.qc.ca and the website of the Ontario Securities Commission at www.osc.gov.on.ca. Therefore, you should not include personal information directly in comments to be published. It is important that you state on whose behalf you are making the submission.

Thank you in advance for your comments.

Contents of Annexes

The following annexes form part of this CSA Notice:

Annex A Overview of Comments Received on CSA Staff Consultation Note 45-401

Review of Minimum Amount and Accredited Investor Exemptions

Annex B List of Commenters on CSA Staff Consultation Note 45-401 Review of

Minimum Amount and Accredited Investor Exemptions

Annex C Summary of Comments on CSA Staff Consultation Note 45-401 *Review of*

Minimum Amount and Accredited Investor Exemptions

Questions

Please refer your questions to any of the following:

Quebec

Sylvie Lalonde Director, Policy and Regulations Department Autorité des marchés financiers 514 395-0337, ext. 4461 sylvie.lalonde@lautorite.qc.ca

British Columbia
Leslie Rose
Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance
British Columbia Securities Commission
604 899-6654
Toll free across Canada: 800-373-6393

lrose@bcsc.bc.ca

Alexandra Lee Senior Policy Advisor Autorité des marchés financiers 514 395-0337, ext. 4465 alexandra.lee@lautorite.qc.ca

George Hungerford Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance British Columbia Securities Commission 604 899-6690 Toll free across Canada: 800-373-6393 ghungerford@bcsc.bc.ca Alberta
Tracy Clark
Legal Counsel
Alberta Securities Commission
403 355-4424
tracy.clark@asc.ca

Saskatchewan
Sonne Udemgba
Deputy Director, Legal (Securities Division)
Financial and Consumer Affairs Authority
(Saskatchewan)
306 787-5879
sonne.udemgba@gov.sk.ca

Ontario
Jo-Anne Matear
Manager, Corporate Finance
Ontario Securities Commission
416 593-2323
jmatear@osc.gov.on.ca

Maria Carelli Senior Accountant, Compliance and Registrant Regulation Ontario Securities Commission 416 593-2380 mcarelli@osc.gov.on.ca

New Brunswick
Susan W. Powell
Deputy Director, Securities
Financial and Consumer Services Commission
(New Brunswick)
506 643-7697
susan.powell@fcnb.ca

Prince Edward Island
Steve Dowling
General Counsel
Consumer, Labour and Financial Services Division
Department of Environment, Labour and Justice
Government of Prince Edward Island
902 368-4552
sddowling@gov.pe.ca

Patrick Hlavac-Winsor Legal Counsel Alberta Securities Commission 403 355-2803 patrick.hlavac-winsor@asc.ca

Manitoba Chris Besko Legal Counsel - Deputy Director The Manitoba Securities Commission 204 945-2561 cbesko@gov.mb.ca

Elizabeth Topp Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance Ontario Securities Commission 416 593-2377 etopp@osc.gov.on.ca

Melissa Schofield Senior Legal Counsel, Investment Funds Ontario Securities Commission 416 595-8777 mschofield@osc.gov.on.ca

Nova Scotia
Shirley Lee
Director, Policy and Market Regulation
Nova Scotia Securities Commission
902 424-5441
leesp@gov.ns.ca

Newfoundland and Labrador

Don Boyles

Superintendent of Securities (by interim)

Securities Commission of Newfoundland and Labrador

Government of Newfoundland & Labrador

709 729-4501

dboyles@gov.nl.ca

Northwest Territories
Donn MacDougall

Deputy Superintendent, Legal & Enforcement

Office of the Superintendent of Securities

Government of the Northwest Territories

867 920-8984

donald_macdougall@gov.nt.ca

Nunavut

Louis Arki, Director, Legal Registries

Department of Justice, Government of Nunavut

867 975-6587

larki@gov.nu.ca

Yukon

Frederik J. Pretorius

Superintendent of Securities

Dept of Community Services

Government of Yukon

867 667-5225

fred.pretorius@gov.yk.ca

Annex A

Overview of Comments Received on CSA Staff Consultation Note 45-401 Review of Minimum Amount and Accredited Investor Exemptions

On November 10, 2011, CSA staff published CSA Staff Consultation Note 45-401 *Review of Minimum Amount and Accredited Investor Exemptions* (the consultation note). The consultation note provided information about the two exemptions under review and set out 31 consultation questions. The comment period closed on February 29, 2012. We continued to receive comment letters months after the deadline.

We received 110 comment letters and feedback from over 300 people who attended consultation sessions held across Canada. People expressed a wide range of views in the written comments and in the consultation sessions.

We thank everyone for the feedback they provided. We carefully considered the comment letters and feedback we received. Annex B contains a list of the commenters and Annex C contains a summary of the written comments.

Overview of the written comments

The AI Exemption

The comment letters contained a common theme: the importance of the AI Exemption for raising capital. Many commenters expressed concern about any changes to the AI Exemption that may limit access to capital, particularly for small and medium sized enterprises. Over half of the commenters supported keeping the exemption at its current income and asset thresholds. About a third of the commenters supported decreasing the thresholds to encourage new capital investment.

A few commenters supported increasing the thresholds, mostly to account for inflation. However, an equal number of commenters disagreed with indexing the thresholds to inflation, giving the following reasons:

- the number of Canadians who qualify as accredited investors under the current thresholds is a very small percentage of the population
- inflation is not necessarily the appropriate measure since it does not measure increases in income

A few commenters suggested eliminating the AI Exemption altogether and replacing it with alternative exemptions or adding additional protections.

Commenters were almost evenly divided on whether to require accredited investor status to be certified by an independent third party. A slight majority answered in the negative, for the following reasons:

- it would add another level of costly compliance
- whoever is performing the certification would have to rely on the investor's representations
 just as issuers and registrants currently do how would a third party be better able to verify
 the truthfulness of the investor

- investors could construe this as intrusive and would resent that their own representations are insufficient
- if an investor certifies that they qualify, that should be sufficient

The MA Exemption

Unlike for the AI Exemption, there were divergent views of whether we should repeal the MA Exemption. Commenters were almost evenly divided on this question. Slightly more commenters supported repealing it because:

- it is philosophically unsound the size of the investment is not relevant to measuring investor sophistication or ability to withstand loss because the investment could represent a significant portion of the investor's financial assets
- it creates a risk that the investor may over-concentrate their investment portfolio in one investment
- it is dangerous for investors they could lose their entire life savings or be financially destroyed
- many investors under this exemption would also be accredited investors

Those commenters who supported retaining the MA Exemption gave the following reasons:

- it is simple and easy to use
- it provides a useful alternative to the AI Exemption when needed
- regulators should continue to support access to capital by issuers during this difficult economic period
- \$150,000 still represents a significant amount for most people and is indicative of having the financial resources to afford advice if they choose.

A slight majority of commenters who responded to this question were **against** indexing the \$150,000 threshold to inflation. Their reasons included:

- to adjust for three decades of inflation would have too drastic an impact
- it would exacerbate the over-concentration problem
- the exemption is philosophically unsound increasing the threshold would only exacerbate the problem
- inflation and consumer price indices are irrelevant because they are measures of purchasing power rather than income or net worth

Annex A

Overview of Comments Received on CSA Staff Consultation Note 45-401 Review of Minimum Amount and Accredited Investor Exemptions

On November 10, 2011, CSA staff published CSA Staff Consultation Note 45-401 *Review of Minimum Amount and Accredited Investor Exemptions* (the consultation note). The consultation note provided information about the two exemptions under review and set out 31 consultation questions. The comment period closed on February 29, 2012. We continued to receive comment letters months after the deadline.

We received 110 comment letters and feedback from over 300 people who attended consultation sessions held across Canada. People expressed a wide range of views in the written comments and in the consultation sessions.

We thank everyone for the feedback they provided. We carefully considered the comment letters and feedback we received. Annex B contains a list of the commenters and Annex C contains a summary of the written comments.

Overview of the written comments

The AI Exemption

The comment letters contained a common theme: the importance of the AI Exemption for raising capital. Many commenters expressed concern about any changes to the AI Exemption that may limit access to capital, particularly for small and medium sized enterprises. Over half of the commenters supported keeping the exemption at its current income and asset thresholds. About a third of the commenters supported decreasing the thresholds to encourage new capital investment.

A few commenters supported increasing the thresholds, mostly to account for inflation. However, an equal number of commenters disagreed with indexing the thresholds to inflation, giving the following reasons:

- the number of Canadians who qualify as accredited investors under the current thresholds is a very small percentage of the population
- inflation is not necessarily the appropriate measure since it does not measure increases in income

A few commenters suggested eliminating the AI Exemption altogether and replacing it with alternative exemptions or adding additional protections.

Commenters were almost evenly divided on whether to require accredited investor status to be certified by an independent third party. A slight majority answered in the negative, for the following reasons:

- it would add another level of costly compliance
- whoever is performing the certification would have to rely on the investor's representations just as issuers and registrants currently do how would a third party be better able to verify

- the truthfulness of the investor
- investors could construe this as intrusive and would resent that their own representations are insufficient
- if an investor certifies that they qualify, that should be sufficient

The MA Exemption

Unlike for the AI Exemption, there were divergent views of whether we should repeal the MA Exemption. Commenters were almost evenly divided on this question. Slightly more commenters supported repealing it because:

- it is philosophically unsound the size of the investment is not relevant to measuring investor sophistication or ability to withstand loss because the investment could represent a significant portion of the investor's financial assets
- it creates a risk that the investor may over-concentrate their investment portfolio in one investment
- it is dangerous for investors they could lose their entire life savings or be financially destroyed
- many investors under this exemption would also be accredited investors

Those commenters who supported retaining the MA Exemption gave the following reasons:

- it is simple and easy to use
- it provides a useful alternative to the AI Exemption when needed
- regulators should continue to support access to capital by issuers during this difficult economic period
- \$150,000 still represents a significant amount for most people and is indicative of having the financial resources to afford advice if they choose.

A slight majority of commenters who responded to this question were **against** indexing the \$150,000 threshold to inflation. Their reasons included:

- to adjust for three decades of inflation would have too drastic an impact
- it would exacerbate the over-concentration problem
- the exemption is philosophically unsound increasing the threshold would only exacerbate the problem
- inflation and consumer price indices are irrelevant because they are measures of purchasing power rather than income or net worth

Annex B

List of Commenters on CSA Staff Consultation Note 45-401 Review of Minimum Amount and Accredited Investor Exemptions

Advocis, The Financial Advisors Association of Canada (Greg Pollock and Dean Owen)

AIMA Alternative Investment Management Association (Ian Pember)

Alta West Mortgage Capital Corporation (Dave McKitrick)

AMBA Alberta Mortgage Brokers Association (Dean Koeller and Dave McKitrick)

Association of Canadian Compliance Professionals (Sandra L. Kegie)

Atnikov, Brenna

Bennett Jones (Nicholas P. Fader)

Bentham, Craig L., Barrister and Solicitor

BLG Borden Ladner Gervais LLP (David Surat and Rebecca Cowdery)

British Columbia Technology Industry Association (Bill Tam)

Burnet, Duckworth & Palmer LLP (Shannon Gangl, Steve Cohen, Alyson Goldman and Bill Maslechko)

Calrossie Investment Management Inc. (David Ramsay)

Calvert Home Mortgage Investment Corporation (Dean Koeller)

Campbell, Donald I. - Law Office, Barrister & Lawyer

Canadian Advocacy Council for Canadian CFA Institute Societies, The (Keith Summers, CFA)

Canadian Bar Association (Quebec)

CBG Cawkell Brodie Glaister LLP (Jacob Kojfman)

CBI Group (Travis Cadman)

Cedar Parks Management Corp. (Jesse Bobrowski)

Compliance Support Services (Stephanie A. McManus)

Computershare (Marc Castonguay)

Contact Capital Advisory Corp. (Peter Murray)

Coulter, Jamie and Melillo, David

CSI Global Education Inc. (Marc Flynn)

CUE – Calgary Urban Equities Limited (Jonathan K. Allen)

CVCA Canada's Venture Capital & Private Equity Association

Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg LLP (Brian Kujavsky)

Dexterity Ventures Inc. (Gena Rotstein)

Doucet McBride Laywers (Harold Geller)

Enlightened Private Capital Inc. (Norman Light)

Exempt Analyst (William McNarland, CFA)

Exempt Market Consultants (Nancy Bacon)

Exempt Market Dealers Association of Canada (Brian Koscak, David Gilkes and Geoffrey Richie)

FAIR Canadian Foundation for Advancement of Investor Rights (Ermanno Pascutto)

Federation of Mutual Fund Dealers (Sandra L. Kegie)

Financial Value Inc./Privest Wealth Management (D. Cameron)

Fiore Financial Corporation (Gordon Keep)

Fraser Milner Casgrain LLP (Tom Houston, Andrea Johnson and Lara Vos Smith)

Front Row Capital Inc. (Craig Burrows)

Full Circle Parenting (Lisa Kathleen)

Fundamental Research Corp. (Brian Tang, CFA)

Gardiner Roberts LLP (William R. Johnstone)

Gluskin Sheff (David R. Morris)

GreensKeeper Asset Management (Michael McCloskey)

Greystone Managed Investment Inc. (Jacqueline Hatherly)

Heathbridge Capital Management Ltd. (Richard M. Tattersall, CFA)

Highstreet Asset Management Inc. (Paul A. Brisson)

IFIC The Investment Funds Institute of Canada (Joanne De Laurentis)

IGM Financial Inc. (Murray J. Taylor)

IIROC (R.J. Corner)

Independent Financial Brokers (John Whaley)

Independent Planning Group (Vince Valenti)

Investment Industry Association of Canada (Susan Copland)

InvestPlus Properties Canada Ltd. (Stuart McPhail)

Kenmar Associates (Ken Kivenko, P.Eng.)

Ly, Micheal

Lytton Financial Inc. (Glenn Gold)

MacDonald, Shymko & Company Ltd. (David Shymko)

MacNicol & Associates Asset Management Inc. (David A. MacNicol)

McCrank Stewart LLP (David J. Brundige, QC)

McInnes Cooper Lawyers(Basia Dzierzanowska and Jeff Hoyt)

Meckelborg Financial Group Ltd. (J.A. Meckelborg)

Miller Thomson (Susan Han)

Miller Thomson LLP (Greg P. Shannon and Darren M. Smits)

Momentum (Jeff Loomis)

Mutual Fund Dealers Association of Canada (Paige L. Ward)

Network of Angel Organizations – Ontario

Norton Rose Canada LLP (Tracey Kernahen)

Olympia Trust Company (James Bell)

Omniarch Capital Corp. (Jay Modi)

Ontario Bar Association (Philippe Tardif, Brian Pril and Barbara Henrickson)

Optimus US Real Estate Fund (Arthur Wong, P.Eng.)

OSC Investor Advisory Panel (Anita Anand et al)

Paradigm Portfolio Management Company (Kyle Kozuska)

Paradigm Environmental Technologies Inc. (Gordon D. Skene)

Pinnacle Wealth Brokers (Rod Burylo)

Pinnacle Wealth Brokers Inc. (Chris Silverthorn)

Plazacorp Retail Properties Ltd.(Lynda M. Savoie, CA)

Portfolio Management Association of Canada (Katie Walmsley and Scott Mahaffy)

Prestige Capital Inc. (Curtis Potyondi)

Prestigious Properties (Thomas Beyer)

Prospectors and Developers Association of Canada (Philip Bousquet)

Prosperity Development Group Ltd.

Provisus Wealth Management (Peter Webster, CFA)

Purpose Inspired Solutions (Scott Morrison)

Raintree Financial Solutions (D.R. Fournier)

RBC Dominion Securities Inc. (David Agnew) and RBC Phillips, Hager & North Investment Counsel Inc. (Vijay Parmar)

REAP Business Association (Stephanie Jackman)

Reed Pope LLP (R. Keith Reed and Jennifer McGregor-Geer)

Richardson GMP (Leo Purcell)

SecureCare Investments Inc. (Peter Johannes)

Sentinel Financial Management Corp. (Merlin H. Chouinard)

SIPA Small Investor Protector Association (Stan I. Buell)

Sloane Capital Corp. (Stephen Freedman)

Smith Law Corp. (William H. Smith, QC)

Stevenson Hood Thornton Beaubier LLP (Beaty F. Beaubier)

SVX, MaRS Centre for Impact Investing (Annie Malhotra and Adam Spence)

Swizzlesticks Enterprises Ltd. (Ross Hahn)

SWMI Skyline Wealth Management Inc. (Mike Bonneveld)

Tacita Capital Inc. (Michael Nairne)

Thompson, Bruce S.

Tralucent Asset Management (Bill Siddiqui, CA)

VANTEC (Michael C. Volker)

Walton Capital Management Inc. (Mark McKenna)

WealthSpark Inc. (David G.L. McKenzie)

WEMA Western Exempt Market Association (Craig Skauge) (now known as the National Exempt Market Association)

Westcourt Capital Corporation (David R. Kaufman)

White Rabbit Communications (Angela Joyce)

WMCZ Wallace Meschishnick Clackson Zawada

Wolverton Securities Ltd. (Brent Wolverton)

Annex C

Summary of Comments on CSA Staff Consultation Note 45-401 Review of Minimum Amount and Accredited Investor Exemptions

CSA Review of Accredited Investor and Minimum Amount Prospectus Exemptions Summary of Comments

Defined terms:

CSA Staff Consultation Note 45-401 Review of Minimum Amount and Accredited Investor Exemptions (the Consultation Note)

Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions (Regulation 45-106)

Regulation 31-103 respecting Registration Requirements, Exemptions and Ongoing Registrant Obligations (Regulation 31-103)

Accredited investor prospectus exemption (AI exemption)

Minimum amount prospectus exemption (MA exemption)

Offering memorandum exemption (OM exemption)

No.	Topic	Comment		
Genera	General			
1	Underlying principles and	Investors should have a broad choice and access to a wide range of products and professional managers. Key objectives of this review should be to increase the availability of investment choices to as many Canadians as possible and to broaden the pool of investors able to invest in the exempt market.		
	objectives	The CSA should consider additional policy objectives related to these exemptions such as stimulating economic growth and capital raising.		
		The CSA should focus on requirements that increase transparency, awareness of risk, and the availability of information in the exempt market. The exempt market will be ill served by requirements that are likely to limit participation by investors who clearly have the financial resources to withstand loss or obtain expert advice in relation to an exempt investment.		
		In order to establish a set of rules that allows issuers to raise capital and to protect investors in the absence of a prospectus, list the objectives that the AI exemption is intended to achieve. The rules for these exemptions should not be so cumbersome as to exclude relatively minor investments in private ventures.		
		Investors, in the absence of a prospectus, should be able easily to collect information on a prospective investment.		
		Investments, whether sold by prospectus or not, must be deemed to be suitable for investors based on the information collected in the "know your product", "know your client" and "investment policy statement" (IPS) process.		
		The rules should not exclude investors who are informed nor should they include investors who are not informed. The rules should not be assumed to protect investors from their own stupidity or irresponsibility. There are no rules that prohibit someone from investing 100% of their investable assets into a publicly-traded penny stock through a discount broker (i.e. where there is no advisor involved and therefore no suitability analysis undertaken). Similarly, the rules in the exempt market should not		

No.	Topic	Comment
		be designed to make it impossible for a fully informed investor to invest everything in one issuer or product if the investor believes that is appropriate. In that case, any dealer involved in the sale would have the responsibility to advise against such a trade, but if the investor is determined to make the investment notwithstanding that he or she should have that choice.
		Regulatory regimes should ensure investors and advisors can understand the products in which they invest.
		The regulators need to strike a balance between investor protection and capital raising for small business, however the current system of prospectus exemptions cannot succeed in striking this balance.
		The regulators need to balance competing interests to make sure funding is available at a reasonable cost to small and medium enterprises which may not be able to afford to offer securities by way of a prospectus offering.
		The concept that a prospectus in any significant manner protects investors is flawed as most investors do not read the prospectus.
		While many investors in the exempt market are institutions (such as banks and pension, insurance, or mutual funds), exempt distributions are increasingly being marketed and made available to retail investors. These investors are far more vulnerable than their institutional counterparts because they have no "cushion" and are unable to bear losses to the same degree as institutions. Proportionality between maintaining market efficiency while protecting the interests of retail and institutional investors is critically important.
		Currently, only 1% of Canadians meet the annual income threshold of \$200,000 (based on Statistics Canada data). Similarly, approximately 1% of Canadians have financial assets that meet the AI \$1 million threshold. The pool of available investors in the exempt market is already very small.
		Consider the type of individuals who invest in the exempt market. They tend to eschew the public markets in favour of smaller, more entrepreneurial ventures (whether speculative or not). It is exactly these types of investors who are most likely to be entrepreneurs themselves; owners of small businesses and income-producing real estate. Additionally, these individuals tend to "live off their company", meaning that their reported personal income is often a bad proxy for their lifestyle or spending power. These are the very people successful, educated, sophisticated entrepreneurs who are most inappropriately excluded from AI status and therefore unable to make sound investments in exempt market products, even those issued pursuant to an offering memorandum (at least in Ontario, where there is no offering memorandum (OM) exemption). These investors want access to investments they understand. The prospectuses, disclosure documents and financial statements of many public companies often are impenetrable to these individuals. There is no opportunity to meet with senior management in order to assess the soundness of a business model or to survey other qualitative aspects of the business. Rather, these individuals would prefer to have the opportunity to conduct in-person and in-depth due diligence on investment opportunities and managers, and are exactly the sort of people whom we should encourage to invest in small and medium-sized enterprises.
	What is the appropriate basis	Exemptions should consider the type of issuer issuing the securities, the type of seller involved and the complexity of the security.
	for the MA and AI exemptions?	Consider the test applied in the European Union as part of the <i>Markets in Financial Instruments Directive</i> . This defines a class of "professional" investors — being investors who possesses the experience, knowledge and expertise to make investment decisions and properly assess associated the risks.
		Persons without exposure to finance (high net worth individuals should not be presumed to have financial training) will often have difficulty understanding certain

No. Topic	Comment
	investments.
	The exemption does not recognize where investors are in their life cycle, which is admittedly difficult to discern because of the changing demographics in Canada.
	Financial asset and income tests are both under-inclusive and over-inclusive. Sophisticated investors may be excluded but unsophisticated investors may be included.
	Although monetary thresholds are not perfect proxies for sophistication, appropriate thresholds likely do bear some relationship to investors' sophistication and ability to withstand loss and provide a measure of investor protection. However, the notion that having a certain level of financial resources is indicative of an ability to withstand loss is simplistic.
	For individuals, the size of an investment in relation to total net worth is the most relevant criteria for determining whether a loss will cause hardship. It may be recommended that no more than 5 to 10% of net worth be invested in private or alternative products.
	Education and work experience are important criteria for determining whether an investment is appropriate. Financial professionals, corporate lawyers and professional accountants all have financial education and experience relevant to evaluating risk. This is because financial and legal education contribute to the ability to do one's own due diligence and helps clarify when external expertise is required. However, other education and work experience can be equally relevant in evaluating a start-up business depending on its focus, for example: patent agents, doctors and dentists or computer sciences training could be relevant.
	The current rationales for the AI exemption cited in the Consultation Note remain appropriate, namely: sophistication, ability to withstand financial loss, resources to obtain expert advice and incentive to evaluate an investment given its size.
	Key investor attributes relevant to determining whether an investor should be able to invest in the exempt market include: investment experience, financial resources, access to information/advice, relevant work experience and education (in that order). The ability to make an informed investment decision is based on experience more than resources.
	No single factor (such as income or net worth) can determine whether or not an investor is sufficiently sophisticated. Requiring the involvement of a professional analyst to provide a sophisticated opinion will resolve this issue. Almost all analysts hold the CFA designation which means they are sophisticated.
	The right question to ask is "what is reasonable disclosure by sales people and issuers in addition to disclosure requirements today?"
	The main purpose of a prospectus is to provide an opportunity for any investor to fully inform him or herself of the risks being assumed with an investment in any security. Investors may or may not choose to read the prospectus. But the fact that such detailed information is <i>available</i> is clearly at the heart of the prospectus requirement. The prospectus requirement does not require that an investment is suitable for a given investor. The fact that any investor can open an account with a discount broker and trade without receiving advice on suitability demonstrates this point.
	The notion that an investor's financial resources, educational background, work experience, or investment experience should form the basis of an assumption of eligibility to make any investment under any circumstance is flawed. Rather, these factors should form an integral part of the determination of <i>suitability</i> in the eyes of a

No. Topic	Comment
	truly arms-length, third-party registrant at the time of investment.
	The ability to withstand financial loss: This is a valid premise and a good contrast to the concept of sophistication. For example, a person who lacks the "sophistication" or the knowledge and experience to assess the risk of an investment may not care, as they have the financial means to suffer the loss of their investment. We think this premise opens up another valid and important scenario that doesn't exist under the current prospectus exemptions. A person of moderate financial resources, e.g. a person that does not meet the current financial tests of an Accredited Investor, may be willing to suffer the loss on a smaller investment that represents say only 10% of their total liquid net worth for the potential of a significant capital gain. The current regulations for the exempt market restrict many Canadians from participating even in smaller amounts and we do not believe this is ultimately in the public interest but privileges the wealthy. In this scenario, the level of sophistication becomes less relevant. The question is what constitutes the ability to withstand risk and what is the appropriate proxy?
	It is our opinion that the MA exemption and the AI exemption should be based on an individual's financial resources and/or investment knowledge (i.e. registered as a financial advisor, fund manager, etc.).
	After reading the definition of an accredited investor in Regulation 45-106 I have made the assumption that there is really no issue with the majority of the parties on the list since most would be or have investment professionals that are registered and regulated within the securities industry as staff. I am focusing my comments on the AI's that would be individuals outside the investment industry and possibly corporate entities that are sole proprietorships or are holding companies for families or trust with few beneficial holders. The difficulty in assessing each of the criteria, is that depending on the degree the criteria applies to an individual or is present/lacking, should probably not disqualify the person from making the investment in some circumstances. The issue is that although each criteria may have a quantitative or measurable value (the objective aspect), there may be (or should be) some subjectivity in weighting each of them to arrive at a decision on whether an individual qualifies to use the AI exemption. If the participants were to rank the list given or to add or subtract from the list what would that list look like? Financial resources (ability to withstand financial loss or obtain expert advice these are probably mutually exclusive and the ability to obtain expert advice should be a separate item on the list); access to financial and other key information about the issuer (and the ability to interpret the information); educational background (and in what areas); work experience (and its relevance to business of the issuer), investment experience, or age (would it not be a factor in considering some of the above if the person was retired vs. just starting out in the work force)(or is this falling into the realm of discrimination?)
	Primarily an arbitrary number of any amount is a poor gauge of suitability. It should be the individual's responsibility and choice to invest the appropriate amount for them based on their financial situation.
	As it pertains to the objective of protecting the unsophisticated investor, there are limited options, which we believe are already substantively in place. In the case of smaller retail investors, there are mechanisms in place (for example the OM exemption available in every province except Ontario) to provide added disclosure and regulation as to the distribution of prospectus exempt securities.
	We feel that a system based on suitability which is reviewed by a registrant and a compliance officer to be more effective then a system of firm rules based a clients financial situation.
	We feel the current definition of accredited investor could be expanded to include educational background, work experience or investment experience. The current definition of an accredited investor is primarily focussed on financial resources and we feel it unnecessarily restricts many other market participants who are

No. Topic	Comment
	sophisticated enough to protect themselves.
	We believe that the premise of the \$150K MA exemption is unsound and therefore it should be removed. As for the AI exemption, we believe similarly that requiring assets with open classism is unfair and frankly misrepresents the purpose of investing. If this market is only to be left to a certain class of people whose money again does not indicate sufficient sophistication it is unrealistic.
	We do not accept the premise that an investor's financial resources, educational background, work experience, or investment experience should form the basis of an assumption of eligibility, or demonstrate sufficient sophistication or level of understanding, to make any investment under any circumstance. We recommend a regime in which these factors form an integral part of the determination of <i>suitability</i> by an arms-length, third party registrant at the time of investment.
	We do feel that the majority of the outlined factors should be a part of the overall AI exemption within reason. For instance the financial threshold alone should not be the sole reason behind an exemption for that particular client. Access to key financial information in regards to the issuer should certainly be a necessary component of the exemption, (such as audited financial statements, which aid tremendously in disclosing the true operations of an issuer as opposed to solely relying on marketing materials). Educational background and work experience should bear some weight, however we feel it is unfair to say that a person(s) with an educational background, as opposed to a person(s) that may have learnt investment skills from real life investment experience would be better qualified to make an educated investment decision. We feel that a mix of these factors in addition to a regulatory framework that would require the issuer to disclose financial information and documents (as evident in the current regulated Offering Memorandum based Exempt Market environment) supporting the business model would address adequate concerns surrounding the AI and MA exemptions.
	Our organization feels that a minimum amount for an exemption is not a good measure of determining an ability of an investor to take a financial loss. Through the training our industry receives as Exempt Market Dealers tells us that a number of factors need to be considered to determine an individual's suitability for an investment. Age, savings, retirement, pensions, risk tolerance, education and knowledge of the business or a product. At the very least the minimum should not be increased. It is the obligation of the EMD to evaluate the client and determine if a client is suitable to invest in that certain investment. A simple dollar mark does not make someone accredited nor should it disqualify a person from investing.
	MA and AI thresholds are really a proxy for sophistication, which is otherwise difficult to define (Although easy to know when you see it). We have found that the KYC rules and AI certification by the investor are effective for weeding out those who should not invest. In general, the MA rule only comes into play in one situation, which is where an entity (such as a Family Trust or corporation) does not itself qualify as an AI, despite being governed by a sophisticated investor or being part of a family which otherwise qualifies. In such situations, the MA exemption may be useful. Personally, I would prefer to abolish the MA exemption and broaden the AI definition to include entities where the investment decision is made by an AI or other qualified person.
	We strongly support maintaining the current monetary thresholds for the AI exemption and support maintaining the \$150,000 monetary threshold associated with the MA exemption. We submit that the current thresholds strike an appropriate balance between investor protection and fostering efficient capital markets. The importance of the AI exemption in particular should not be underestimated.
	In the provinces that permit it, the OM exemption has made the exempt market, and accordingly comprehendible investment opportunities, available to millions of Canadian investors who would otherwise be unable to participate. Perhaps even more importantly, the OM exemption has resulted in billions of dollars being placed into

No. Topic	Comment
	entrepreneurial ideas, start ups, and small businesses which are at the heart of the Canadian economy. We feel that in certain cases (i.e. those with professional designations including CA, CMA, CFA, LLB, etc.) educational background is an appropriate basis for an exemption. As well, provided the individual in question works in an appropriate position in the financial sector, work experience may also be sufficient grounds for an exemption. We feel that investment experience may well be sufficient grounds for an exemption; however, we would need to further understand what would be deemed "sufficient" experience in order to answer in greater detail.
	We do not believe that there is an appropriate basis for the MA or AI exemptions in their present form. They do not assess suitability in a useful or appropriate manner. The premise of judging investor sophistication by their assets or income level is unfounded and there is no proof of any correlation. The MA exemption is self-defeating in an attempt to protect an investor because it forces such a large exposure to a single investmentSuitability should be determined as a function of all of the individual's appropriate variables – current financial situation, investment experience, risk tolerance, time horizon, goals, etc.
	The financial tests currently set out for the AI exemption already restrict availability to a very small percentage of Canadian public. According to the Canada Revenue Agency's recent release of interim income statistics for the 2009 tax year, there were only 507,000 individuals with incomes in excess of \$150,000, constituting only 2.1% of tax filers. Of these, only 173,000 individuals or 0.7% of tax filers had incomes in excess of \$250,000. Hence, the \$200,000 minimum income over a two year period with a similar expectation for the current year likely restricts accreditation to approximately 1.0% to 1.5% of tax filers. The minimum financial asset test of \$1,000,000 also applies to very small number of Canadians. The 2011 Capgemini Merrill Lynch World Wealth Report estimated that there were 282,300 Canadian households with \$1,000,000 or more of financial assets in 2010. This comprises approximately 2.3% of the 12.4 million households in Canada (as per the 2006 census). In our experience, the individuals that qualify under the AI financial tests are overwhelmingly sophisticated professionals, corporate executives and business owners who are capable of making thoughtful investment decisions. Most are experienced investors and many also have access to a network of professional advisors to assist them in their decision-making. Only a very small proportion would we consider inexperienced and, in these instances, the investor is typically sophisticated enough to be aware of his or her limitations and either restricts their investing to GIC's and the like or delegates his or her investment decision-making to a discretionary portfolio manager. The financial tests currently in place for the AI exemption limit exempt product access to a very small proportion of investors and in our opinion, act as good proxy for the level of sophistication and are highly correlated with other tests such as education, investment experience and the ability to take a loss. The MA exemption, although not as an effective indicator of "sophist
	We note that personal wealth is no measure of sophistication when it comes to the securities markets, as many well-to-do Canadians have built up their assets through means other than participation in securities. As such, the financial thresholds prescribed in Regulation 45-106 serve more so as a measure of whether an investor has the depth of financial position to weather a loss should their investment in an exempt product not work out as planned. The financial thresholds in place at present are sufficiently restrictive from this point of view. To increase the benchmark amounts would be to restrict access to exempt market products to an unreasonable degree. Furthermore, increasing the financial thresholds (an adjustment for inflation, for example) will offer no new protection to investors, but it will serve to hamper the ability of the capital markets to operate efficiently at the smaller capitalization level.
	Adults should be held responsible for their own investment decisions. The list of possible characteristics as a basis for these exemptions will be difficult to apply on a

No.	Topic	Comment
		practical basis. Any criterion will be arbitrary and will likely exclude potential investors who should not be excluded.
		We do not agree that educational background is a good measure, although investment experience is, and work experience, if generated in an investment firm, may very well be (perhaps the test should be whether the investor is a registrant). Without practical experience, however, the completion of a program of education does not, by itself, uniformly provide an investor with the appropriate level of sophistication or ability to withstand financial loss.
		Unlike the MA exemption, the AI exemption incorporates asset and income thresholds and is a more nuanced mechanism with which to grant investors access to securities distributions in the absence of a prospectus. However, these standards are merely financial and may not ensure that investors are appropriately sophisticated to understand the risks of exempt investments.
		The fundamental question is not one of minimum thresholds. The question is how do we protect or ensure that the proper level of due diligence is done with respect to investments of a substantial amount provided to an entrepreneur or third party business.
		The current income and asset tests act as excellent indicators of "sophistication". Limiting the market based on work experience in the investment industry is tantamount to eliminating the exemption. Limiting the exemption based on industry qualification or advanced degrees in business is either unduly restrictive and/or no guarantee of "sophistication".
	Does the involvement of a registrant address	We do not think so. Securitized products that posed problems were usually sold by registrants. Registrants often have no special technical insight or industry exposure. There is an inherent conflict of interest in how registrants sell products.
	any concerns?	The involvement of a registrant in prospectus exempt products can reduce risks to the investor. Firms have a "gatekeeper" role which combines "know your client" and "know your products" expertise. The professional attributes of an advisor is the best protection for retail investors whether they are accredited or not.
		We do not think registrants need to be involved in AI trades. AIs are able to make a decision about whether to seek advice or not. Requiring a registrant to be involved adds complexity and delay to the process, raises issues around compensation for the registrant and potentially creates conflicts of interest if funds for the investment are being removed from the registrant's management (for which registrant earns fees).
		Involvement of a registrant is a strong positive as they are subject to know your client requirements but should not be mandatory.
		The involvement of a registrant addresses some concerns, however, having a registrant plus a third party analyst report is more ideal. This is because a registrant serves client and isn't necessarily adept at investment analysis.
		Where a registrant is involved in an exempt market offering, the focus for regulators should be on the proper enforcement of those existing duties and requirements which include suitability obligations.
		Yes. Requiring that a registrant be involved in the transaction and that the registrant assess "know your client" (KYC), "know your product" and "suitability" with each investor in each prospective investment can, when honestly applied, address concerns. The registrant would be responsible to identify situations in which an investor is "qualified" but for whom a particular investment is not suitable. We must point out, however, that a potentially unintended consequence of Regulation 31-103 is the

No. Topic	Comment
	registration of "sole purpose" EMDs by issuers. These EMDs may have names that are different than the issuers whose product they exclusively represent, but these are in no way arms-length arbiters. Even with the assumption of good-faith dealing by these EMDs, the unavoidable conflict of interest, in our opinion, undermines the role of the EMD (i.e., as someone "looking out for the best interests of the client") and the objectives that Regulation 31-103 is designed to address. We support re-examining the MA and AI prospectus exemptions; however, we also believe that any changes made to these particular exemptions will only address some of the issues with the current exempt market regime in Canada. In our view, a greater underlying concern relates to opportunities for regulatory arbitrage that currently exist throughout the exempt market regime. Registration requirements for transacting in the exempt market are not harmonized across Canada. In jurisdictions that currently require registration to deal in the exempt market, the current scope of activity permitted under the EMD registration category in Regulation 31-103 creates, to the detriment of the investing public, an un-level regulatory playing field between SRO Members and non-SRO Members that engage in the same activity. In our view, all transactions in exempt market securities should require the involvement of a registrant under securities legislation. We note that this would require reconsideration of the alternative approach to EMD regulation that has currently been adopted in certain jurisdictions. In addition, having regard to the concerns noted, we believe that EMDs should not have the ability to transact in prospectus-qualified securities.
	The involvement of a registrant who is obligated to recommend only suitable investments does interpose an individual with an over-arching and regulatory responsibility to make only suitable recommendations, although we recognize that an investor is not obligated to adhere to the registrant's recommendations.
	Having a registrant lead the distribution of the securities, while desirable, could add significantly to issuer costs. Requiring that a registrant fulfill the more limited role of judging the suitability of the investment for investors, however, would significantly strengthen the process at a modest additional cost to issuers.
	I submit that the largest risk of fraud is from individuals and firms who are unregistered. Why would a fraudster go to all the trouble and expense of getting registered? So if the OSC were to adopt a rule that an issuer must have a suitability test done by a registrant, this would provide much stronger protection to investors who are being "served" by non-registered individuals.
	This has the potential to significantly restrict small and mid-size companies from accessing this market. If a requirement was imposed on registrant firms that they must be involved in the distribution of all securities on the exempt market utilizing the MA or the AI exemption, they would very likely require significant compensation from the issuer in order to take on this task. The level of compensation may be too high for some issuers and would for all practical purposes cut-off this source of capital-raising for small to medium size issuers. We are also concerned that placing this requirement on registered firms which, due to their business focus may not be willing to raise capital in smaller amounts or for smaller issuers, would again effectively cut off this source of capital-raising by small to medium size businesses.
	The registrant and the dealership is best positioned to determine the appropriate steps required to fulfill its KYC obligation given the circumstances of the contemplated trade and the particular client.
	Yes, quite definitely. We believe that "Know Your Client" and resulting investor suitability determination made normally by qualified registrant advisors should be fundamental to any exempt market investment decision. Experience has shown that this can be a complex determination that is unique to each client. With the implementation of Regulation 31-103 and related regulations, at considerable effort and cost, exempt market dealers and issuers accept the responsibility and risk of ensuring client suitability including risk tolerance for any investment that may be recommended. Particularly in the last two years, the quality of KYP and KYC processes undertaken by most exempt market dealers has substantially improved and we believe now does the best job possible to protect investors. As such, the somewhat arbitrary restrictions imposed on investors by both the minimum amount and AI exemptions may not further serve investors. Our experience has shown that

No. Topic	Comment
	these restrictions are being viewed by some potential investors as arbitrary, draconian and "big brotherish". It is felt by some that these restrictions generally serve to disenfranchise rational, intelligent investors from benefiting from investments that would serve to increase diversification, potentially their ROI and, in some cases, avoid issues of market volatility.
	Yes, having a dealing representative, represent only approved and researched exempt market products lowers the chances of an investor placing capital into "lower grade" investment products within the overall marketplace. Further to that having the representative only recommend suitable products for that specific client, may address concerns surrounding the education level of the investor making their own decisions without the aid of a knowledgeable representative.
	A firm or an individual that is distributing their own product would be registered as an EMD and has an obligation to ensure that suitability is performed. The knowledge of the product or the investment will allow an EMD to appropriately determine what is appropriate. At the end of the day it is the education and the experience and knowledge of the EMD that should be the focus of our industry to ensure that the right measures are used to perform suitability. An EMD who is distributing product that they are intimately involved in the distribution enhances the knowledge that can be passed to a potential investor because they understand the product they are selling, where a third party EMD may not be able to provide the level of sophistication to communicate the product risks.
	The involvement of a registrant who properly discharges its know-your-client and know-your-product duties and the suitability obligations prescribed in Regulation 31-103 and IIROC regulations will provide additional protection to purchasers in the exempt market. If there is a concern that dealers and individual representatives are not appropriately discharging these duties, then this is an appropriate matter for regulatory enforcement. While we are of the view that the current exemptions are sufficient to protect investors, we note that registrant involvement may provide additional protection. We do not, however, think it is appropriate to condition a prospectus exemption on registrant involvement.
	We believe that the presence of an explicit fiduciary or similar obligation on advisors to act in the best interest of their clients would mitigate at least some concerns about the potential for abuse of these exemptions. It would also reduce the need for regulators to become involved in private placements to ensure that investors make informed investment decisions. If this duty were in place, the existing thresholds, with minor modifications, might be appropriate. In the absence of such a duty, however, we recommend that there by tougher restrictions on investor eligibility requirements.
	The Exempt Market is not an unregulated market. Exempt Market funds in Canada are managed by regulated Portfolio Managers (Advising Representatives) who have both a know your client (KYC) obligation and a duty to ensure that the investment is suitable for each client. I am convinced that the only way to truly protect the investing public is to keep bad operators out of the industry via the registration system. It is the integrity of the investment manager and the advisor/ dealer that is paramount.
	The registrant plays a vital role as gatekeeper to the capital market and ought to be forefront in the distribution of these investments.
	This individual retail investor may be particularly vulnerable where they are not advised by a qualified, independent advisor that has an obligation to consider the suitability of the investment in respect of their specific circumstances.
	We would maintain the MA exemption at its current dollar amount but couple its use with the requirement that either a registrant who has an obligation to recommend only suitable investments to the purchaser or a portfolio manager be involved when it is used in respect of a distribution to individual investors.

No. Topic	Comment
	The involvement of a registered individual combined with the due diligence requirements of the EMD's help to provide a scenario where an investor may actually truly diversify their investment portfolio by allowing them access to well researched private companies that match their investment objectives. I will add however, that the bar on the education requirements needed to become an EMR could certainly be raised.
	Though, we would prefer to see individuals seek advice on exempt products from registered PMs. It is wonderful that over the years the regulators have required an increasing amount of disclosure. We do feel strongly that the vast majority of individuals do not have the time or the wherewithal to understand a lot of disclosures and their context. And, by putting the responsibility on to the registered advisor, the regulator would then be able to hold someone responsible and accountable.
	Based on our experience the involvement of a registrant would not assist the purchaser. The requirement to involve a registrant would be regarded as counter-productive. We do not believe that the requirement to introduce a stranger as advisor would add any benefit for these kinds of investors – the process of "knowing your client" and the level of "know your product" knowledge is unlikely to be regarded as assisting the investor in making his investment decision.
	Many registrants, like most traditional financial advisors, are not advisors. They are sales people who work for a commission. By its nature they are biased to sell as much as possible for the highest total commission. They gravitate to high commissions as the initial focus. This gravely skews the investment landscape immediately negative for the investor in that low commission products do not get sold, or with low priority only.
	An exempt market scourse: Upon review of recent OSC rulings and orders, we have concluded that the exempt market actor best able to evaluate the suitability of an exempt market product for individual investors is in many cases an exempt market registrant, including a financial advisor who has taken a recognized exempt markets course. While exempt market dealers and chief compliance officers are required to complete the Canadian Securities Course Exam and the Exempt Market Products Exam, we submit — given the growing complexity of exempt market products — that <i>all</i> exempt market registrants be required to complete an exempt markets course, to ensure a basic standard of proficiency is met by key parties to an exempt market transaction. This requirement would enhance the value of advice available to prospective individual exempt market investors. In terms of proficiency requirements, an exempt market dealer's dealing representative must pass the Canadian Securities Course Exam, the Exempt Market Products Exam, or satisfy the proficiency requirements of an advising representative of a portfolio manager. An exempt market dealer's chief compliance officer must pass the PDO Exam (the Officers', Partners' and Directors' Exam or the Partners, Directors and Senior Officers Course Exam) and either the Canadian Securities Course or the Exempt Market Products Exam, or satisfy the proficiency requirements of a chief compliance officer of a portfolio manager.
	I would also respectfully suggest that although at times an IIROC dealer may be involved by way of providing the funds or receiving the shares, to try to thrust the burden of advising the client when the member is not involved as a party to the transaction or privy to the transaction in advance does not serve the investing public. IIROC members can only truly protect the investor if they have a say in the form of the subscription agreement, a say in the proposed settlement process and the chance to demand information around the distribution in advance.
	Regulation 31-103 should be amended: There should be more disclosure about past sales history for SALES PEOPLE or EMD owners who now control access to capital for issuers. It appears that a sales person who has sold non-performing investments for many years can continue to do so.
	A major related problem is the number of individuals who are acting as EMD's but are not registered to do so. At the consultation session, OSC staff confirmed that the

No.	Topic	Comment
		largest proportion of fraud or situations where investors have invested in securities inappropriate for them, involves issues where no registrant was involved. I believe that this problem has grown under the new rules, due to the massive increase in costs brought on by these rules (500% for [the commenter]) and the hundreds of hours of course time required, all of which provides a disincentive for people and firms to register. The problem is likely larger in those provinces that didn't require registration previously, but nonetheless is a significant problem in Ontario as well. Unfortunately, any tightening of the AI rules, introduction of requirements for some minimum disclosure, etc. will further decrease the likelihood that these people will register.
		We understand that a number of registrants do not adhere to current suitability requirements – we recommend better oversight of registrants' compliance with suitability, know your client and know your product requirements and stronger sanctions for non-compliance.
		Current suitability requirements are inadequate in cases of conflicts of interest. A fiduciary duty might be more appropriate.
		Requiring a industry standardized test for investment knowledge and experience; the test results would be reviewed by Compliance and minimum professional qualifications for those selling securities that are covered by the exemption.
		We would submit that the costs and benefits of having a registrant involved in every investment utilizing the Exemptions should be considered. We are not aware of the exact statistics on the use of the Exemptions in non-brokered situations but would anticipate that it would not be insignificant and thus the involvement of a registrant in all distributions would increase the transaction costs to the issuers. Further, if the Exemptions are premised on the basis of the investor being able to "fend" for himself or herself given his or her level of apparent sophistication, then the involvement of a registrant should arguably not be required.
	Does having a registered portfolio manager make a difference?	Distinguish between products in which the investor has the benefit of professional management (i.e. pooled funds) and those products which do not include professional management (e.g. equity in a single company); the former offer greater investor protection. The diversification provided by an investment fund should allow for reduced regulatory concern and therefore a lower threshold for investors under the exemptions.
	unierence:	Entrusting others to manage your money is riskier than doing it yourself if the manager does not have the same risk of loss and if there are conflicts of interest (i.e. in how fees are paid to manager).
		An investor who has the benefit of a registered professional portfolio manager, making the investment decision on behalf of the investor, ought to have available to him or her an exemption that would allow the investor full access to financial products deemed by the portfolio manager to be suitable for the investor. The managed account category of the AI exemption recognizes this. An investment fund can offer the client diversification, greater access to certain financial products and brokerage cost and other savings from economies of scale that a direct investment will not. The Ontario carve-out for investment funds acquired by a fully managed account is an arbitrary distinction that fetters a portfolio manager's ability to discharge its statutory duty to act in the best interests of non-accredited managed account clients where those interests are best served by investment in a pooled product (without having to invest a minimum of \$150,000 – or more - in that product). The exclusion of investment fund securities from the managed account category in Ontario is particularly perplexing when one considers that there are multiple levels of regulatory oversight in place to protect an investor purchasing a security of an investment fund. There is an initial level of investor protection in the form of the portfolio manager's KYC and suitability assessment. Once the investor's assets are invested in an investment fund, there are additional levels of protection in that both the investment fund manager and the fund's portfolio manager are subject to regulatory oversight aimed at ensuring that clients (the fund and its investors) are treated honestly and fairly.
		Being able to manage investors' money through a pooled fund offers substantial administrative and trading advantages compared with offering the same investments

No.	Topic	Comment
		through individual accounts, with no investor protection disadvantage. In addition, pooled funds managed by licensed portfolio managers that invest solely in publicly traded securities should be viewed differently than other pooled funds that do not share these characteristics. As a result, accredited investor rules should be eliminated
		on this class of funds, rather than being raised.
	Does the type of security matter? e.g. a novel or complex	Difficult to set criteria for complexity as products are continually evolving; products that were once viewed as complex are now mainstream. It would not be reasonable to define complex products.
	security	Risk is higher to investors when the security is complex. The CSA should issue a separate consultation on the appropriate regulatory model for the sale of complex products, including whether the AI and MA exemptions are appropriate for such products. New regulatory approaches for complex products are needed.
		Our view is that lack of sophistication is more of a problem in the sale of investment funds, complex products, high-yield loans and possibly franchises.
		We are of the opinion that the income thresholds should be increased regardless of the complexity of the investment or risk disclosure provided to the investor. As previously mentioned, it is our opinion that a prospectus exempt financing conducted through an IIROC Member Firm should qualify as a stand-alone prospectus exemption.
		Disclosure standard should be higher and more rigorous for sellers of novel or complex products.
		It is not only the investment product/security but also the nature of the trading strategy that could impact a retail investor. For example, we note that the OSC/CSA has found it necessary to issue Investor ALERTS regarding inappropriate investment leveraging.
		Securities regulation should have general application and should not be security specific.
		We do not believe that the CSA should impose any investment limitations based on the novelty or complexity of a security on individual investors. By way of illustration, in April 2011, the CSA published for comment draft <i>Regulation 41-103 respecting Supplementary Prospectus Disclosure Requirements for Securitized Products</i> that, among other things, introduced a new Securitized Product Exemption which would limit the distribution of securitized products to a new class of investors, specifically an "eligible securitized product investor". We do not believe that the CSA should exclude investors from participating in the exempt market based on whether they would be an 'eligible investor' for a certain product. Furthermore, introducing a product-centred exemption may deter investment and overstate the level risk and complexity with certain types of products currently available in Canada, such as securitized products.
		The only way we see this as be a viable qualification is if Regulation 45-106 were revised to include an exhaustive list of the type of security that was considered novel or complex. A subjective "complexity test" would create nothing but uncertainty among issuers, advisors and subscribers.
	Is the type of issuer relevant? For example a	Reporting issuers should be held to a higher standard – disclosure standard for reporting issuers should be higher and enforcement more rigorous (because AIs and the public think the reporting process confers some protection).
	reporting issuer vs. non reporting	More problems occur with non reporting issuers so further checks and balances may be appropriate.
	issuer?	We believe you have to separate exempt market offerings into two categories and deal with them separately: brokered offerings and non-brokered offerings. For

No.	Topic	Comment
		brokered offerings, the AI exemption should be expanded with an additional qualification where the investor does not have more than X% of their liquid net worth invested in the exempt market. This category should be used if it is coupled with a suitability determination. For non-brokered offerings, we suggest the current AI exemption is valid.
		If there is a decision made by the commissions to make access to exempt market products more difficult than is the case under prevailing regulations, we believe it prudent that consideration be given to applying a different set of standards to equity issues conducted by companies listed on Canadian stock exchanges. Applying a simple set of standards based on a "percentage of household net worth" calculation or a "percentage of household annual taxable income" calculation (with annual taxable income having to exceed \$100,000, for instance) would provide a reasonable degree of financial protection to investors participating in an equity issue.
	Should any disclosure be provided to	All minimum amount investors would benefit from a required statement by the issuer that the investment is considered an alternative investment because it is long-term, illiquid and high risk and that most people should invest no more than 5 to 10% of net worth.
	investors?	Risk factor disclosure would be useful.
		I do not think the investor needs risk disclosure (in the context of the AI exemption).
		Require the provision of an independent analyst report in conjunction with the current AI and MA exemptions.
		More disclosure by issuers and about sales people should be required, not higher minimums or higher incomes.
		It is our opinion that whenever the AI exemption is utilized, the investor would be required to complete a minimum standard disclosure subscription agreement (i.e. acknowledgement that the investor has waived their right for recourse if they knowingly provided inaccurate information in the subscription agreement, investment threshold acknowledgement, exemption criteria, etc.).
		IFIC Members support improved disclosure with respect to investment funds and competing securities products, and believe that investors should receive clear disclosure that provides them with appropriate and relevant information. Such information empowers investors to make informed choices about the various securities that may be recommended to them for purchase.
		As a general rule we have found prospectus disclosure alone, especially of complex products such as mutual funds, SPAC's ("blank cheque" investment's), hedge funds, leveraged /reverse ETF's and non-bank ABCP to have minimal protective value for retail investors.
		While we understand the topic of this consultation are the MA and AI exemptions, securities related discussions are ultimately about disclosure and suitability. Given that, we must ask what protections do prospectuses provide that the OM exemption does not? Like OMs, prospectus offerings provide no mechanism to ensure investors will not lose some or all of their money. Therefore, why are they presumed "safer" than those products offered by an OM? It is only in theory, and not in practice, that the inordinate amount of disclosure provided by a prospectus benefits investors. Prospectuses and the financial statements relating to public companies may be well understood by both regulators and the legal community but they are effectively too complicated and too lengthy for average investors to understand. Given the overwhelming amount and complexity of information contained within a prospectus, investors often choose to "sign here" and forego reading the disclosure materials provided. We feel that this "over disclosure" is in many cases paramount to no disclosure at all. We feel that the amount of disclosure being provided to investors needs

No. T	opic	Comment
		to be completely re-analyzed with a sufficient amount of disclosure being provided to all investors as opposed to copious amounts of impenetrable disclosure being provided to "unsophisticated" investors and none being offered to those that are "sophisticated".
		In addition, there should be a requirement for basic disclosure of the nature of the investment, the market need that is being addressed, the use of funds, the risks, the competition, etc. The CSA should prescribe the items to be disclosed but not the form of disclosure. The issuer may already have all of these items covered in a Business Plan and should not then have to re-write it to fit into some regulatory disclosure format. If a stand-alone document, this should take no more than five pages, although most issuers will aim for a document that is more comprehensive. But if the requirement is too broad, the line and cost differential between prospectus disclosure and exempt disclosure will become blurred.
		Create a short form standard document that outlines the "offering" in plain English with minimum requirements such as, but not limited to, risks and liquidity restraints that must be distributed as part of the evaluation process.
		We feel very strongly that issuers accessing exempt markets need to have better disclosure of financial matters continuously and not just at the time of offering and that management of issuers need to provide more transparency and communication to investors during the investment time horizon.
		Save for the OM exemption, the very basis of prospectus-exempt investments is that eligibility is not based on or created by disclosure. We suggest that implementing risk factor disclosure would present a fundamental conceptual change to these exemptions. The possibility of adding a disclosure element raises the question – what level of disclosure would be required? If it is close to OM-level, why maintain an exemption separate from the OM exemption? If it is less than OM-level disclosure, will it offer any added protection to the subscriber or just create reliance on less than complete information and lead to more misrepresentation claims by investors.
		Make it mandatory that issuers/dealers in exempt markets have their offerings go through an approved third party due diligence process producing a research report with a rating. It should be mandatory that dealers provide the research report to their clients.
		[The commenter] recommends that the CSA mandate a brief and easy-to-understand disclosure form for all exempt investments. This form could describe the eligibility requirements for an accredited investor, his or her most significant statutory rights with respect to the purchase, as well as the unique risks involved in an exempt market investment. The form, however, should be concise—not exceeding two pages—and written in plain language. This will not only promote consistency across the exempt market, it will also serve to:
		 encourage investors to read, and better enable them to understand, the information being disclosed; help investors, who mistakenly believed—or were persuaded to believe—that they meet the eligibility criteria, to understand that they are ineligible to participate in an exempt market investment; and inform investors about their right to rescind their agreement to participate in a prospective exempt investment, despite meeting the qualification thresholds.
		Risk Acknowledgement Statement – Investments that utilize the MA or AI Exemption should be accompanied by a simple acknowledgement statement requiring the investor acknowledge that they understand and accept the risk of the investment. We would also recommend that the OM exemption, as is currently available in BC, should be maintained.
		Risk acknowledgement form: Prior to the purchase of a product pursuant to our proposed additional MA and AI exemptions, the individual investor must sign a risk

No.	Topic	Comment
		acknowledgement form. The use of a risk factor disclosure document required to be signed by the investor will provide regulators with further assurance that the investor has read and understood the risks. This document would provide the dealer with a degree of protection in regard to allegations of misconduct. Such a form is already used as the basis for exemptions by the British Columbia Securities Commission The exempt registrant would then report the purchase to the regulator as an exempt market transaction and provide the dealer (and the issuer, where appropriate) with a copy. It would need to be delivered to the securities regulatory authority within 10 days after the distribution.
		Including a Risk Acknowledgement Requirement. Certain provinces, such as Saskatchewan, permit investors to participate in certain exempt distributions (e.g. under the Family, Friends and Business Associates exemption) if they sign a "Risk Acknowledgement Form" in which they acknowledge the risks of a prospective investment. In other jurisdictions, such as British Columbia and the Maritime provinces, this option is available to investors under the OM exemption.
		A form 45-106F4 that has been present in all exempt market investment documentation I use is very clear. I have never had an investor try to cruise by that form without stopping to read it when completing a subscription agreement. It always gets an investor's attention, as it should. The same or similar form in all private investment subscriptions can ensure that investors realize the importance of disclosure and accessing helpful resources in making their choices. A form where the investor waives their right to use of an intermediary such as a registered, independent exempt market dealership might be appropriate. Another form that lists the categories of disclosure could be acknowledged by an investor, too.
Minimu	ım Amount Exemption	
	Is a prospectus	Having a minimum amount to invest does not provide any assurance of sophistication on the part of the investor.
	exemption based on a minimum	At most, the size of the investment is an indicator only of the investor's ability to withstand financial loss.
	investment amount appropriate?	This exemption is susceptible to being used inappropriately by individual investors and parties seeking to raise capital since it simply requires a person to raise the amount, by unspecified means.
		The MA exemption is impossible to rationalize at any amount and should be abolished rather than reset.
		The MA exemption implies <i>de facto</i> suitability (whether or not correct from a legal perspective, many advisors begin with an assumption of suitability when an investor is willing to invest \$150,000 in a single security). There are numerious situations where the KYC and suitability assessment process is greatly reduced for orders in excess of \$150,000 based in some way on this argument.
		One of the rationales behind the exemption is that, given the level of investment required from the investor, he or she would have the ability to negotiate terms and obtain additional information or protections with respect to the investment – i.e. he or she has negotiating leverage given the level of investment. Based on that rationale, the amount of \$150,000 may be insufficient.
		The MA exemption is premised on an investor having one or more of: a certain level of sophistication, the ability to withstand financial loss, the financial resources to obtain expert advice, and the incentive to carefully evaluate the investment given its size. The monetary threshold of \$150K continues to ensure these underlying premises are met. The erosion to the base amount over time has not changed this fact. The \$150K amount continues to offer appropriate protection.

No. Topic	Comment
	This exemption creates the unintended consequence of investors investing \$150,000 in a single investment when a much lower amount would have been more appropriate. In other words, the MA exemption engenders the very behaviour (i.e., portfolio concentration in private, illiquid securities) that these rules are designed to prevent.
	The minimum amount rules can actually increase an investor's risk. By imposing a \$150,000 minimum investment, the current rules can force investors to make larger investments than they would otherwise feel comfortable making, as it is the only way to access this attractive market. These investors would be better served if they could make smaller investments in a single issuer, thereby diversifying their portfolio.
	Great harm has been inflicted on retail investors by the MA exemption. If one of the mandates of the CSA is to reduce risk to retail investors the number one place to start is by eliminating that requirement that investors who neither meet the income nor asset thresholds be forced to invest a significant amount of \$150,000 or more, most likely borrowed against the equity in their homes. Rather than a minimum amount, common sense dictates that certain retail investors should be limited to a maximum amount which they could place in one investment, somewhere in the \$10,000 to \$25,000 range. By changing the minimum of \$150,000 to a maximum of \$10,000 to \$25,000 the CSA would most likely eliminate the complaints of retail investors losing their homes or life savings.
	Individuals entering or in retirement may be particularly vulnerable if they have accumulated significant amounts of capital but rely on these funds, and the income that these funds generate, to sustain them in retirement. These investors may need extra protection as they may have an illusion of financial sophistication (afforded in part by the MA exemption itself) but lack sufficient expertise to make informed investment decisions about exempt market products. Such investors are also more likely to be targeted by investment advisors soliciting investments in unregulated opportunities such as those available under certain exemptions.
	Minimum investment amounts may also foster a perception of unfair advantage being given to a certain group of investors.
	[Our] view is that the MA exemption does not assure investor sophistication and may not be in the best interest of the individual investor. In the case of institutional investors, their total assets are typically much larger and would therefore have the ability to withstand financial losses. Further, institutional investors are generally more sophisticated and have access to in house professionals and/or consultants who are sophisticated and experienced in investing. An alternative qualification criteria for individual investors should be that they have the relevant sophistication and investment experience.
	One of the outcomes of the MA exemption is that \$150,000 is an arbitrary number that does not consider the risk of the investment, especially when "it may have made more sense to invest only \$50,000". The CSA should consider an alternative exemption to the minimum exemption, rather than indexing or increasing this exemption.
Should the MA exemption be retained in its current form?	The exemption should be retained in its current form. The exemption currently represents a sufficient amount of money and as such would appear to preclude the majority of the public from using it, especially when one considers the median incomes and wealth of Canadian households. Further, if an individual is disposed to invest such a substantial sum of money in an exempt-market investment, the onus should be on the individual to protect himself or herself.
How much should the minimum investment	The threshold amount should be lowered by 50%. Anyone who invests \$75,000, whether in a consumer purchase or an investment should be expected to do some research. The investor has to do his or/her own research and should not rely only on advisors. Investors need to be enabled to make their own decisions, based on better disclosure by issuers and sales people.
threshold be increased or	The threshold amount should be dropped to \$20,000 when the exempt market product is distributed through a financial advisor who is registered with an exempt market

No. Topi	ic	Comment
decreased?		dealer and the product is managed by a registered portfolio manager and comes with an Offering Memorandum.
		We recommend retaining it or reducing it. Consider reducing it to \$25,000, coupled with disclosure requirements and limits.
		The MA exemption should be retained, but be modified to a lower minimum investment threshold of \$50,000.
		As an alternative to repealing the MA exemption, lower the amount below \$150,000 or allow it to be spread over several investments.
		The amount invested could be capped at a percentage of an investor's net worth or income (i.e. 10%)
		If the MA exemption is retained but modified, where a Portfolio Manager is involved with the purchase, the threshold value should be based on the lesser of: (a) a lowered threshold minimum amount of \$25,000; or (b) a specified percentage of the investor's portfolio size (i.e. 5%). The investor should also be provided with a risk acknowledgement form.
		The investor should also be provided with a risk acknowledgement form.
		There are significant problems with the use of the MA exemption that would justify its elimination or a significant increase in the \$150,000 monetary threshold.
		There appears to be a good argument to increase the minimum in relation to what the current value of the 1987 \$150,000 is in today's dollars to \$250,000. As an alternative, perhaps a two tiered system should be contemplated, for example a minimum investment amount of \$150,000 for individuals and \$250,000 for institutions.
		The MA exemption should be abolished or at least made scalable and reflective of the investor's sophistication, resources and risk tolerance. The somewhat arbitrary "one size fits all" model may be highly prejudicial to the interests of some investors who are growing their holdings or have diversified in ways not recognized by present regulations. While scalability based on income, sophistication and/or net worth would introduce a further layer of subjectivity to the suitability process, with guidance, exempt market dealers are capable of managing such a system.
		The MA exemption should be removed. The ability of an investor to raise \$150,000, without reference to their income, assets or actual ability to sustain such a significant loss presents significant potential investor protection issues. The MA exemption, designed to demonstrate an ability to withstand loss, may in some cases result in investors actually taking on more risk than is advisable in order to be eligible to use the exemption.
		This exemption should be retained until there is clear evidence that dealers are using this exemption in an abusive manner.
		Raising the dollar limit to at least \$250,000, adjusted annually for inflation, would help prevent a large number of investor complaints. This amount should not represent more than 10 % of an investor's net worth.
Should the threshold fo		No, however the MA exemption should be periodically reviewed to ensure the underlying assumptions are still correct (ie that the amount invested is still significant enough to conclude the purchaser is sophisticated).
MA exempt adjusted for		Inflation mostly tracks households' costs of living and has little impact on financial assets. A better choice might be the national rate of increases in household financial

No. Topic	Comment
inflation?	assets or the national rate of net increase in household income or some ratio of financial assets to average household financial assets.
	It is not apparent that indexing the threshold to inflation serves any purpose other than to regularly and consistently increase the threshold. This may do no more than continually erode the pool of potential investors who qualify for the exemption, which reduces the value of the exemption to issuers.
	It is not clear how inflation, which is primarily a consumption related measurement (it erodes purchasing power and asset values), should have any application to the threshold amount. The impact of adjusting thresholds by inflation (no matter which inflation measurement is used) would be to impose a double reduction in the number of qualifying investors; inflation would erode the capital they have available to invest and the increase in thresholds would further remove them from the marketplace Since salaries have not increased significantly in recent years, and wealth creation opportunities have been limited, it would be artificial and detrimental to raise the threshold by this metric. We very much doubt that the threshold would be decreased by a deflationary trend.
	There should not be any adjustment for inflation. If it is determined that the exemption should be retained then it is better to maintain the current minimum amount for consistency rather than pick another arbitrary number that fails to provide the right measure of protection for investors.
	The MA exemption should be adjusted for inflation. Alternatively, provide for a periodic (every 5 year) increase to reflect inflation or economic growth over that period.
	The MA exemption has been premised to a certain extent on an investor's ability to withstand financial loss. At a minimum, the current threshold of \$150,000 should be adjusted for inflation. As the consultation document notes, the \$150,000 threshold set in 1987 is equivalent to over \$265,000 in 2011 dollars.
	Yes, the MA threshold should be adjusted periodically for inflation. However, we believe there is some advantage to having a stable, well-known, "round number" for the threshold. Accordingly, we do not believe that it is necessary to adjust the threshold annually. Instead, we would suggest adjusting the threshold upwards or downwards to reflect inflation (or deflation), whenever changes in the consumer price index would justify a larger incremental change, such as a change of \$2,500.
Should the MA exemption be repealed?	 No, the exemption should not be repealed A number of commenters did not support repealing the MA exemption. Some of the reasons given included the following: We see it being used on a regular basis and it is considered by our issuer and investor clients to be a useful prospectus exemption. Why would we unnecessarily deny Canadian issuers access to capital. If the underlying assumptions to the MA Exemption remain true, why wouldn't we provide Canadian issuers with as many alternatives as possible to raise capital and create value for their shareholders. The objective should not be to unduly restrict capital raising but rather, improve its functioning by promoting risk awareness through investor education and self-evaluation. If the proposed changes are implemented, fewer investors will be qualified to provide capital to businesses which need it. We urge that the CSA not increase the costs to small and medium size businesses by making it more difficult to access required capital. For this reason, the existing exemptions ought not be repealed or increased in the absence of pressing need for public protection. This exemption should not be repealed unless other changes are made to current or new exemptions to accommodate capital raising by SMEs. The MA exemption should not be eliminated as there are certain situations where this exemption is of use. It provides a means for sophisticated investors who are not accredited investors to participate in the exempt market. Concerns with this exemption are best dealt with through disclosure and registrant involvement.

No. Topic	Comment
	Yes, the exemption should be repealed
	A number of commenters supported repealing the MA exemption. Some of the reasons given included the following:
	 Transaction size alone does not imply any level of sophistication on the part of the investor, nor any suitability as to the appropriateness of the transaction. An arbitrary number of any amount is a poor gauge of suitability. It should be the individuals responsibility and choice to investment the appropriate amount for them based on their financial situation.
	 We feel strongly that the MA exemption, regardless of amount, is in conflict with prudent investing principles such as diversification and suitability. No amount of money invested should imply a level of investor sophistication to which no disclosure from an issuer is required. An exemption based solely on this criteria creates no real basis of protection for an investor no matter what their level of investment is.
	• The establishment in 1987 of the limit of a minimum investment of \$150,000 was arbitrary, as a proxy for sophistication, but does not actually provide any assurance of sophistication on the part of the investor. In the context of investment in pooled vehicles the minimum investment forces an investor to concentrate their investment in one strategy, thereby increasing risk, when the ability to diversify an investment of this size across several strategies would better serve the investor by reducing risk.
	• The MA exemption may encourage an investor to invest an amount in a prospectus-exempt security that is not in line with their investment objectives and could cause them to take on more risk than they would otherwise wish.
	The MA exemption is impossible to rationalize at any amount and should be abolished rather than reset.
	• A dollar investment alone, even one higher than the present threshold, is not a proxy for financial sophistication.
	• We feel that in a majority of cases where non accredited investors are relying on this exemption, a bulk of subscription funds come from a home equity line of credit. Individuals should not be putting their homes at risk simply because that is the only way they can get enough money together to meet an exemption (and get into a given investment) for which they otherwise would not qualify.
	• It does not serve any particular purpose except to magnify the impact of mistakes made by individuals.
	A minimum purchase amount does not have any relevance on an investors' sophistication.
	• It would be preferable to repeal the exemption. An OM exemption or requiring the involvement of, a registrant are better approaches.
	The MA should be eliminated in the face of the substantially increased responsibilities for investor protection undertaken by exempt market registrants. As part of their KYP/KYC processes, exempt market dealers consider the degree of issuer disclosure, whether the investor is an individual or institution, the complexity of the security and whether or not the issuer is a reporting issuer. All of these factors contribute to the ultimate suitability decision. In jurisdictions where the MA exemption is the only exemption available to allow non-accredited investors to participate in the exempt market, that repeal of the exemption would potentially reduce capital raising ability unless the exemption were replaced with something that continued to allow non-accredited investors to qualify. The AI exemption, because of its strict definition that relies on financial assets, would still serve to disqualify many investors who may otherwise be suitable for certain exempt market securities.
	Yes the MA exemption should be repealed. In all cases, exempt distributions should provide full risk disclosure to prospective purchasers. At a minimum, registrants have an obligation to recommend only suitable investments and would prefer to see all registrants held to a fiduciary standard. We do not believe that individuals should be shut out from the exempt distributions market, nor that securities of reporting issuers, when issued as exempt distributions, should be treated any differently than securities of non-reporting issuers.
Should individuals	We have nothing against well meaning individuals nor want to make their lives any more difficult but the sad reality is that the securities evaluation is simply a very

No.	Topic	Comment
	be able to acquire securities under the MA exemption?	complex issue and most, almost 99% of the individuals we come across have neither the education nor the time to evaluate such securities. We therefore advocate that individuals be severely restricted from participating in the exempt market unless there is a bona fide gate keeper. They should have either a managed account with a PM who is then accountable for decisions.
		Individual investors are less likely to possess the skills necessary to do their own due diligence. This would be solved by requiring an independent analyst report.
		Limiting it to institutions would have a negative impact on capital raising – angel investment in Canada would end.
		Individuals in Canada, regardless of their financial sophistication, can easily and quickly open up a discount brokerage account on their own and buy options, derivatives, foreign exchange contracts, use leverage (margin) etc. I believe that they would be better off having access to professional managers, even if those managers offer their products by way of the exempt market. People that have the freedom to do dumb things on their own should have the freedom to choose to hire a professional to help them.
		Limiting the use of the MA exemption by individuals would be a regressive step and tend to impose a financial penalty on an individual wishing to use the exemption, namely the cost and trouble of forming and capitalizing an investment entity that would itself be entitled to use the MA exemption. Individuals should continue to be entitled to acquire investments under the MA exemption, provided that at least financial and other basic issuer information is furnished to the investor.
		Individuals should have the same criteria as do institutions as we would expect a person to be more diligent with their own finances than an institution.
		If individuals are allowed they should not be allowed to put more than a certain percentage of their assets into any one security. This would lessen the impact of having made a wrong decision.
		The MA exemption should be repealed in regards to individuals regardless of any potential impact on capital raising, which we feel would be minimal as most who rely on it are likely also accredited investors. Protecting those who are not accredited investors (but have access to \$150,000 through an inheritance, home equity line of credit, etc.) would be suitable exchange for the minute decrease of capital accessibility. The goal of investor protection must be as important a consideration as the ease of capital access for issuers.
		In our view the MA exemption is not an appropriate basis for trades of exempt securities by individuals. In practice we do not generally see a strong enough logical connection between the size of the investment made and the rationale for the investment being made on an exempt basis. We do not generally see a connection between financial sophistication, financial knowledge or ability to withstand loss and the amount of an investment.
		A fixed exposure limit, perhaps based on a specific percentage of the investor's investable assets, might be a useful addition. This would serve to restrict the individual's investment under the MA exemption to no more than that limit amount.
	Are there	Provisions should be put in place to ensure that investors are not leveraging their primary residence in order to meet this exemption's criteria.

No.	Topic	Comment
	alternative qualification criteria, other limitations or other issues with the MA	Should a non-accredited investor wish to participate in an exempt offering they should have a maximum amount they can do (for example \$10,000 as permitted under the OM exemption) not a minimum amount. We believe that the maximum should be raised to a reasonable number (not much more than 10K, say 15K – 20K) and the minimum should be eliminated.
	exemption?	Require disclosure on the fact that the investment is considered an alternative investment because it is long-term, illiquid and high risk.
		The MA exemption should be based on several factors and not just on fixed financial resources. Investment experience should also be a factor.
		Many jurisdictions in Canada permit exempt distributions under the Family, Friends and Business Associates exemption or the OM exemption which include the provision of a risk acknowledgment form. The adoption of a risk acknowledgement form requirement is a credible alternative to eliminating the MA exemption altogether, but we question whether a signed risk acknowledgement provides investors with sufficient protection. Members of the public often sign risk waivers without fully understanding them.
		The intent behind and requirements of the MA exemption are not problematic. Some investors will sell liquid assets to obtain cash in order to use this exemption. It may be beneficial to the investor to be able to invest the minimum amount in smaller increments rather than in one lump sum. Give investors the option of investing the minimum amount in one or more tranches over an aggregate period of not more than 180 days, with each tranche being on the same terms and with respect to the same securities. In addition, the subscription agreement in respect of the aggregate investment should require that if the investor does not invest the full amount required to qualify for this exemption, the issuer will promptly return the full amount of the investment to the investor, without interest or penalty. The main concern with permitting investment over several tranches is that the issuer would be unable to access the funds until after the last tranche, which would create access to capital concerns for the small issuers.
		It may be appropriate to limit the number of times that this exemption may be used by a single investor, especially as this exemption is intended to be used in a situation where the investor does not qualify as an accredited investor.
		A "financial means" test would be a more appropriate basis for exemptions than a minimum amount. However, relying on dealers or issuers to conduct such a test is implausible for many reasons, including possible conflicts of interest. Such a test could possibly be administered by requiring a registered financial advisor to conduct the test on his or her clients, as an extension of existing KYC requirements.
		Any investor, regardless of their level of wealth or income, can purchase securities of an exempt distribution provided that the purchase represents only a small portion (up to 10%) of their net assets (excluding primary residence) unless a risk acknowledgement is executed by the investor and the registered advisor acknowledging the level of investment and the implications and risks were fully considered. We believe that all securities should be issued with sufficient disclosure of risk factors to allow the buyer to make an informed decision. Where a registrant is involved in selling these securities, we believe that the registrant has a fiduciary obligation to act in the best interests of his client, the purchase of those securities. Finally, in exploring this issue, we have concluded that the risks of getting poor advice or self-serving advice are at least as significant as any risk inherent in any security, whether prospectus-qualified or an exempt distribution. As important as the "protections" afforded to investors by having prospectuses approved by regulators are, if the investors don't read the prospectuses and rely solely upon an advisor's recommendation, the regulation of the standard of advice is as important as the prospectus, if not more so.

No.	Topic	Comment
		It is important to point out one way in which the MA exemption is "gamed". It is not uncommon for issuers to sell securities to an investor based on the MA exemption, only later to redeem a portion of that investment so as to "top up" a future investment from the same purchaser that would otherwise be less than \$150,000 (for example, an investor invests \$150,000 in January, and has another \$50,000 to invest in June so the issuer redeems \$100,000 in May, which, along with the "new" \$50,000, adds up to a new \$150,000 investment in June.) While this may not violate the letter of the law as it pertains to the MA exemption (assuming that both investments were in fact determined to be suitable), but it clearly violates the spirit of the exemption, and the example supports the elimination of the MA exemption.
		There should not be any further limitations added to the MA exemption. The previous Ontario-only rule, eliminated in 2001, did not require, as the new rule does, that the \$150,000 be in the form of cash. Any further limitations on this rule would be detrimental to the ability of exempt market issuers to access capital and investors' ability to access to a wider range of investment products.
		With respect to the MA exemption, we note that the \$150,000 threshold appears elsewhere in Regulation 45-106; for example, under the asset acquisition exemption in section 2.12 and the exemption for top-up investments for investment funds in section 2.19. If it makes sense to do away with exemptions based on an arbitrary dollar amount, this approach should be carried through to other affected sections of Regulation 45-106.
	Is the AI exemption	Yes, the AI exemption in its current form is an adequate alternative.
	an adequate alternative to the MA exemption?	The use of the AI exemption is a better tool than this limit of size.
		If the MA exemption was repealed and the AI exemption was retained in its present form, the ability of small and medium sized enterprises to raise capital would be less seriously impacted than otherwise. While not in my view an entirely satisfactory alternative to the MA exemption, the AI exemption would be an alternative in perhaps a majority of cases.
		From our involvement with current clients that are raising funds, there will likely be a minimal impact on capital raising if the \$150,000 threshold is increased, as this exemption is very seldom relied upon. Most investors that have \$150,000 to invest in a single issuer may fall into the AI category. However, there is the concern that individual investor has \$150,000 in their RRSP's, RIF's, etc. that they use for the investment or they are able to borrow against the equity in their primary residence to reach the \$150,000 investment threshold. These investors should be protected.
		We believe that other exemptions – all of which have some connection to the stature of the investor or prospectus like disclosure particularly the OM exemption – can be redrafted to ensure that capital raising can continue while ensuring adequate disclosure. Some provinces have dollar limits on Offering Memorandum but it seems inappropriate to obviate anyone paying \$150,000 the right of action for misrepresentation and disclosure available in that exemption yet it's only available to small investors.
		Repealing the MA exemption would likely have little adverse effect on the ability of issuers to raise capital as there are a sufficient number of other exemptions, such as the AI exemption, which can be used.
		We believe in most cases an investor who can afford to invest \$150,000 in a single issuer is in all likelihood an accredited investor.

No.	Topic	Comment
		Given that the vast majority of persons availing themselves of a MA exemption would fit within the current definition of an accredited investor (since a considerable amount of financial assets or income is needed to meet the MA exemption threshold), we do not think it likely that the repeal of the MA exemption by itself would materially affect issuers' ability to raise capital.
		We utilized the MA exemption only until we were able to utilize the AI exemption uniformly across all jurisdictions in which we were raising capital.
		It is our opinion that the elimination of the \$150,000 threshold exemption would have little to no impact on capital raising initiatives. As practitioners in the financial industry, we have successfully raised capital through private placements for more than 100 issuers using only the AI exemption.
		No. Other exemptions should be introduced.
		No. It classes out an entire population of investors and again only looks at assets for sophistication analysis.
		The MA exemption should be eliminated and the AI exemption could be broadened to include additional criteria, especially educational background to include those with professional designations.
		If the current \$150,000 threshold for the minimum amount were to change (increased or repealed), this would not have a big impact on our ability to offer pooled fund strategies to our institutional clients as our standard minimum investment amount far exceeds the current MA exemption threshold. We typically depend on the AI exemption given our clients are institutional investors. We would use the MA exemption only to the extent that a client does not meet one of the accredited investor definitions.
Accredi	ited Investor Exemption	
	Do you agree with	Yes, retain in its current form
	retaining the AI	
	exemption and	If an investor has \$1 million of net investable assets or makes \$200,000 individually or \$300,000 with a spouse for the past three years, they have the ability to withstand
	definition of	some risk – should they choose.
	"accredited investor" in their	We believe the AI should be maintained in its current form, with no increase to the income or asset thresholds. We would, however, recommend that an additional
	current form?	category for "finance professionals" be added to the definition of accredited investor in order to permit those persons to invest who have a degree of financial acumen,
	Are the current	but not necessarily the income or net worth set out in the AI exemption.
	financial thresholds	
	appropriate?	We are of the view that the AI exemption is an integral part of fundraising for issuers in the Canadian marketplace. It is our view that changing any threshold amounts in
		the AI exemption would unnecessarily restrict the ability of Canadian investors from participating in exempt product offerings and that would unnecessarily interfere with the ability of market participants to access capital. It is our view that the current thresholds are appropriate metrics for determining the suitability of an investor to subscribe for exempt market product offerings.
		We do not see a need to tighten the (AI) dollar criteria but would rather see the criteria expanded to include of the value of pension plans and investment real estate, which can be substantial assets for some investors.

No. Topic	Comment
	Yes. The investor has the protection of its remedy for misrepresentation under common law. We would suggest considering statutory civil remedies for the investor, similar to those provided in Ontario for a misrepresentation in an offering memorandum.
	Given the underlying rationale for the AI Exemption we do not believe that a requirement to provide additional disclosure in connection with its use should be necessary. We would suggest, as above, that consideration be given as to whether secondary market liability should be extended to acquisition of securities pursuant to a private placement and this may largely deal with any information-based issues in connection with private placements by reporting issuers. We do note that, in certain provinces, if an "offering memorandum" (as defined for purposes of the Act) is provided that statutory rights of action will apply. One clarification you may wish to consider is that if an offering memorandum is provided in such provinces to any investors in that province that it be provided to all investors in that province who will then have the resulting statutory rights of action. This would ensure that all persons have the same information base and have the same rights of action in connection therewith.
	If an investor qualifies with more than \$1M in financial assets, and \$5M in total assets, then in our opinion, that person either has sufficient knowledge or can afford to consult with someone who has the knowledge in a cost effective manner. Raising the limits would only create havoc for fund raising without any real benefit as it would be protecting a very small percentage of people who have accumulated that level of wealth, but are not sufficiently sophisticated to either manage such investment based on their own investment acumen or engage qualified financial advisors to provide such advice.
	I support the existing financial criteria set out in the AI definition but I believe the definition could be expanded to allow lawyers, accountants and others with investing experience to also be considered AIs. In the current economic environment, we should be assisting issuers in accessing capital from sophisticated investors as opposed to further limiting the available capital.
	We advocate strongly that any changes to income and asset thresholds be made only in unison with any changes to the in the U.S. "accredited investor" exemption. Moreover, if a change is made to further restrict the AI exemption without a similar change being made in the U.S., we would be concerned that it would affect "angels" and "super angels" investing in Canadian start ups. If changes are made to increase the income and asset thresholds in both countries, we do believe that there would be a general adverse impact on start up fundraising. While we are not qualified to make a general statement, in our own experience, we have not seen that this exemption has been abused or that problems have resulted.
	In respect of the AI exemption, we also support the retention of the exemption, and the existing income and asset criteria set out in sections (j)–(m) of the definition of Accredited Investor in section 1.1 of Regulation 45-106. These criteria, which enables retail investors to participate in the exempt market, provides issuers with a very important means of raising capital from investors with the means and desire to invest in such securities. As discussed in the Notice, the income and asset criteria may not always provide a consistently accurate proxy for sophistication. It is, however, very difficult to develop a definitive test for sophistication that is administratively efficient and practical to apply. We do not support the application of the alternative qualification criteria proposed in the Notice. The criteria, which includes investment experience, investment portfolio size, work experience and education is potentially subjective, resulting in regulatory uncertainty, inconsistent application and regulatory risk for those purchasing and selling securities in reliance on the exemption. The income and asset criteria provide an objective test that has a reasonable link to sophistication and the investors' ability to withstand loss.
	With respect to the income requirements associated with the AI exemption, we find that these thresholds also present a genuine hurdle for potential subscribers. This

No. Topic	Comment
	exemption is among the most widely used in Saskatchewan. We suggest that raising the limit significantly would have a substantial negative impact on capital raising markets in Saskatchewan for non-reporting issuers.
	However, there are many investors – people such as professors, engineers, entrepreneurs, scientists, and teachers – knowledgeable people who would like to invest in such companies (I regularly get inquiries from such people) but are not allowed to do so because the barriers are too high. A reduction in the income test for accreditation would substantially increase the pool of available capital to these startups. At present, entrepreneurs can access less than 1% of the population.
	Many things about the AI exemption should remain unchanged such as the definitions for qualifications. For example, the specific entities listed and individuals who have been registrants or registered with an SRO of financial bodies should always be qualified as accredited investors. We think the required changes relate to j) and k) of the list of definitions of a qualifying accredited investor under Regulation 45-106. Several definitions within j) and k) need to be addressed in relation to the AI exemption. One issue is the exclusion of all real estate in the definition of "net financial assets". We believe a better assessment of someone's ability to withstand a loss should include a measure of real estate that is not an individual's primary residence. Investment real estate should be included in the financial assets assessment since it is often regarded as a portion of one's investment portfolio. Another issue lies in the calculation of income and financial assets as it pertains to a business or privately owned corporation. In an effort to maximize tax efficiencies, should an individual be penalized for limiting the salary he takes from his business? As the sole shareholder of a business, are the assets of the business not theirs? We think that these assets and incomes should have a place in evaluating the over-all picture of an individual who wants to invest in an Exempt Market Product.
	We do not recommend changing any threshold amounts in the AI exemption on the basis that it would unnecessarily restrict the ability of Canadian investors from participating in exempt market product offerings and that would unnecessarily interfere with the ability of market participants to access capital. The OBA agrees the additional categories suggested in the Consultation Notice that recognize investor sophistication based on: (i) investment experience, (ii) work experience or (iii) education should be added as additional categories to the AI exemption. We agree with the CSA that investor sophistication has a much broader base than just wealth accumulation and that the addition of these categories to the AI exemption would enhance the AI exemption's functionality for both investors and for market participants. The suggested categories in the Consultation Notice should not be looked as replacements for the current categories that are listed in the AI exemption, but as a way to extend the AI exemption.
	No, do not retain in current form
	No. Income and asset thresholds as a measurement of suitability are arbitrary. The participant of the registrant with respect to the suitability of a particular trade is more important than the arbitrary threshold; this allows the registrant to determine that a trade is not suitable, even though the income and asset thresholds are satisfied.
	No. We believe that due to requirement for exempt market dealer registration has elevated the role and responsibilities of the exempt market advisor to a degree that makes these exemptions unnecessary.
	We are of the opinion that the current AI qualification criteria should be simplified. For example, the requirement to have \$5 million in fixed assets should be eliminated because in theory, an investor who has that much in fixed assets may not have much in liquid assets. A failed investment may create financial stress for an individual who in turn may be forced to liquidate their only fixed assets (i.e. their house which in today's market could easily be worth \$5 million). For individual investors, the AI exemption should be determined by liquid assets or net income or a combination of both.

No. Topic	Comment
	The AI Exemption should also be retained, but be modified with a) a reduction in the Income Test from the current level of \$200,000 per annum to a new level of \$100,000 per annum; b) a reduction of the net assets minimum for corporations, limited partnerships, trusts and estates from the current level of \$5 million to a new level of \$1 million.
	A modification should be made to the exemption itself whereby issuers are required to provide a prescribed minimum amount of disclosure to prospective accredited investors.
	This exemption should be retained in substantially its current form, with minor modification. The current requirements to be designated an accredited investor represent sufficiently high financial thresholds so as to guarantee a sufficient level of financial sophistication. Consider whether an additional avenue of qualification could be made available. For example, those who otherwise satisfy the requirements of an "eligibility advisor" within the meaning of Regulation 45-106 should be given accredited investor status, even though they may not meet the existing financial thresholds. The rationale is that if advice given by an "eligibility advisor" to a client is sufficient to allow the client to meet the test of an "eligible investor" within the meaning of Regulation 45-106, then logically the person who is the "eligibility advisor" must be in a position to assess the merits (or lack thereof) of a potential investment, and therefore should be able to protect themselves.
	No. We believe the honour system of declaring oneself accredited could be improved to ensure investors are making true representations. Requiring more substantive proof and information to support the claim would result in only those that truly meet the standard using the exemption. We believe that limiting an investor's exposure to any specific exempt distribution to a small percentage (up to 10%) of their net assets, excluding primary residence or imposing a requirement at that level mandating a specific risk acknowledgement form is executed along with the registrant or seller involved is a better approach to limiting investor risk.
	If the AI exemption was reduced – income \$100,000 to \$125,000 range, financial assets \$250,000 to \$350,000, net worth not including principal residence to \$500,000 to \$750,000 there would be a retail business in Ontario.
	The minimum net worth test should be lowered by 50%, from \$1M to \$500,000. Net worth needs to include all real estate. The home an investor lives in is a major asset. This is currently excluded in the definition of "financial assets". The total household income should be lowered to a total of \$150,000 or \$90,000 per person. It should allow a person to "self declare" income for example a business owner that might draw only \$60,000 from his business could declare himself "accredited".
	There are two concerns with the current definition of financial assets. The first is the exclusion of real estate within the definition of financial assets. The definition excludes all real estate, even income-generating real estate that is not the investor's residence. This means that a retired investor with a \$3,000,000 apartment building generating \$180,000 a year in income (assuming no other assets) is not accredited. However, if the investor held the same apartment building through a corporation, the shares could be included under "financial assets" and he would be accredited. This result cannot be what was originally intended by the AI exemption. The second issue is with the definition of the word "securities" in the definition of financial assets. The rule does not expressly exclude non-tradable securities (such as shares in a private corporation), however it has long been assumed that "securities" means "liquid securities". This means that a person who privately owns 100% of the shares in a \$2,000,000 transportation company (and doesn't take a reported salary in excess of \$200,000 per year) would not be accredited (assuming no other assets or income). Since there are no exemptions that allow the company itself to invest based on its annual profits, this means there would be no way for the owner of the company to invest, whether on his or her own account or on that of the company. One might argue that the two examples above are specifically designed to show the weakness in the rules and are not common. In fact, they are very common.

No.	Topic	Comment
	Should the income	No, the thresholds should not be adjusted
	and asset thresholds be adjusted for inflation?	The thresholds were set high originally and should not be increased.
		No – current levels are fine – the percentage of the population who qualify as AI is still very small. Indexing numbers is a headache as forms will continually need to be updated. Inflation prices are not necessarily appropriate measures – they do not necessarily measure increases in income.
		The thresholds should now be lowered, particularly if the investment is in an investment fund, to annual income of \$100,000 or net financial assets of \$500,000.
		No the threshold should not be indexed to inflation but rather assess periodically. The income and asset thresholds should be cut in half.
		While we understand that these numbers have not been reviewed in a long time (since being adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission in 1982), we feel that suddenly adjusting any number to three decades worth of inflation will have a detrimental impact on the capital markets. Accordingly, we submit that exemptions should be reviewed no more than once every five years. We further submit that the current thresholds under the existing exemptions are sufficient today and were set too high when implemented.
		Yes, adjust for inflation
		To our knowledge, these thresholds have not been revised or adjusted (for inflation, among other things) in the 10 years since the OSC's introduction of the exemption. At a minimum, the net income threshold should be revised upwards to at least \$245,000 - \$443,000 to account for inflation. Once properly re-established, we would strongly support (in fact, see no principled reason to disagree with) an automatic process to adjust periodically this limit to account for inflation. This would reduce the cost and administrative burden of reconsidering the level, yet again, at some indeterminate point in the future. The financial and net asset thresholds should also be revised to both exclude investors' primary residence.
		We believe that the current threshold amounts should be retained and be indexed for inflation.
		Further to our comments above, we believe that the thresholds for income and assets should also be adjusted to reflect inflation. For example, we recommend that the CSA raise the income threshold to \$245,000 to adjust for inflation since 2001, the year the Ontario Securities Commission first adopted the exemption.
		This is a sound recommendation if it is published annually (rounded off to the nearest \$1000) and eliminates the continual revisiting of the issue by the regulators. Many industry participants undoubtedly find it difficult to plan strategically when exemption limits are continually revisited and/or changed.
	Should individuals be able to acquire	Absolutely, individuals should be able to acquire securities through a registrant under the AI exemption. We also feel that individuals not at the AI level ought to be able to invest as well through other exemptions including the OM exemption to allow regular Canadians a chance to diversity their portfolios.
	securities under the AI exemption?	We believe that all securities should be issued with sufficient disclosure of risk factors to allow the buyer to make an informed decision. Where a registrant is involved in selling these securities, we believe that the registrant has duties to know your client and civil liability through an agent – client relationships and recommend that be increased to a fiduciary obligation to act in the best interests of his client, the purchase of those securities.

No.	Topic	Comment
		We think the exemption works fine for individuals and it is essential to capital raising in our industry that access to that exemption be available to individuals.
		The assumptions underlying the exemption are the same for individuals as they are for institutions (and are possibly even more accurate for individuals). That is, I would expect a person to be more diligent with their own finances than an institution.
		With respect to some of the possible limitations to the "accredited investor" exemption mentioned in the Consultation Note, [the commenter] offers the following comments for consideration:
		• limiting the "accredited investor" exemption to non-complex products would lessen the need to assess the sophistication of the investor, but could unnecessarily limit truly sophisticated investors from being able to participate in complex product offerings;
		• limiting the "accredited investor" exemption to non-individual clients falsely assumes that non-individual clients are always sophisticated and are always more sophisticated than individual clients;
		• a rule limiting the "accredited investor" exemption to non-individual clients may be vulnerable to circumvention through incorporation by the individual investor.
	Should an	Generally, no. However we support a cautionary statement by the issuer that no more than 10% of net worth should be allocated to alternative investments as of benefit.
	investment limit be imposed on AIs that are individuals?	No – an upper limit would be very damaging to fund formation and to the financing of private companies, which depend on investment from AIs, often in very sizeable amounts.
		[The commenter] goes beyond the AI criteria and also uses a "percentage of net worth" test to ensure that the amount being invested is prudent. This will vary by the nature of the investment but will generally not exceed 5% for a start-up investment. Even here, judgment is required as it may be fine for someone with \$20 million dollars in financial assets to invest more than 5% in a single investment.
		We strongly recommend that the size of a particular investment be limited to a certain percentage of investors' net worth in order to encourage diversification and to reduce investors' downside exposure to an amount that they can "afford" to lose. This is even more important given the absence of both a statutory fiduciary duty in Ontario on the part of financial service professionals and a reliable way to link investors' income or assets to financial expertise. A form of proportionality test would limit an investor's losses to amounts that are more manageable for that particular investor.
		Given our view on the need for a registrant in these situations, an imposed limit is not in keeping with each unique individual's financial situation, risk tolerance, investment objectives and time horizons. Each investor is unique and arbitrary limits are penalizing.
		No, there is such a diversity of products with varying risk characteristics available in the exempt market that this would impose an arbitrary restriction on investors or portfolio managers acting on their behalf with no offsetting benefit. For example, there is a material difference in the risk of a broadly diversified pooled fund compared to that of a speculative start-up but a single investment limit would treat them as identical. In fact, an investment limit could deny investors' access to a wide range of investment strategies that they or their portfolio managers might deploy that would improve their portfolio construction from both a risk and tax management perspective. If there are concerns with the Al exemption in respect of specific types of offerings, then requiring either the involvement of a registrant who has an obligation to recommend only suitable investments to the purchaser or a portfolio manager is the best remedy.

No.	Topic	Comment
		Individuals in general do not have the sophistication to make such decisions. At a time when we did not have 9,000 CFAs in Canada alone, it may have been appropriate to look to means such as a financial threshold to establish such criterion. But, now with a proliferation of CFA and other worthwhile designations, it is appropriate to tilt the rules towards a skills-based model. We advocate that inside fully managed accounts being acted upon by advisors, the minimum be set to as small as \$5,000. In addition, we advocate that if individuals are allowed to act on their own behalf then it be lowered to \$25,000 so that if individuals make mistakes then they are more manageable.
		[The commenter] encourages the CSA to consider incorporating into the "accredited investor" exemption an additional requirement that the investment represent no more than a specified percentage of the investor's total portfolio or net assets—perhaps in the range of 5 to 10%. Such a requirement would ensure that the potential loss of the entire exempt investment is one that the investor could sustain without dire financial consequences. It would also serve the related policy goal of discouraging undue concentration of an investor's portfolio in a single, potentially illiquid security.
	Are there	In the case of a security of a listed issuer sold through a non-SRO member firm AND securities of issuers not listed and sold through an SRO member the criteria should
	alternative	be as follows:
	qualification criteria we should use?	(a) registrant has duty to act in best interest of client/fiduciary duty; and (b) a registrant ensures the investor meets a "sophistication" test, which requires that an individual meets two (2) of the following four (4) criteria: i. investor has carried out transactions of a significant size (at least \$2,500) on securities markets at an average frequency of, at least, ten per quarter over the previous four quarters; ii. size of investor's securities portfolio exceeds \$1,000,000; iii. investor works or has worked for at least one year in the financial sector in a professional position which requires knowledge of securities investment and has passed the Canadian Securities Course; or iv. investor is a registrant, registered with one or more securities regulatory authorities in Canada. v. In the case of securities of non-listed issuers sold through a non-SRO member intermediary the criteria should be: (a) a registrant has a duty to act in the best interest of the client/fiduciary duty (b) a registrant ensures the investor meets the "Sophistication test" described above, and (c) independent certification of the investor's fulfillment of the Sophistication test be obtained – from a third party with no financial interest in any transaction.
		The basic guideline (but not rule) should be 10% of net worth can be invested into any investment by AIs.
		As we understand the regulations, the <i>financial test</i> definitions are designed to treat spouses as a single investing unit – we believe this should be re-assessed or a requirement applied that would require the non-investing spouse to concur in writing with any investment falling under the AI exemption.
		AI exemption should include educational, work, and investment experience. There should be a minimum such as complete of the Canadian Securities Course or equivalent courses.
		The CSA should provide checklists of what information is expected to be requested and kept on file in order to determine whether an investor qualifies as an AI. We

No.	Topic	Comment
		have no issue in excluding an investor's principal residence from the Financial Assets Test, however, any other real estate such as a cottage, farmland or other investment property should not necessarily be excluded. There is also increased concern over excluding other real estate from the Financial Assets Test since baby boomers are retiring and may no longer readily satisfy the Income Tests under the AI exemption, thus further shrinking the pool of available investors. The CSA should include other real estate assets (other than an investor's principal residence) in the Financial Asset Test.
		The CSA should allow illiquid securities in the Financial Asset Test.
		If the CSA does strongly believe in increasing the threshold, perhaps a graduated threshold may be considered. For example: i) investors, alone or together with a spouse, with a financial net worth of \$1 million - \$1.999 million or net income of certain amounts may be able to invest any amount up to a maximum of \$150,000 on a particular investment; ii) investors, alone or together with a spouse, with a financial net worth of \$2 million - \$4.999 million or net income of certain amounts may be able to invest any amount up to a maximum of \$300,000 on a particular investment; iii) investors, alone or together with a spouse, with a financial net worth of \$5 million or greater or has net income of a certain amount may be able to invest any amount with no maximum on a particular investment.
		Does the security strike a market based NAV? We believe that this factor captures the risk difference between a true "capital raising" venture and a pooled fund. The NAV requires a daily/weekly pricing mechanism based on an independent, verifiable consensus such as an index. It is a public valuation of a private distribution which is subject, in certain jurisdictions, to an annual audit.
		The opportunity here is to expand the qualification criteria to include investors on the basis of their ability to adequately assess investment risk and portfolio suitability rather than seeking to curtail investor activity in general.
		We would encourage the CSA to consider recommending a new prospectus exemption that would essentially provide that the prospectus requirement would not apply to a distribution of a security by an issuer to an individual if the individual investor and the issuer were able to satisfy certain criteria. Such criteria would include that (i) the security has an acquisition cost to the investor of not more than 5% of such investor's total financial assets before taxes, but net of any related liabilities; (ii) the issuer provides ample warning to the investor of the speculative nature of the investment and the potential restrictions on transfer of the security; (iii) the issuer requires each investor to respond to questions demonstrating an understanding of the level of risk applicable to the investment and the risk of illiquidity associated therewith; and (iv) the investor provides the issuer with reasonable evidence of its net financial assets.
		As the CSA notes, a number of factors, including potential regulatory changes, could justify the development of new qualification criteria, including whether the issuer of the security is a reporting issuer, the security is novel or complex, disclosure is provided to investors (including risk factor disclosure), and if a registrant is involved in the distribution who has an obligation to recommend only suitable investments to the purchaser.
		Redefining financial assets to include investment properties, whether income producing or not, as a financial asset (exclusion of the primary residence). Ownership and income from a business should be included pro rata to the ownership as provided by the shareholders list, to be included in financial and fixed assets and income. Create a short form standard document that outlines the "offering" in plain English with minimum requirements such as, but not limited to, risks and liquidity restraints that must be distributed as part of the evaluation process.
		We respectfully suggest that consideration be given to amending the AI rules to provide for different classes of investors, each with different levels of investor

No. Topic	Comment
Topic Topic	protection needs and ability to withstand loss. Staff of the CSA would have to make the precise formulations as to the classes, but the broad outlines could be as follows: - permitted clients along the lines set out in Regulation 31-103. The intention would be to exclude most individual or retail investors. This class of market participants (banks, brokers, institutional investors) whose function is to move capital around should be able to do so relatively unimpeded, since they have sophistication and market power to obtain the information they need and thus have little need of regulatory intervention to correct for information asymmetries; - seasoned accredited investors: mostly, those accredited investors, excluding the permitted clients, described in Regulation 45-106. These investors could be high net worth individuals and smaller enterprises, but they are generally not persons involved in the capital markets on a day to day basis. These investors should be able to demonstrate in some fashion, through some combination of net assets, investment or other relevant business experience and educational attainment (a CA, MBA, CFA, CSC) that they have some degree of sophistication and ability to withstand loss. The seasoned accredited investors should have fewer constraints on their investment activities; however, the rules could make certain products, such as very complex structured or securitized product, off limits. - Novice or junior accredited investors: there should be a new category for accredited investors who wish to participate in the exempt market, but are in need of additional constraints, by limiting, for example, the amount such investors can invest in any given year and in any given investment. This could be reinforced by limiting the amount that an issuer could raise in reliance on this exemption.
	In our view, there should be no objection to master trusts being considered to be accredited investors from a policy perspective (given the fact that they are essentially similar vehicles to the other entities set out in the definition). We recommend, in the interests of clarity, that the following additional type of entity be added to the definition of accredited investors: "a person that has been established by pension funds referred to in paragraph (i) for the benefit of the beneficiaries of such pension funds." We also assume that this entity would be considered to be purchasing as principal even though has many ultimate beneficiaries (but this is not different from an investment fund), but if there is any doubt about this, we urge the CSA to add a reference to this new accredited investor being deemed to be purchasing as principal for the purposes of section 2.3 of Regulation 45- 106.
	In the context of the establishment of a private equity or investment real estate fund, often structured as limited partnerships, investors are sometimes advised to invest by way of family trusts for the purposes of optimizing tax efficiencies. Such family trusts are often structured as discretionary trusts for the benefit of the living and future lineal descendants of such individuals. Beneficiaries of such family trusts are the beneficial owners thereof. Sub-paragraph (t) of the definition of "accredited investor" provides an exemption for entities in respect of which all of the owners of interest, director, indirect or beneficial are persons that are accredited investors. Since a young child, or further, an unborn child, both of which would routinely be beneficiaries of the family trust, is typically not an accredited investor, the family trust is by definition not an accredited investor. Further, since the family trust is established as a single purpose entity, it does not have any financial assets which would allow it to qualify under a different sub paragraph of the definition of accredited investor. We are thus left with the anomalous result that a wealthy individual or family which would otherwise easily qualify as an accredited investor but for the structure of its investment, cannot avail itself of the exemption. This, despite the fact that all of the policy concerns surrounding the AI exemption would suggest that the family trust be able to rely on such exemption. In order to rectify the described anomaly, we would suggest that the definition of trusts used in Section 2.4(2)(k) of Regulation 45-106, be used in the context of the AI exemption, namely, that in order for a trust to qualify as an accredited investor, the requirement should be that a majority of the trustees are accredited investors.
	Education or work experience as a basis for qualification would not be practical and would be difficult to implement. The only possible exception could be an individual's work experience within the investment industry as allowed for in the U.K.
	With respect to the issue raised in the Consultation Note regarding alternative qualification criteria for individuals, such as education, investment experience and work experience, [the commenter] is supportive of the adoption of such alternative criteria as useful indicators of investor sophistication. However, imposing a general

	Comment
	requirement to determine that the investor is sophisticated may not be practical unless there are objective criteria for making these assessments.
	Fundamentally, an investor who does not have (1) a certain level of sophistication, (2) the ability to withstand financial loss, (3) the financial resources to obtain expert advice, and (4) the incentive to carefully evaluate the investment given its size, should not be able to invest in reliance on these exemptions.
	Our recommendation would be that an individual who is a "professional" in the securities industry, having access to all of the expertise within his or her firm should be permitted to rely on the AI exemption. The trading frequency test applied in the UK is not, in our view a true measure of an individual's abilities as an accredited investor; such a test only identifies that investor as being a frequent trader. More consistent with our recommendation is the UK test as to whether the person has worked in the securities industry for a minimum period of time, which we would consider an appropriate qualification for the AI exemption.
	The criteria should be limited to one of: asset amount; income amount; education; work experience; or investing experience.
	We do not believe that additional qualification criteria should be added for individual investors under the AI exemption as placing further criteria on investors or start ups would, we believe, adversely affect capital raising.
	We feel the current criteria in place are adequate and that consideration should be given to including individuals with certain professional designations and work experience under the definition of accredited investors.
	We would submit that the other possible criteria suggested, as set forth in the Consultation Note, such as educational background, work experience, investment experience and the like should not detract from the availability of the existing Exemptions based on financial wherewithal but may, if determined appropriate, be considered as an independent basis for availability of an exemption.
	We are of the view that an income and asset test is the appropriate basis for the AI exemption and an adequate proxy for an individual investor's sophistication, education, work or investment experience. The "bright line" income and asset criteria means that it can be easily relied upon with certainty by both issuers and investors. Attempting to base the exemption on an individual's education, work or investment criteria will cause uncertainty and be problematic to apply. In addition, certain of the criteria suggested to supplement or replace the asset and income test do not in our view represent appropriate proxies for sophistication (for example, completion of the Canadian Securities Course). Other proposed criteria, such as work experience in the financial industry, are unduly restrictive and will deny access to the exempt market to persons who do not meet such limited criteria but are otherwise sophisticated. We therefore are of the view that the AI exemption should be retained in its current form and be based on an income or asset test for individual investors. We note that the bright line test based on income and assets and the current thresholds are also internationally comparable.
	Certification of an investor's AI status by an independent third party should not be required.
independent third party?	Perhaps a choice by the investor of i) verification of their status by the investor (i.e. tax returns or month-end statements) or ii) certification by an independent third party.
	For non-brokered offerings, the CSA may instead require the issuer to obtain reasonable proof from the client such as a pay stub, T4 slip, or other documents.
c	-

No.	Topic	Comment
		A brief form available through securities regulators and any exempt market participant which, in one page, requires an investor to complete a worksheet that relates their net worth and/or income to the specific investment they are considering, both in terms of percentage allocation of their overall portfolio as well as a risk adjustment they derive themselves. Such a document, accompanied by reasonable back-up documentation (e.g. tax assessment, portfolio summaries, etc.) could be independently validated (e.g. notary style) by the investor without compromising privacy, and submitted along with their investment subscription for a particular investment. The exercise of completing such a document would be instrumental to the investor's clearer understanding of the suitability of any given investment and practically demonstrate both prudence and thoughtfulness in the investment decision-making process.
		We also support a requirement to have very clear disclosure in about the Accredited Investor criteria included in the subscription agreement, such that investors are clearly informed about the criteria that they must meet to use the exemptions. We suggest the level of disclosure be similar to what was included in sections 2 and 3 of OSC Staff Notice 33-735 Sale of Securities to Non-Accredited Investors, which provides details as to what is and is not included in the income, financial asset and net asset tests.
		Regulators should more clearly define what is considered adequate supporting documentation for AI status. We suggest issuers be obligated to obtain from investors a copy of one or more of the following: (a) Most recent Tax Return; (b) Notice of Personal Tax Assessment; (c) balance sheet certified by an independent accountant; (d) letter from independent accountant or legal counsel as to whether the individual meets the income, financial asset requirements and/or other criteria required to be considered an Accredited Investor. In any event, all dealers selling to AI investors should have documented procedures for ensuring unsuitable investments or financing are not recommended to retail investors.
		We do not believe that compliance with the qualification criteria under the AI exemption should be considered during the current review of the AI exemption. The logistical realities of providing a "certification" would, in all likelihood, be cumbersome. For example, a "certifier" might be required to review underlying financial statements and tax returns of the individual in order to satisfy himself that the qualification criteria are met. We do not believe this would be practical and would seriously impede the ability of an investor to rely on this exemption.
		A requirement of independent certification of qualification criteria would be a significant disincentive to individuals taking the benefits of the AI exemption. It would also be intrusive into the private affairs of individuals and would be resented by many, who would consider their own acknowledgements should be sufficient, especially taken with their risk acknowledgement forms. A third party certification requirement would also add cost to the individual investor, as well as impeding the smooth flow of the capital gathering process.
		Recommend a certification requirement (by way of notarized document, for example) at or prior to point of sale, either by a senior representative of the vendor firm or an independent third party such as a lawyer or accountant.
		It would make every one's job easier if we could get Accountants or Lawyers to certify the AI for individuals. We advocate that it be considered.
		Yes, however independent third party certification should come with a benefit to the investor in the form of simplified subscription documentation. Further, a variety of certification options should be available with the intent of harmonizing with investors' current financial activities (e.g. banking, tax reporting, etc.) rather than an arduous or expensive process that is completely independent of regular financial activities.

No. Topic	Comment
	Absolutely not. The logistics, administration and cost associated with this idea far outweigh the perceived benefits. If an investor chooses to be dishonest as to their status and the investment fails, then they hopefully learned a lesson about following the rules. Why should we tailor our securities laws in an effort to protect those who willingly falsify documents? Personal accountability of the investor has to come into play at some point.
	No. Many accredited investors, particularly corporate executives, do not have legal and accounting relationships so the cost of establishing a relationship, providing evidence of income and assets and obtaining a certification will not be trivial. Even those who have relationships will be forced to provide financial disclosures and incur costs that they would rather not.
	During our consultation process, we canvassed whether market participants would prefer if a third party should be required to certify and/or determine whether an investor satisfied the applicable tests for individuals under the AI exemption. The main concerns raised included the unnecessary burden it would place on market participants and investors, and uncertainty around the frequency of certification/verification (e.g., would it have to be done for every transaction, quarterly, annually, etc.) and whether that would impact the sales cycle and delay timely completion of transactions. A further concern relates to the diminishment of the responsibility of the registrant in an exempt market transaction and whether regulatory oversight would be enhanced by effectively placing the accountability for investor qualification outside the scope of regulators by delegating it to third-parties who are non-registrants.
	Most issuers when conducting a private placement of securities provide each investor with a subscription agreement, investor questionnaire and certificate. Issuers often rely on these documents alone to satisfy themselves that an investor meets the financial requirements of an accredited investor. As has been frequently demonstrated, this is not fool-proof in confirming that a particular retail investor is truly an accredited investor. Regulators should require issuers to directly confirm that the statements made by each investor as to their status as an Accredited Investor are indeed correct to the best of that issuer's knowledge and that the statements have a reasonable air of being accurate, consistent and credible.
	Issuers should be required to take proactive steps when engaging agents to sell their securities to accredited investors. These steps include: (1) explaining the importance of compliance with the AI exemption; (2) providing clear instructions to the agents; (3) supervising the agent's efforts; and (4) independently confirming each investor meets the definition of an accredited investor.
	As identified in the Consultation Note, one issue with the AI exemption is ensuring compliance with the qualification criteria. We do not support the CSA's suggestion to require an investor's accredited investor status to be certified by an independent third party, such as a lawyer or qualified accountant in order to improve compliance. There are already safeguards built into meeting the obligation of ensuring exempt securities are only distributed to exempt purchasers. For instance, Regulation 31-103 requires registrants to collect KYC information, which includes the client's financial circumstances. Similarly, registrants must take reasonable steps to ensure that a particular investment is suitable for a client. In addition, Regulation 31-103 imposes a record keeping requirement to support KYC findings.
	In our view, mandating a certification requirement would add another layer of costly compliance that is unnecessary given that registrants already have existing registrant obligations and safeguards. PMs, by virtue of their relationship with clients, already have extensive knowledge of clients' financial situations. In addition, it is not entirely clear that this type of certification would be feasible given that lawyers and accountants will only be aware of the assets/liabilities that an investor discloses. We recommend that any non-compliance identified with meeting the AI exemption qualification criteria should be dealt with through the enforcement regime, as regulatory concerns about market participants following securities laws fall within the enforcement ambit and should be viewed separately.

No.	Topic	Comment
		The CSA should bolster and make public its compliance functions with respect to reliance on the AI exemption to ensure only those who qualify purchase exempt securities. Several decisions of securities regulators from the past year support the contention that some issuers/dealers are failing to properly apply the AI criteria (see
		Skyline Apartment REIT, MRS Sciences, Maple Leaf Investment Fund Corp and Aurora Re cases).
		CSA needs to seriously consider who will be responsible for qualifying investors, who will be responsible for adherence to the available exemptions and finally, what will motivate them to do so.
Ar	e there other	Using a questionnaire to assess entrepreneurial and industry experience, unrelated to any specific investment, which ascertains these traits among potential investors is
	vestment	an alternative that could assess education and experience if additional investor protection is sought.
	nitations we could	
Im	pose?	Mandatory membership in an independent dispute resolution process (IIROC arbitration might be an example if its \$500,000 limit was increased).
		The CSA may also want to consider including benchmark(s) for financial expertise, such as the size of an investor's securities portfolio, number of trades made per quarter, educational background or professional credentials, or the adoption of a basic questionnaire to assess purchasers' financial sophistication.
		We are of the view that introducing additional requirements would unnecessarily complicate qualification and change the nature of these exemptions, which work well in their current form.
		Adding a proportionality test of net assets, exclusive of investors' primary residence. We strongly recommend that the size of a particular investment be limited to a certain percentage of investors' net worth in order to encourage diversification and to reduce investors' net worth in order to encourage diversification and to reduce investors' downside exposure to an amount that they can "afford" to lose. This is even more important given the absence of both a statutory fiduciary duty in Ontario on the part of financial service professionals and a reliable way to link investors' income or assets to financial expertise.
		The limitations I could understand would involve fixing maximum percentages for investments of investors' total financial assets, require financial and other basic issuer disclosure and perhaps enhanced risk acknowledgement, all as stated above.
		No. Should this exemption remain, individuals should have the same criteria as do institutions as we would expect a person to be more diligent with their own finances than an institution.
		We believe that regulators could rely on the advisor-investor relationship to better protect individuals who are active in the exempt market. By its very nature, the AI exemption assumes the individual investor has the sophistication and financial wherewithal to both make independent investment decisions and absorb losses. There is no more cost-effective way to provide clarification on the nature of such risk than with the advice of a competent advice provider who is also a registrant. If the investor wants professional third-party advice, then he or she should consult a professional financial adviser. The addition of a requirement for a risk acknowledgement form will highlight for registrants, dealers and individual investors that the responsibility for the investment decision lies with the investor.
	capital raising	
me	ole of small and edium sized terprises (SMEs)	SMEs employ millions of people and contribute to new job creation. After the family, friends and close business associates exemptions the only ones SMEs can look to are the MA and AI exemptions (an offering memorandum is often too costly or burdensome in relation to the amount of money to be raised for seed stage companies, and OM isn't available in Ontario anyway).
ent	rei hi ises (Sivirs)	and On ish t available in Ontario anyway).

No.	Topic	Comment
	in Canadian capital markets	I also see the need of small and medium sized businesses for access to the investment capital on a cost effective basis. If the proposed changes are implemented, fewer investors will be qualified to provide capital to businesses which need it. I urge that the CSA not increase the costs to small and medium sized businesses by making it more difficult to access required capital.
		A reduction in the income test for accreditation would substantially increase the pool of available capital to these startups. At present, entrepreneurs can access less than 1% of the population.
		If the MA exemption was repealed and the AI exemption was retained in its present form, the ability of small and medium sized enterprises to raise capital would be less seriously impacted than otherwise. While not in my view an entirely satisfactory alternative to the MA exemption, the AI exemption would be an alternative in perhaps a majority of cases.
		The AI exemption is a key building block to the formation of capital into funds that finance emerging companies, growth companies and larger more established businesses.
		While our experience is as legal counsel to issuers and underwriters, it would appear to us, at least anecdotally from our experience, that the AI Exemption has been a very valuable tool in the capital raising exercise by oil and gas and other resource issuers. We do note that it has also provided access to private placements by retail and other individual investors that might not otherwise have been offered participation in private placements, including of non-listed issuers, which otherwise would have been available only to institutional investors. Further, in the case of issuers that are either not large enough or for other reasons cannot raise money from institutional investors, we would, based again on our anecdotal experience, suggest that the AI Exemption has been utilized as a valuable tool for raising capital.
		In our experience, the AI exemption is relied upon to a much greater extent than any other capital raising prospectus exemption. We are concerned that any material increase in the monetary thresholds associated with the AI exemption would restrict the availability of private financing and significantly impair the ability of small and medium sized issuers to raise capital, which would, in our view, have a detrimental effect on the broader economy.
		By severely restricting the number of people who can invest in the Exempt Market, the rules are limiting the ability of private corporations to raise capital without the expense and time of a formal prospectus. This works against one of the regulatory regime's mandates, namely, the oversight of efficient and orderly markets that allow for the capitalization of industry. This is especially critical at a time when volatility and uncertainty make it difficult for issuers to raise capital in the public market, and venture capital funding has been suffering a 10-year drought in Canada.
		The AI exemption is one of the most frequently used exemptions. However, the AI Exemption has the advantage over the MA Exemptions as the investor can determine the level of exposure or financial risk; it is not set at an arbitrary high number.
		The AI exemption is vital to small and medium sized issuers, who frequently rely on that exemption for access to private capital, which is essential to their development. In our experience, this is particularly true for start-up issuers, including oil and gas exploration and development companies, oil and gas service companies and issuers engaged in the development of technology in Western Canada, and junior mining exploration companies and high-tech start-ups in Eastern Canada. We have acted for many such issuers who sourced the initial capital required to acquire assets and commence business through private placements undertaken in reliance upon the AI

No.	Topic	Comment
		exemption. Many of those organizations have gone on to become significant contributors to the economy in Canada, particularly in Western Canada. A considerable number of those issuers have also generated significant returns for their investors. Alberta has an active accredited investor community; many of the members of that community have made significant returns in the oil and gas industry and are now providing seed capital to facilitate technology innovation, an area that has traditionally struggled to find funding in the early stages.
		The CSA should consider easing restrictions on the exempt market to promote access to capital and financing of small and medium size companies in particular while ensuring satisfactory investor protection safeguards are in place.
		As discussed below in more detail, while we acknowledge the often competing policy objectives of investor protection and capital market efficiency, we are very concerned that imposing additional restrictions on the use of the current AI exemption will have a deleterious effect on capital formation for early stage companies, particularly those in the technology space. We believe that companies are increasingly being required to compete for resources, financial or otherwise, on a global basis and that it is very important, particularly in a North American context, that the regulatory environment in Canada not be seen to be more restrictive and less flexible than the regulatory environment in the United States. We also suggest below that, not only should you not be further restricting available exemptions, but you should be considering adding further exemptions, such as those relating to "crowdfunding", in order to assist early stage companies in raising capital.
	Impact if thresholds for MA and AI exemptions were changed	Raising the minimum amount may have an adverse impact on early stage capital raising and may preclude knowledgeable investors from making good investments. Maintaining the MA exemption is critical for early-stage capital if the AI thresholds are to change. Other exemptions are currently inadequate to support vibrant early stage SME capital markets.
		We strongly oppose any changes to the AI exemption that would reduce access to the exemption because it would make capital raising more difficult.
		If the \$150,000 exemption was removed, as we and others suggest, issuers will cry foul. To be fair, doing that alone would impair their ability to raise capital in the short-term. We argue that this change should be offset with a new exemption based on an investor's maximum exposure to the exempt market.
		It is our opinion that changes to the income and asset thresholds would impact capital raising initiatives by reducing the pool of eligible investors (this assumes that the changes are to increase income and asset thresholds).
		Institutional lending will be impacted for our business. Any fund that has assets less than that of a potential new threshold amount will not be able to join with other funds in a syndicate manner. This increases the exposure of the so called smaller fund as they will not be able to share the risks on larger deals and will strictly have to underwrite their own deals subject to 100% of the inherent risk.
		Notably, a large number of the "small" percentage that has \$150,000 to invest should already be categorized as an accredited investor. This said, and should this be raised alongside the AI asset thresholds, there would be significant impact in provinces such as Ontario, as the already small pool of investors allowed to invest would grow even smaller.
		An increase of the MA threshold has the potential to have a negative effect on the ability of certain issuers to raise capital. A greater concern would be if the minimum thresholds of the AI exemption were adjusted upward as a far greater amount of issuers (particularly in Ontario) rely on this exemption. If the AI threshold were to be

No.	Topic	Comment
		increased, consideration would have to be given in Ontario toward possibly adopting the OM exemption so as to create an alternative to the AI exemption for issuers in raising funds in that province.
		We believe that any substantial increase in income and asset thresholds would dramatically reduce an already limited exempt market and substantially impair the growth in the pooled funds market in Canada. This will reduce the opportunity set of investments for sophisticated investors, decrease the portfolio management vehicles available to improve diversification, stifle innovation and impair competition in an already oligopolistic industry.
		Raising the minimums for the accredited investor will not provide additional protection and will negatively impact efficient and vibrant capital markets. We have recently gone through the licensing process under Regulation 31-103 to protect investors from unfair and improper or fraudulent practices. It is too soon to see if these changes have positively affected the market and provided greater protection. By increasing the minimums for the accredited investor at this time we will be unable to determine which program is providing the benefit.
		From our involvement with current clients that are raising funds, there will likely be a minimal impact on capital raising if the \$150,000 threshold is increased, as this exemption is very seldom relied upon However, if the AI thresholds were increased as well, there would be a significantly detrimental impact on capital rising, particularly in the provinces that do not have the OM exemption.
Other is		
	Consultation and review process	It is preferable to consult at an earlier stage rather than later in the rulemaking process, once draft rules have already been prepared. Early, conceptual consultation should lead to a better, more appropriate regulatory framework, and we encourage more early consultations by the CSA.
		The public consultations were dominated by industry participants. The absence of consumer input undermined the consultation process. The regulators should engage in pro-active solicitation of investor advocates when engaging in these types of consultations. There needs to be increased regulatory transparency on policy development generally.
		The Consultation Note does not explicitly acknowledge, nor specifically request comments on, the widespread use of these exemptions to distribute securities of investment funds. The use of the exemptions in that context raises very different issues than their use by industrial or commercial issuers for true "capital raising" purposes. The CSA should consider the two situations separately.
		The explanation for undertaking a review of the MA exemption and the AI exemption at this time lacks sufficient detail as the aspects or features of the "global financial crisis" and "recent international regulatory developments" that raise concerns with reference to these two exemptions are not clearly explained. Absent some direct relevance to the Canadian capital markets, CSA resources could perhaps have been better directed to more immediate and pressing issues of concern to investors, distributors and issuers.
		This review is premature given the recent adoption of Regulation 31-103 and the new obligations imposed on dealers under that regulation.
		Rather than focusing on the MA exemption and AI exemption, a more comprehensive review of the exempt market framework is required. Other rules, especially restrictions on resale of exempt market securities, should also be re-examined.

No.	Topic	Comment							
		We recommend that every three years the CSA review the "Accredited Investor" exemption provisions in their entirety and to engage in further							
		rulemaking to the extent it deems appropriate for adequate investor protection.							
	Harmonize exemptions across Canada	We strongly suggest that the CSA prioritize the elimination of local rules and carveouts included in Regulation 45-106 and other regulations in connection with any changes that are proposed. These variations increase the costs and complexity of raising capital in the exempt market. We are not aware of any valid policy rationale that would support treating purchasers in the Canadian exempt market differently based on their jurisdiction of residence.							
		Certainly the costs of prospectus level disclosure have not gone away, so the original rationale for the exemptions appears to continue to apply. For these same reasons, there would appear to be no need to add any additional criteria to these exemptions although the CSA ought to look into introducing additional exemptions from the prospectus and registration requirements based upon investment experience or education or work experience – so as to make a number of new exemptions available for sophisticated, trained or experienced investors.							
		The CSA, provincial regulators and SROs should develop a harmonized approach to risk and should refrain from identifying all exempt markets as inherently risky unless it can provide evidence that is inclusive of all offerings in the exempt market. It follows that the CSA should attempt to stratify the exempt market as undoubtedly not all exempt market products are or should be classified with the same risk profile. A stratification of products would allow for more appropriate risk assessment and management by those that offer such products and would provide greater and more accurate disclosure for investors. The CSA should also consider how to approach the risk assessment of exempt products that are managed by independent, qualified registrants (e.g. IFMs, PMs). Registrant oversight and management for exempt products should be viewed as equally credible as that oversight provided by the same registrant for prospectus based products.							
		We believe that if the AI exemption is retained in its current form, it should at the very least, be harmonized across Canada and PMs in Ontario should qualify as the "accredited investor" for fully managed accounts for investments in investment funds such as pooled funds. A key area for harmonization is the managed account exemption in Ontario. One of the classes of accredited investors in Regulation 45-106 is a registered adviser acting for a fully managed account (a discretionary account) in the account holder's jurisdiction. Under this exemption, the purchaser of the security (the account holder) doesn't need itself to be an accredited investor. The advisor is deemed to be the accredited investor. However, a portfolio manager acting on behalf of a fully managed account in Ontario is not an accredited investor when purchasing securities of an investment fund. Ontario has carved out this exemption when the exemption relates to securities of an investment fund such as a pooled fund. As such, a managed account in Ontario may only invest in an investment fund on an exempt basis where the holder of the account either personally qualifies as an "accredited investor" as defined in Regulation 45-106 or invests \$150,000 in the investment fund in accordance with the MA exemption in section 2.10 of Regulation 45-106. This unharmonized section of the AI exemption makes it increasingly difficult for registered firms managing assets of clients located across different provinces, where in most parts of the country this is permissible. The practice of allowing investment managers to act as an accredited investor for their clients for investments in pooled funds should be consistent across Canada and it remains unclear as to why the OSC continues to have policy concerns. We recommend that Ontario re-evaluate the investor has actively hired a portfolio manager (who should qualify as the accredited investor). Like other provinces, PMs in Ontario have the proficiency, registration status and requirements, financial strength and							
		Harmonize Regulation 45-106 to provide regulatory consistency across Canada; specifically, a registered portfolio manager ("PM") acting on behalf of a fully managed account in Ontario should qualify as the accredited investor when purchasing securities of an investment fund; 2. For clients not dealing with a PM, maintain the status quo for AI exemption but add modifications to increase flexibility for investors using a PM; 3. Repeal the MA exemption for investors using a PM or lower the threshold amount; and 4. Independent certification of the AI exemption qualification criteria should not be mandated.							

No.	Topic	Comment
		No threshold amounts should apply in respect of a distribution of investment funds to accounts that are managed by a portfolio manager on a fully-discretionary basis. That is currently the situation in all provinces, other than Ontario, as a result of the application of paragraph (p) of the definition of "accredited investor" set out in Regulation 45-106. We feel strongly that this should be made consistent across Canada by having subparagraph (p)(ii) repealed in Ontario. The threshold amounts should be at least doubled in respect of each exemption: in respect of the MA exemption, the threshold should be raised to at least \$300,000; in respect of paragraph (k) of the "accredited investor" definition, the income test should be raised to at least \$400,000 for an individual or, together with a spouse, \$600,000.
		The CSA should adopt a national and harmonized definition of an OM and provide guidance on: 1) what is and what is not considered an OM for marketing purposes; and 2) whether certain marketing materials may be exempt from the OM requirement.
		One of the difficulties with conducting a private placement across Canada is the requirement to describe the statutory rights available to purchasers under certain prospectus exemptions, including the accredited investor and minimum investment exemptions. Typically these descriptions go on for pages, virtually guaranteeing that they will not be read. We suggest that the CSA adopt a uniform description of the statutory rights available to purchasers in the exempt market that satisfies the legislative requirements of all Canadian jurisdictions, in a similar manner as the statement of rights of withdrawal and rescission in item 30 of Form 41-101F1 <i>Information Required in a Prospectus</i> . We acknowledge that such a summary would need to address the differences in statutory rights that exist in different Canadian jurisdictions. We suggest, however, that the purpose of the requirement to describe these rights is to alert the investor that he or she has certain rights and they should consult a lawyer, not necessarily to provide the detail of these rights.
	Offering memorandum exemption	Consider implementing the OM exemption nationwide, with a prescribed form and risk acknowledgement statement – but remove the requirement to include financial statements in the OM where the issuer is an investment fund.
	exemption	Consider implementing an OM exemption for "eligible investors" modernized for amount and declaration based on asset or income tests on a sliding scale.
		There should be appropriate disclosure by the issuer as to risks, like in the current Offering Memorandum process. The OM process is not broken, although it needs amendment: it needs to lay out a business case in the OM or information sheet and its assumptions about the projected returns. This is missing in the OMs or project information sheets today. If those assumptions had been in the OM, those many failed companies over the last few years would have raised far less money as it would have been obvious that the numbers do not make sense given prudent real world assumptions. A limitation to consider is that an investor is allowed only 10% of his net worth into an Exempt Product investment as a guideline, with an option to override this in writing by the investor. It should not be a rule, only a guideline. A signed form, stating that an investor acknowledges he (or she) exceeds the 10% guideline should be introduced.
		The offering memorandum and prospectus disclosure of risk are insufficient and inadequate as disclosure. Focus should be on suitability obligations as defined in <i>Re Daubney</i> .
		I have reviewed other examples of OMs that provide investors with a level of disclosure that is on par with the level of a prospectus. Both OMs and prospectuses contain statutory rights of rescission and investors can sue for a misrepresentation. Perhaps the CSA could consider an "OM exemption" that would allow smaller investment managers to access the Exempt Market provided that the OM contained certain mandated disclosures. In my opinion, from the perspective of Exempt Market fund managers, the reticence to operate as a mutual fund isn't the requirement to draft a prospectus or have it reviewed by the CSAs; rather, it is the ongoing cost associated

No. Topic	Comment							
	with complying with the rules that apply to mutual funds.							
	Ultimately, we would like the MA and AI exemptions to remain intact and the OM exemption extended into Ontario. By extending the OM exemption to Ontario, clients would benefit from enhanced disclosure and securities regulators would be in a position to clearly focus on the behaviour of dealers and issuers.							
	In our view the model of the OM exemption and the Eligible Investor definition under it is the most appropriate policy direction to take for a variety of reasons. First, it levels the investor playing field across Canada. Currently, Canadians in most jurisdictions other than Ontario have far easier access to private markets than Ontarians. Second, it permits a certain level of investment (\$10K) to be made by any investor, regardless of financial "eligibility" so long as certain other criteria are met, such as the delivery of an OM and the signing of a risk acknowledgement. Third, it offers investors the option of qualifying as "eligible" if they receive the blessing of an eligibility adviser as defined in s.1.1, in much the same way as the CSA is here suggesting that the Accredited Investor status be certified by a third party. Fourth, the income threshold is lower (\$75K rather than \$200K) and the net worth is lower (\$400K rather than \$1M Financial Assets) for an eligible investor than it is for an accredited investor, providing greater investor access to the exempt market at a time when the economy needs it and investors arguably want it.							
	Ontario needs to adopt the OM exemption and remove the \$150,000 exemption. The offering memorandum provides the general population with the ability to invest in opportunities which are potentially uncorrelated to market. Many people we speak to are looking for investments are not directly tied to analyst expectations, hedge fund positions, or the behavior of irrational market participants. They're looking for an opportunity to invest in small companies with simple business models where they have direct access to the principals – investments which are directly tied to the success of the business.							
	While we understand the topic of this request for comment are the MA and AI exemptions, we would like to outline our strong disagreement with proposal (Regulation 41-103 Notice) as referenced on page 5 [of the Consultation Note] as eliminating securitized debt offerings from the Exempt Market through an OM would severely hurt the industry and its investors, and we also do not feel that the use of a prospectus specifically will improve the security of the investor, rather simply create a higher barrier to market entry. This leads us to the question of what protections do prospectuses provide that the OM exemption does not. Both provide disclosure but prospectuses more often than not contain disclosure that the majority of investors do not understand. The majority of investors will not take the time to read the prospectus and will rely on marketing materials in their judgement of an investment, unless an OM is provided with easy to comprehend transparency and explanation of the said business model. Securitized debt tools are utilized by many strong, performing businesses within the exempt market and we strongly suggest should not be eliminated. We feel strongly that securitized debt offerings should be allowed to continue within the exempt marketplace through the OM Exemption, and that the Province of Ontario should consider adopting said exemption.							
	Drop the requirement for an IFRS audited financial statement for issuers below a certain threshold, say a sub-\$100,000 opening balance sheet or sub \$2M total raise target. The costs are prohibitive. Rules & disclosure need to be reasonably aligned with project sizes, as a restaurant that needs perhaps \$250,000 investment for a refurbishment needs to be treated differently than a \$20M+ real estate or resource play. Different guidelines, with more and more disclosure requirements for larger players and associated sales community), somewhat less regulations for smaller deals.							
Fiduciary duty on	All registrants who provide investment advice to retail investors should be subject to a fiduciary duty to act in the best interests of their clients.							
registrants	The current suitability framework is inadequate.							
	The requirement of a fiduciary duty on behalf of advisors to safeguard investor interests is of critical importance in considering the exempt market because the current							

No.	Topic	Comment							
		statutory thresholds are only crude proxies for financial sophistication and not substitutes for the personal interaction between advisors and their clients.							
		The majority of Canadians are not sophisticated investors. Over a lifetime many Canadians accumulate substantial savings and most depend upon a registered representative to advise them on investments. Retail investors are generally not aware that the individual they depend upon for advice does not have a fiduciary duty and often may be a salesman with a limited range of products to sell.							
	Exempt market dealers	EMDs are currently subject to less oversight, less regulation and do not form part of a scheme that provides compensation to investors in event of insolvency. EMDs that perform investment-dealer like activities should be required to join IIROC and not be permitted to avoid SRO oversight.							
		EMD's should be prohibited from selling prospectus qualified securities such as mutual funds and exchange traded funds to accredited investors. Currently, EMD's are able to hold themselves out as full service financial product providers and sell the same products as MFDA and IIROC dealers without being subject to the same level of regulation and oversight. This creates an uneven playing field in the industry and additional risks for investors.							
	Investor protection	Requiring EMDs that perform investment dealer like activities to register with IIROC would improve investor protection through closer supervision, heightened compliance and insolvency coverage through CIPF.							
		In seeking to protect unsophisticated investors, broad mechanisms that exclude competent individuals should be avoided in favour of evidence-based, focused mechanisms. Focus on the practitioner or seller rather than the investors.							
		For us, a firm whose primary business is in a foreign jurisdiction where the CSA member(s) cannot effect investigations/enforcement should be off limits to retail investors.							
		We are concerned about seniors. The elderly, especially those with substantial savings, appear to be a designated target of unscrupulous "advisers"; it is our view that special protections are in order. For seniors, capital preservation, dependable income /cash flow, time horizon, liquidity, de-accumulation profile, tax optimization and estate planning are key investment factors.							
		The key to protecting investors, however, is to use the AI criteria in conjunction with an assessment of suitability, as is currently performed by a registrant through the Know Your Client and other processes. Without such a linkage, a company can accept an investor's life savings simply because they are "Accredited". This makes no sense.							
		Investors in the exempt market are especially vulnerable because they do not have the benefit of regulatory oversight or access to full information regarding these investments. These investors could potentially be subject to a different (i.e. lesser) level of regulatory involvement if a fiduciary obligation were in place.							
	Examples from other countries	The U.S. requirements are most relevant to the Canadian market. We note that U.S. is only now moving to a regulatory regime similar to Canada's – i.e. requiring more advisors and investment funds to register and making the financial asset test for AIs exclude the principal residence.							
		The U.S.SEC recently adopted an amendment to the accredited investor Net Worth standard which excludes the value of an individual's primary residence. This was done pursuant to Section 413 of the Dodd-Frank Act which stipulates that the SEC "shall adjust any net worth standard for an accredited investor, as set forth in the rules of the [SEC] under the Securities Act of 1933, so that the individual Net Worth of any natural person, or joint net worth with the spouse of that person, at the time of purchase, is more than \$1 million (as such amount is adjusted periodically by rule of the [SEC]), excluding the value of the primary residence of such natural person"							

No. Topic	Comment							
	Ref http://www.sec.gov/news/press/2011/2011-274.htm The CSA may want to consider netting out all real estate and illiquid, difficult to value and non-income producing assets such as fine art or jewelry from the Net Worth calculation (if this is not already the case).							
	Regulations in the United Kingdom require investors to demonstrate expertise through, among other factors, frequency of investment transactions and practical experience in the financial services sector or related professions. The CSA could also consider allowing investors to demonstrate expertise, for example by adopting an online test or questionnaire to assess an investor's eligibility.							
	In terms of alternative criteria for an AI exemption, we note that under the European Union's <i>Prospectus Directive</i> of May 30, 2001, which came into force in the United Kingdom on July 1, 2005, distributions to "qualified investors" are exempt from the prospectus requirements. The <i>Directive</i> allows Member States to choose to authorize resident individuals as qualified investors when they expressly ask to be so considered. Such individuals must meet at least two of the following criteria: investment experience: the investor has carried out transactions of a significant size (at least 1,000 euros) on securities markets at an average frequency of, at least, ten per quarter over the previous four quarters; investment knowledge: the investor works or has worked for at least one year in the financial sector in a professional position which requires knowledge of securities investment; or portfolio size: the size of the investor's securities portfolio exceeds 0.5 million euros.							
	These "qualified investors" are listed in the Qualified Investor Register, which is publicly available (the information contained in the register may be delivered electronically only to issuers and other offerers of securities). In light of the U.K. model, many stakeholders will support additional criteria for accredited investors based on investment experience, or investment education, or knowledge similar to the EU's <i>Prospectus Directive</i> . Such criteria could include: investment experience (for example, the investor has carried out transactions of a significant size in securities markets at a given frequency); work experience (for example, the investor works or has worked in the financial sector in a professional position which requires knowledge of securities investment); or education (such as the investor has completed the Canadian Securities Course, achieved a CFA designation or has received an advanced degree in business or finance).							
	The usefulness of the foreign examples is limited because there is no discussion of the registration regimes in these countries and other investor protection provisions that may be applicable.							
Northwestern exemption	We are concerned about the implications of the Northwestern exemption for investors and recommend that it be revoked given significant investor protection concerns.							
Cacinption	The "Northwest Exemption" should be reconsidered as it creates confusion for clients about the circumstances in which they have the protections offered by the exempt market dealer registration requirement, and it exposes clients to the risks of dealing with unregistered dealers without any corresponding public benefits.							
Democratizing access to capital /	Confidence in capital markets are eroded by perceptions that the financial industry allocates the best investments to themselves and financial industry fees are excessive.							
"crowdfunding"	See the <i>Entrepreneur Access to Capital Act</i> and related proposed legislation in the U.S. One proposal would cap company offering size at \$1 or \$2 million with certain conditions. Financial tests on individuals are limited. These bills balance an economy's need for early-stage capital with reasonable protections for investors more constructively than would excluding individuals from the definition of AI.							
	If the US bills are passed, it would provide a competitive advantage to small businesses in the United States by enabling greater access to capital, and ultimately, positive impact and economic growth.							
	Public support for fairer access to capital is evidenced by ordinary people providing capital for projects/businesses on websites such as Kickstarter and Kiva. This							

No.	Topic	Comment							
		supports the argument that people at all levels of income/assets can make effective risk decisions.							
	Educate investors	It is my belief that education and not regulations is the answer. Education sessions must be promoted by the financial services industry and the legal and accounting profession together with the regulators. It is key that we address these issues and make people aware that they should and must consult professionals. After all the rules are there to protect investors from scams and not knowing the rules results in individuals selecting opportunities for immediate riches. I support the concept of licensing any type of involvement, subject to the scrutiny of a professional, before it can be made.							
	Social impact financing	There is significant retail investor interest to provide financing to local organizations and businesses, particularly those driving local social and environmental impact. Our platform focuses on accredited investors, given the current regulatory framework and the potential availability of capital from these sources. However, we have fielded significant interest from individual, retail investors, who may not necessarily meet the criteria for an accredited investor, in financing local impact. Many stakeholders, local and global, in the emerging impact investing marketplace have reported similar interest from such individual, retail investors. There are an increasing number of organizations and businesses building market-based models to tackle social and environmental problems and turning to investors for financing. We are acutely aware of the large number of such organizations and businesses in Ontario. However, the relatively high cost of capital and the lack of access to capital are significant barriers to their ability to grow and advance their mission.							
		There is another area of urgent need for capital raising reform. Governments, NGO's and the community are recognizing "social capital" where investors are willing to accept limited financial rewards when combined with work to solve social and environmental challenges. These ventures also need capital. The CSA should develop a series of exemptions aimed at the formation of community capital initiatives – for profit, not for profit and for limited profit – over and above the current exemptions under review. Perhaps the next CSA request for comments will be a call for business owners and advisors to assist the CSA in fostering capital formation for small and medium size businesses and social capital groups. We believe that such an initiative would get a very significant positive response.							
	Report on exempt market data	The CSA should review, analyze and report on the exempt market activity information it collects in the Regulation 45-106F1 report of trades (including Form 45-106F6 in BC), and update this information at least annually. The CSA should conduct a public consultation to review the content of Regulation 45-106F1 (including Form 45-106F6 in BC) to ensure meaningful regulatory and commercial information is being collected on a national and harmonized basis. The CSA should implement electronic filings of the Regulation 45-106F1 to simplify submission for market participants and provide easier access for analysis and review of the filings by the CSA. It is important to identify where losses are occurring and causes of such losses so as to focus regulation. The CSA should also identify where funds are being raised;							
		who is accessing securities in the exempt market; what they are purchasing; which intermediaries are involved. The CSA should publish this data or do research to obtain the data. It is difficult to comment on the issues raised in the Note without relevant data.							
	Private issuer and	Raise the private issuer restriction of only 50 shareholders to something higher.							
	family, friends and business associates exemptions	The 'friends, family and business associates' exemptions should drop the "close" adjective and include friends, family and business associates or spouses of these individuals.							
		We believe that a new category should be added under subsection 2.4(2), which would be an investor having received a written "Independent Legal Advice Opinion". A definition of Independent Legal Advice Opinion could be added to protect the public even more. As an example, the legal counsel would require a certain number of years of practice to render such an opinion.							
		We believe that the current definition of "private issuer" should be amended to stipulate that the issuer should only issue securities to beneficial owners of securities							

No.	Topic	Comment							
		(other than non-convertible debt securities), who fall under the categories at subsection 2.4(2), at the time of the new issuance. Such new definition would ensure that the							
		private issuer" status for an issuer is not lost where a transfer of securities is made pursuant to Regulation 45-102.							
		Although it may not be advantageous from a taxation perspective, service providers to SME's are unable to receive securities in exchange for services or goods while permitting the issuer to remain a private issuer. Maybe the current section 2.14 could be used in section 2.4 as a template and be modified accordingly based on the							
		premise that the issuer would not lose its private issuer status if it were to use such exemption.							
	Resale	Other aspects of the exempt market should also be reviewed, such as the resale rules which require first purchases to hold on to their securities for a period of time once							
		they have purchased under an exemption. The resale rules are extremely complex and difficult for the average investor, let alone the sophisticated investor, to							
		understand.							

REGULATION TO AMEND REGULATION 45-106 RESPECTING PROSPECTUS AND REGISTRATION EXEMPTIONS

Securities Act (chapter V-1.1, s. 331.1, par. (1), (3), (6), (8), (11), (14) and (34), and s. 331.2)

1. Section 1.1 of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions is amended by replacing the title with the following:

"REGULATION 45-106 RESPECTING PROSPECTUS EXEMPTION".

- **2.** Section 1 of the Regulation is amended, in the definition of the expression "accredited investor":
 - (1) by replacing paragraphs (a) to (i) with the following:
 - "(a) except in Ontario, a Canadian financial institution, or a Schedule III bank;
- (b) except in Ontario, the Business Development Bank of Canada incorporated under the Business Development Bank of Canada Act (S.C., 1995, chapter 28);
- (c) except in Ontario, a subsidiary of any person referred to in paragraphs (a) or (b), if the person owns all of the voting securities of the subsidiary, except the voting securities required by law to be owned by directors of that subsidiary;
- (d) except in Ontario, a person registered under the securities legislation of a jurisdiction of Canada as an adviser or dealer;
- (e) an individual registered or formerly registered under the securities legislation of a jurisdiction of Canada as a representative of a person referred to in paragraph (d), other than an individual formerly registered solely as a representative of a limited market dealer under one or both of the Securities Act (R.S.O., 1990, chapter S.5) of Ontario or the Securities Act (R.S.N.L., 1990, chapter S-13) of Newfoundland and Labrador;
- (f) except in Ontario, the Government of Canada or a jurisdiction of Canada, or any crown corporation, agency or wholly owned entity of the Government of Canada or a jurisdiction of Canada;
- (g) except in Ontario, a municipality, public board or commission in Canada and a metropolitan community, school board, the Comité de gestion de la taxe scolaire de l'île de Montréal or an intermunicipal management board in Québec;
- (h) except in Ontario, any national, federal, state, provincial, territorial or municipal government of or in any foreign jurisdiction, or any agency of that government;
- (i) except in Ontario, a pension fund that is regulated by the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions (Canada), a pension commission or similar regulatory authority of a jurisdiction of Canada;"
- (2) by replacing, in paragraph (j), the words "that before taxes," with the words "that, before taxes";
 - (3) by inserting, after paragraph (j), the following:
- "(j.1) an individual who beneficially owns financial assets having an aggregate realizable value that, before taxes but net of any related liabilities, exceeds \$5 000 000;";
 - (4) by replacing paragraph (q) with the following:
- "(q) a person acting on behalf of a fully managed account managed by that person, if that person is registered or authorized to carry on business as an advisor or the

equivalent under the securities legislation of a jurisdiction of Canada or a foreign jurisdiction;";

- (5) by inserting, after paragraph (v), the following:
- "(w) a trust established by an accredited investor for the benefit of his or her family members of which a majority of the trustees are accredited investors and all of the beneficiaries are the accredited investor's spouse or a parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of that accredited investor or of that accredited investor's spouse;".
- **3.** Section 1.2 of the Regulation is amended by replacing the words "paragraph 1.1(t)" with the words "paragraph (t) of the definition of the expression "accredited investor" in section 1.1".
- **4.** Section 1.4 of the Regulation is amended by replacing, in the part preceding subparagraph (a), the words "in Parts 2 and 3" with the words "in Part 2".
- **5.** Section 1.5 of the Regulation is amended:
- (1) by deleting, in paragraph (1), the words "from the dealer registration requirement, or from the prospectus requirement,";
 - (2) by repealing paragraph (2).
- **6.** Section 2.3 of the Regulation is amended:
 - (1) by inserting, before paragraph (1), the following:
- "(0.1) In this section, "accredited investor exemption" means the prospectus exemption provided in subsection (1) in a jurisdiction other than Ontario and, in Ontario, subsection 73.3(2) of the Securities Act (R.S.O., 1990, chapter S.5).";
- (2) by replacing, in paragraphs (2) and (4), the words "this section" with the words "the accredited investor exemption";
- (3) by replacing, in paragraph (5), the words "This section" with the words "The accredited investor exemption";
 - (4) by inserting, after paragraph (5), the following:
- "(6) The accredited investor exemption does not apply to a distribution of a security to an individual unless the person distributing the security obtains from the individual a signed risk acknowledgement in the required form at the same time or before that individual signs the agreement to purchase the security.
- (7) Subsection (6) does not apply to a distribution if the purchaser of the security is an accredited investor described in paragraph (j.1) of the definition of "accredited investor" in section 1.1 [Definitions].
- (8) A person relying on the accredited investor exemption to distribute a security to an individual must retain the signed risk acknowledgement required in subsection (6) for 8 years after the distribution.
 - (9) Subsection (1) does not apply in Ontario.".
- **7.** Section 2.4 of the Regulation is amended:
 - (1) by inserting, after paragraph (2), the following:
- "(2.1) The following persons are prescribed for purposes of subsection 73.4(2) of the Securities Act (R.S.O., 1990, chapter S.5) of Ontario:
- (a) a director, officer, employee, founder or control person of the issuer;

- (b) a director, officer or employee of an affiliate of the issuer;
- (c) a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer;
- (d) a parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of the spouse of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer;
- (e) a close personal friend of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer;
- (f) a close business associate of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer;
- (g) a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of the selling security holder or of the selling security holder's spouse;
 - (h) a security holder of the issuer;
 - (i) an accredited investor;
- (j) a person of which a majority of the voting securities are beneficially owned by, or a majority of the directors are, persons described in paragraphs (a) to (i);
- (k) a trust or estate of which all of the beneficiaries or a majority of the trustees or executors are persons described in paragraphs (a) to (i); or
 - (l) a person that is not the public.";
- (2) by inserting, in paragraph (3) and after the words "subsection (2)", the words "or, in Ontario, a distribution under subsection 73.4(2) of the Securities Act.";
 - (3) by adding, after paragraph (3), the following:
 - "(4) Subsection (2) does not apply in Ontario.".
- **8.** Section 2.10 of the Regulation is amended:
 - (1) by replacing paragraph (1) with the following:
- "(1) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security to a person if all of the following apply
 - (a) that person is not an individual;
 - (b) that person purchases as principal;
- (c) the security has an acquisition cost to that person of not less than \$150 000 paid in cash at the time of the distribution; and
 - (d) the distribution is of a security of a single issuer.";
- (2) by replacing, in paragraph (2), the words "this exemption" with the words "the exemption".
- **9.** Section 2.20 of the Regulation is amended, in paragraph (c), by replacing the words "does not and has never" with the words "does not distribute and has never".
- **10.** Section 2.22 of the Regulation is amended by deleting, in the part preceding the definition of the expression "associate", the words "and in Division 4 of Part 3 of this Regulation".

- 11. Section 2.34 of the Regulation is amended, in paragraph (g), by replacing the words "set out in Schedule IV of" with the words "approved by".
- **12.** The title of Part 3 and sections 1 to 5, and sections 3.0 to 3.50 of the Regulation are repealed.
- **13.** Paragraph (1) of section 6.1 of the Regulation is amended by replacing subparagraph (a) with the following:
- "(a) section 2.3 [Accredited investor] or, in Ontario, section 73.3 of the Securities Act (R.S.O., 1990, chapter S.5) [Accredited investor];".
- **14.** Paragraph (2) of section 6.2 of the Regulation is amended by inserting, after "[Additional investment in investment funds]", ", or section 73.3 of the Securities Act (R.S.O., 1990, chapter S.5) of Ontario [Accredited investor],".
- **15.** Paragraph (1) of section 6.4 of the Regulation is amended by deleting the words "or section 3.9".
- **16.** Section 6.5 of the Regulation is amended:
 - (1) by inserting, before paragraph (1), the following:
- "(0.1) The required form of risk acknowledgement under subsection 2.3(6) [Accredited investor] is Form 45-106F9.".
 - (2) by deleting, in paragraph (2), the words "or section 3.6".
- 17. The title of section 6.6 of the Regulation is replaced with the following:
 - "6.6. Use of information in Form 45-106F6 Schedule I British Columbia".
- **18.** The Regulation is amended by inserting, after section 6.6, the following:

"6.7. Exceptions to the requirement to file all or part of Form 45-106F6 – British Columbia

- (1) For the purposes of paragraph 6.3(1)(b), an investment fund or an underwriter distributing securities of an investment fund may file Form 45-106F1 instead of Form 45-106F6.
- (2) For the purposes of paragraph 6.3(1)(b), a non-reporting issuer or an underwriter distributing securities of a non-reporting issuer may file Form 45-106F1 instead of Form 45-106F6 if both of the following apply:
- (a) the issuer or underwriter states in item 2 of the Form 45-106F1 that it is relying on the exemption in subsection 6.7(2);
- (b) the distribution in British Columbia was made only to a person that is a "permitted client" as defined in Regulation 31-103 respecting Registration Requirements, Exemptions and Ongoing Registrant Obligations (chapter V-1.1, r. 10).
- (3) An issuer or an underwriter is not required to provide the information in item 4 of Form 45-106F6, if all of the following apply:
- (a) the issuer is a foreign public-issuer, a subsidiary of a foreign public-issuer or a subsidiary of a reporting issuer;
- (b) in the case of an issuer that is a subsidiary of a foreign public-issuer or a reporting issuer, all of the following apply:
- (i) all of the subsidiary's outstanding voting securities are beneficially owned by the foreign public-issuer or reporting issuer, except those securities required by law to be owned by directors of the subsidiary;

issuer (or repor	ting iss	(ii) uer in i		suer or u of the Fo			the name	e of the	e foreign p	oublic-
relying	on the	(c) excepti			underwri on 6.7(3)"		s in item	2B of F	orm 45	-106F6: "V	Ve are
	(4)	For pu	rposes	of subse	ection (3)	, "foreig	n public-is	suer" me	eans an	issuer	
is requ	ired to f	(a) file repo					gistered un 934 Act; oı		on 12 o	f the 1934	Act or
			public	, to secu	ırityholde	ers of the		to a regu	ılatory a	er and the tauthority and (5).	_
	Spain,	ng Kon	g, Italy	y, Japan,	, Mexico	, the Ne	etherlands,	New Ze	aland,	Australia, F Singapore, iin and No	South
19.	Section	n 8.1.1 o	of the I	Regulatio	on is repe	ealed.					
20.	Section	n 8.3.1 (of the I	Regulatio	on is repe	ealed.					
21.	Section	n 8.4 of	the Re	gulation	is amen	ded by d	eleting "or	3.2(5)".			
22.	Section	n 8.5 of	the Re	gulation	is repeal	led.					
23.	Form 45-106F1 of the Regulation is amended:										
numbe	(1) r" with	•	_		•		item 1, thand email a			ess and tele	phone
	(2)	by rep	lacing i	item 3 w	ith the fo	ollowing	:				
to one	of the ii			icate the l below.	industry	of the i	ssuer by c	hecking	the app	ropriate bo	x next
co	Capital Comm Consul Financ Financ Financ Financ Financ Financ Financ	hnology I Pool C unication mer Prod ial Servi ial Servi ial Servi ial Servi ial Servi	ompani ns & M ducts & ices – b ices – ir ices – n ices – p	es Iedia Merchar anks & tr nsurance nvestmen nortgage rivate equ	_	ies & nt ure	☐ Mining ☐ Oil & O ☐ Pipelir ☐ Real Es ☐ Techno ☐ Transp	; — explor ; — produc Gas nes state state Inve	stment T nfrastruc Generatio	eture on	".
	(3)	by rep	lacing,	whereve	er it occu	rs in iter	n 4, "Sche	dule I" w	ith "Sc	hedule 1";	
	(4)	in item	n 7:								
		(a)	by ins	serting,	at the en	d of the	e paragrapl	n before	the tab	le, the foll	owing

sentence:

"The information provided in this table must reconcile with the information provided in Schedule 1";

(b) by replacing the heading of the first column in the table with the following:

"Each Canadian and foreign jurisdiction where purchasers reside";

(5) by replacing the table in item 8 with the following:

Full name,		Cor	Compensation paid or to be paid (cash and/or securities)			ties)
address,				Securities		
telephone	Indicate if					
number and	person being					
email	compensated				Exemption	
address of	is an insider		Number and		relied on and	Total dollar
the person	(I) of the		type of		date of	value of
being	issuer ¹ or a	Cash	securities	Price per	distribution	compensation
compensated	registrant (R)	(Canadian \$)	issued	security	(yyyy-mm-dd)	(Canadian \$)

Note 1: If the issuer is an investment fund, indicate "A" for affiliate or associate if the person being compensated is the investment fund, the investment fund manager, an affiliate of the investment fund manager or a director, officer or employee of any of them. Also indicate "R" if the person is a registrant.";

(6) by replacing item 9 with the following:

"Item 9: If a distribution is made to one or more individuals in Ontario, include the attached "Authorization of Indirect Collection of Personal Information for Distributions in Ontario". The "Authorization of Indirect Collection of Personal Information for Distributions in Ontario" is only required to be filed with the Ontario Securities Commission.

Certificate

On behalf of the [issuer/underwriter], I certify that the statements made in this report are true.

Date:
Name of [issuer/underwriter] (please print)
Print name, title, telephone number and email address of person signing
Signature

Instruction

The person filing the form must complete the bracketed information by deleting the inappropriate word.";

(7) by replacing, in item 10, the words "title and telephone number" with the words "title, telephone number and email address";

(8) under the title "Authorization of Indirect Collection of Personal Information for Distributions in Ontario":

(a) by replacing the part preceding paragraph (a) with the following:

"The attached Schedule 1 may contain personal information of purchasers and details of the distribution(s). The issuer/underwriter hereby confirms that each purchaser listed in Schedule 1 of this report who is resident in Ontario and is an individual";

- (b) by deleting, in subparagraph (ii) of paragraph (a), the word "indirectly";
- (9) by replacing Schedule I with the following:

"Schedule 1

Complete the following table. If distributions have been made to purchasers in multiple jurisdictions, list purchasers by jurisdiction.

For reports filed under sub-section 6.1(1)(j) [TSX Venture Exchange offering] of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions the following table only needs to list the total number of purchasers by jurisdiction instead of including the name, residential address and telephone number of each purchaser.

Do not include in this table securities issued as payment of commissions or finder's fees disclosed under item 8 of this report.

When identifying the exemption relied on, refer to the specific subsection of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions. For example, if relying on the exemption in section 2.10 [Minimum Amount Investment], the column should state "2.10(1)". For exemptions that require the purchaser to meet certain characteristics, such as the exemption in section 2.3 [Accredited investor] or section 2.5 [Family, friends and business associates], also state the specific paragraph that applies to the purchaser. If the purchaser qualifies under multiple paragraphs, state all paragraphs that apply. For example, when relying on section 2.3 [Accredited investor], if the purchaser qualifies under paragraph (j) of the definition of accredited investor in section 1.1, the column must show "2.3(1) - (j)". If the purchaser qualifies under both paragraphs (j) and (k), the column must show "2.3(1) - (j), (k)".

It is not necessary to list the exemption, if any, relied on in the Securities Act (R.S.O., 1990, chapter S.5) of Ontario that provides a similar exemption to that provided in Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions. For example, if an issuer relies on the accredited investor exemption in section 73.3(2) under the Securities Act (Ontario) for a distribution in Ontario, it can identify the exemption relied on in the table as the accredited investor exemption in section 2.3(1) of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions.

The information in this schedule will not be placed on the public file of any securities regulatory authority or, where applicable, regulator. However, freedom of information legislation in certain jurisdictions may require the securities regulatory authority or, where applicable, regulator to make this information available if requested.

Full name, residential address, telephone number and email address of purchaser	Indicate if the purchaser is an insider (I) of the issuer or a registrant (R) ¹	Number and type of securities purchased	Total purchase price (Canadian \$)	Exemption relied on (list the specific subsection and paragraph(s) of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions)	Date of distribution (yyyy-mm-dd)	Full name of any person compensated for the distribution to this purchaser ²
---	--	--	------------------------------------	---	---	---

Note 1: If the issuer is an investment fund, the issuer is not required to complete this column. Note 2: The name of the person compensated must reconcile with the information provided in item 8 of this report.

Instructions:

- 1. References to a purchaser in this report are to the beneficial owner of the securities. If a trust company or a registered adviser has purchased on behalf of a fully managed account under subsections 2.3(2) and (4) of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions, give information about both the trust company or registered adviser and the beneficial owner of the fully managed account.
- 2. Except in British Columbia, file this report and the applicable fee in each jurisdiction in which a distribution is made at the addresses listed at the end of this report. If the distribution is made in more than one jurisdiction, the issuer/underwriter must complete a single report identifying all purchasers and file that report in each of the jurisdictions in which the distribution is made. Filing fees associated with the filing of the report are not affected by identifying all purchasers in a single report.
- 2.1 In British Columbia, file Form 45-106F6 and pay the applicable fee. If the distribution is made in British Columbia and one or more other jurisdictions, file Form 45-106F6 in British Columbia and file this form, following instruction 2, in the other applicable jurisdictions.
- 2.2 A "distribution" includes distributions made to purchasers resident in the local jurisdiction. In most Canadian jurisdictions, a "distribution" also occurs if the issuer of the securities is located in the jurisdiction. Consult securities legislation in the particular jurisdiction for guidance on when an issuer is considered to be located in that jurisdiction.

For example, a distribution by an issuer whose head office is located in Alberta to a purchaser resident in Saskatchewan is a distribution in both Alberta and Saskatchewan, requiring the issuer to file Form 45-106F1 with both the Alberta Securities Commission and the Financial and Consumer Affairs Authority (Saskatchewan).

- 3. If the space provided for any answer is insufficient, please adjust the table to include additional space.
- 4. One report may be used for multiple distributions occurring within 10 days of each other provided that the report is filed on or before the 10th day following the first of such distributions.
- 5. The information in items 5, 6, and 7 must reconcile with the information in Schedule 1 of Form 45-106F1. All dollar amounts must be in Canadian dollars.
- 6. In order to determine the applicable fee, consult the securities legislation of each jurisdiction in which a distribution is made.
- 7. This report must be filed in English or in French. In Québec, the issuer/underwriter must comply with linguistic obligations and rights prescribed by Québec law.

Securities Regulatory Authorities and Regulators

Alberta Securities Commission

Suite 600, 250–5th St. SW Calgary, Alberta T2P 0R4 Telephone: 403 297-6454

Facsimile: 403 297-6156

Financial and Consumer Affairs Authority (Saskatchewan)

Suite 601 - 1919 Saskatchewan Drive Regina, Saskatchewan S4P 4H2

Telephone: 306 787-5879 Facsimile: 306 787-5899

The Manitoba Securities Commission

500 – 400 St Mary Avenue Winnipeg, Manitoba R3C 4K5 Telephone: 204 945-2548

Toll free in Manitoba 1 800 655-5244

Facsimile: 204 945-0330

Ontario Securities Commission

20 Queen Street West - 22nd Floor

Toronto, Ontario M5H 3S8 Telephone: 416 593- 8314

Toll free in Canada: 1 877 785-1555

Facsimile: 416 593-8122

Public official contact regarding indirect collection of information:

Inquiries Officer

Autorité des marchés financiers

800, square Victoria, 22^e étage C.P. 246, tour de la Bourse Montréal, Québec H4Z 1G3 Telephone: 514 395-0337

Or 1 877 525-0337

Facsimile: 514 873-6155 (For filing purposes only) Facsimile: 514 864-6381 (For privacy requests only)

Financial and Consumer Services Commission (New Brunswick)

85 Charlotte Street, Suite 300

Saint John, New Brunswick E2L 2J2

Telephone: 506 658-3060

Toll Free in New Brunswick 1 866 933-2222

Facsimile: 506 658-3059

Nova Scotia Securities Commission

Suite 400, 5251 Duke Street Halifax, Nova Scotia B3J 1P3 Telephone: 902 424-7768 Facsimile: 902 424-4625

Prince Edward Island Securities Office

95 Rochford Street, 4th Floor Shaw Building

P.O. Box 2000

Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island C1A 7N8

Telephone: 902 368-4569 Facsimile: 902 368-5283

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador

Financial Services Regulation Division

P.O. Box 8700

Confederation Building 2nd Floor, West Block

Prince Philip Drive

St. John's, NFLD A1B 4J6

Attention: Director of Securities

Telephone: 709 729-4189 Facsimile: 709 729-6187

Office of the Yukon Superintendent of Securities

Government of Yukon Department of Community Services 307 Black Street, 1st Floor PO Box 2703 (C-6) Whitehorse, YT Y1A 2C6

Telephone: 867 667-5466 Facsimile: 867 393-6251

Government of Northwest Territories

Office of the Superintendent of Securities

P.O. Box 1320

Yellowknife, NT X1A 2L9

Attention: Deputy Superintendent, Legal & Enforcement

Telephone: 867 920-8984 Facsimile: 867 873-0243

Government of Nunavut

Department of Justice Legal Registries Division P.O. Box 1000, Station 570 1st Floor, Brown Building Iqaluit, Nunavut X0A 0H0 Telephone: 867 975-6590

Facsimile: 867 975-6594".

- **24.** Form 45-106F6 of the Regulation is amended:
 - (1) by replacing item 3 with the following:

"Item 3: Issuer's industry

☐ Agriculture

(b)

following:

residence";

Indicate the industry of the issuer by checking the appropriate box below.

Forestry

by replacing the heading of the first column in the table with the

"Full name, municipality, province or state and country of principal

☐ Biotechnology/Pharmaceuticals/Healthcare	☐ Mining – exploration/development
Capital Pool Companies	☐ Mining – production
Communications & Media	Oil & Gas
Consumer Products & Merchandising	☐ Pipelines
☐ Financial Services – banks & trusts	Real Estate
Financial Services – insurance	Real Estate Investment Trust
☐ Financial Services – investment companies & funds	Technology
☐ Financial Services – mortgage investment companies	☐ Transportation/Infrastructure
☐ Financial Services – private equity/venture capital	Utilities/Power Generation
☐ Financial Services – securitization conduits	Other (describe)
☐ Industrial Products	
(2) in item 4:	",
(a) by replacing the second paragra	ph with the following:
"If the issuer is not a report complete the following table by providing informati control person and promoter of the issuer. If the cont complete the table for directors and officers of the con-	rol person or promoter is not an individual,

(3) by replacing, in item 7, the table and note 1 with the following:

1	6

Each Canadian and foreign jurisdiction where purchasers reside	Number of purchasers ¹	Price per security (Canadian \$) ²	Total dollar value raised from purchasers in the jurisdiction (Canadian \$)
Total number of Purchasers Total dollar value of distribution in all jurisdictions (Canadian \$)			

Note 1: If more than one exemption is relied on in the same jurisdiction, state the number of purchasers in that jurisdiction using each exemption.

Note 2: If securities are issued at different prices, list the highest and lowest price for which the securities were sold.";

(4) by replacing instruction C and the table in item 8 with the following:

"C. When identifying the exemption relied on, refer to the specific subsection of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions. For example, if relying on the exemption in section 2.10 [Minimum Amount Investment], the column should state "2.10(1)". For exemptions that require the purchaser to meet certain characteristics, such as the exemption in section 2.3 [Accredited investor] or section 2.5 [Family, friends and business associates], also state the specific paragraph that applies to the purchaser. If the purchaser qualifies under multiple paragraphs, state all paragraphs that apply. For example, when relying on section 2.3 [Accredited investor], if the purchaser qualifies under paragraph (j) of the definition of accredited investor in section 1.1, the column must show "2.3(1) - (j)". If the purchaser qualifies under both paragraphs (j) and (k), the column must show "2.3(1) - (j), (k)".

D. An issuer or underwriter completing this table in connection with a distribution using the exemption in subparagraph 6.1(1)(j) [TSX Venture Exchange offering] of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions may choose to replace the information in the first column with the total number of purchasers, whether individuals or not, by jurisdiction. If the issuer or underwriter chooses to do so, then the issuer or underwriter is not required to complete the second column or the tables in Schedules I and II.

	Info	rmation abo	ut non-indivi	dual purcha	sers	
Full name and address of purchaser and name, telephone number and email address of a contact person	Indicate if the purchaser is an insider (I) of the issuer or a registrant (R)	Number and type of securities purchased	Total purchase price (Canadian \$)	Exemption relied on (specific subsection and paragraph)	Date of distribution (yyyy-mm-dd)	Full name of any person compensated for the distribution to this purchaser ¹

Note 1: The name of the person compensated must reconcile with the information provided in item 9 of this report.";

(5) in item 9:

(a) by replacing the heading of the first column in the table with the following:

"Full name, address, telephone number and email address of the person being compensated";

- (b) by inserting, in the heading of the second column in the table, a superscript "1" beside the word "issuer";
 - (c) by inserting, after the table, the following note:
- "**Note 1:** If the issuer is an investment fund, indicate "A" for affiliate or associate if the person being compensated is the investment fund, the investment fund manager, an affiliate of the investment fund manager or a director, officer or employee of any of them. Also indicate "R" if the person is a registrant.";
- (d) by replacing, in the certificate, the words "title and telephone number" with the words "title, telephone number and email address";
- (6) by replacing, in the first paragraph of item 10, the words "title and telephone number" with the words "title, telephone number and email address";
 - (7) by replacing the table in Schedule I with the following:

"

Public information about purchasers who are individuals

Unless exempted by the British Columbia Securities Commission, a person must not, directly or indirectly, use the information in this table, in whole or in part, for any purpose other than research concerning the issuer for the person's own investment purpose.

					Full name of
	Indicate if the				any person
	purchaser is an	Number and	Total		compensated
	insider (I) of	type of	purchase	Date of	for the
Full name of	the issuer or a	securities	price	distribution	distribution to
purchaser	registrant (R)	purchased	(Canadian \$)	(yyyy-mm-dd)	this purchaser ¹

Note 1: The name of the person compensated must reconcile with the information provided in item 9 of this report.";

(8) by replacing Schedule II with the following:

"Schedule II Confidential information about purchasers who are individuals

- A. Complete the following table for each purchaser who is an individual. The information in this table must reconcile with the table in Schedule I.
- B. When identifying the exemption relied on, refer to the specific subsection of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions. For example, if relying on the exemption in section 2.10 [Minimum Amount Investment], the column should state "2.10(1)". For exemptions that require the purchaser to meet certain characteristics, such as the exemption in section 2.3 [Accredited investor] or section 2.5 [Family, friends and business associates], also state the specific paragraph that applies to the purchaser. If the purchaser qualifies under multiple paragraphs, state all paragraphs that apply. For example, when relying on section 2.3 [Accredited investor], if the purchaser qualifies under paragraph (j) of the definition of accredited investor in section 1.1, the column must show "2.3(1) (j)". If the purchaser qualifies under both paragraphs (j) and (k), the column must show "2.3(1) (j)".
- C. The information in the following table will not be placed on the public file of the British Columbia Securities Commission.

Confidential information about purchasers who are individuals		
Full name, residential address, telephone number and email address of purchaser	Exemption relied on (specific subsection and paragraph)	

(9) by inserting, at the end, the following instructions:

"Guidance for completing and filing Form 45-106F6

- 1. **Required form in British Columbia -** In British Columbia, file this report and the applicable fee using BCSC e-services in accordance with British Columbia Instrument 13-502 Electronic filing of reports of exempt distribution. If the distribution occurs in British Columbia and one or more other jurisdictions, the issuer is required to file this report in British Columbia and file Form 45-106F1 in the other applicable jurisdictions.
- 2. **What is a distribution?** In British Columbia, "distribution" includes distributions made from British Columbia to purchasers resident in other Canadian or foreign jurisdictions if the issuer has a significant connection to British Columbia. If the issuer has a significant connection to British Columbia, complete the tables in item 8 and Schedules I and II for all purchasers. BC Interpretation Note 72-702 *Distribution of Securities to Persons Outside British Columbia* provides guidance on when an issuer has a significant connection to British Columbia.

In British Columbia, "distribution" also includes distributions made from another Canadian or foreign jurisdiction to purchasers resident in British Columbia. If the issuer is from another Canadian or foreign jurisdiction, complete the tables in item 8, item 9 and Schedules I and II only for purchasers resident in British Columbia.

- 3. **What is a purchaser? -** References to a purchaser in this report are to the beneficial owner of the securities. If a trust company or a registered adviser has purchased on behalf of a fully managed account under subsections 2.3(2) and (4) of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions, give information about both the trust company or registered adviser and the beneficial owner of the fully managed account.
- 4. **What is an individual?** Individual is defined in securities legislation to mean a natural person. A corporation, partnership, party, trust, fund, association, and any other organized group of persons is not an individual.
- 5. If a purchaser refuses to provide their telephone number or email address, then indicate "not provided" in the applicable table.
- 6. **Space in tables -** If the space provided in any table in this Form is insufficient, please adjust the table to include additional space.
- 7. **Multiple distributions -** One report may be used for multiple distributions occurring within 10 days of each other if the report is filed on or before the 10th day following the first of such distributions.
- 8. **Fees -** In order to determine the applicable fee, consult Fee Checklist British Columbia Form 11-901F (item # 16).".
- 25. The Regulation is amended by inserting, after Form 45-106F6, the following:

"FORM 45-106F9 RISK ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FORM FOR INDIVIDUAL ACCREDITED INVESTORS

WARNING TO INVESTORS

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE PURCHASER:

1. Acknowledgement of risk
I acknowledge that this is a risky investment. I could lose all of the \$[insert
amount being invested, including any amounts you have agreed to pay in the future] I invest.
I understand that I may never be able to sell these securities and I may not be provided
with any ongoing information from the issuer I invest in. [Instruction: Delete if issuer is a
reporting issuer.]
I acknowledge that, because I am purchasing this investment under the accredited investor
prospectus exemption, I will not have the benefit of certain protections under securities
law, including detailed disclosure about the investment.
First and last name (please print):
Signature:
Date:

2. How I qualify to buy these securities	
I confirm that I am an accredited investor because I satisfy at least one of the	Purchaser's
following tests (initial all that apply):	initials
Either alone or with my spouse, I own cash and securities worth more than \$1	
million, less any related debt.	
My net income before taxes was more than \$200,000 in each of the 2 most recent	
calendar years and I expect it to exceed \$200,000 in this calendar year. (The	
amount of net income can be found in your personal income tax form.)	
My net income before taxes combined with my spouse's was more than \$300,000	
in each of the 2 most recent calendar years and I expect our combined net income	
to exceed \$300,000 in this calendar year. (The amount of net income can be found	
in your personal income tax form.)	
Either alone or with my spouse, I own net assets (being my total assets, including	
real estate, less my total debt) worth more than \$5 million.	

3. What I am buying	
Number and type of securities:	
Name of issuer:	
I understand that \$	of my total investment is being paid to the salesperson as a fee or
commission.	
Initial by the purchaser:	

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE ISSUER OR SELLING SECURITY HOLDER:

[Instruction: The issuer/selling securityholder must complete this section before delivering this form to the purchaser. If the issuer is an investment fund, the issuer must provide the name of the investment fund, the name and address of the investment fund manager and the name and phone number of a contact person for the investment fund manager.]

4. How to contact the issuer/selling securityholder
Name and address of issuer/selling securityholder:
First and last name of contact person:
Phone number:
Email address:

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE PERSON INVOLVED IN THE SALE OF THE

SECURITIES: [Instruction: Before providing this document to a salesperson, the issuer/selling securityholder must remove the appropriate box to reflect whether the issuer is an investment fund or not. Any person involved in meeting with the purchaser or providing information to the purchaser must complete this section by answering "yes" or "no" and filling in their contact information before delivering this form to the purchaser.]

5. Who is selling these securities?	Yes/No
I am registered with (insert name of registered	
firm).*	
[Instruction: Delete if issuer is an investment fund.] I am a director, officer or	
employee of the issuer.	
[Instruction: Delete if issuer is not an investment fund.] I am a director, officer or	
employee of the investment fund, of the investment fund manager or of an	
affiliate of the investment fund manager.	
I am not registered with a securities regulator and generally not qualified to	
provide investment advice.	
First and last name (please print):	
Signature:	
Date:	
Phone number:	
Email address:	

^{*}Persons in the business of selling securities or offering investment advice are generally required to be registered with their provincial or territorial securities regulatory authority, unless they have an exemption. A purchaser can check the seller's registration status and history at the following website: www.aretheyregistered.ca

Form Instructions:

- 1. This form must be presented to purchasers on one double-sided page. The cover page must contain purchaser boxes 1, 2 and 3. The back page must contain issuer/selling securityholder box 4 and salesperson box 5.
- 2. The purchaser, issuer and salesperson (if any) must sign 2 copies of this form. Each of the purchaser and the issuer must receive a signed copy of this form. The issuer is required to keep a copy of this form for 8 years after the distribution. If a salesperson has signed this form, the salesperson may choose to keep a copy for their records. The salesperson must ensure that the purchaser and the issuer receive originally signed copies.".
- **26.** This Regulation comes into force on •.

REGULATION 45-106 RESPECTING PROSPECTUS AND REGISTRATION EXEMPTIONS

Securities Act

(chapter V-1.1, s. 331.1)



Further to the publication of CSA Staff Notice 11-316: Notice of Local Amendments – British Columbia (AMF Bulletin of April 13, 2012, Vol. 9, no. 15) and CSA Staff Notice 11-320: Notice of Local Amendments – Nova Scotia and Yukon (AMF Bulletin of November 15, 2012, Vol. 9, no. 46), this Regulation has been updated.

Text boxes in this Regulation located above sections 2.1 to 2.5, 2.7 to 2.21, 2.24, 2.26, 2.27, and 2.30 to 2.43 refer to Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). These text boxes do not form part of this Regulation and have no official status.

PART 1 DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATION

1.1. Definitions

In this Regulation

"accredited investor" means

- (a) except in Ontario, a Canadian financial institution, or a Schedule III bank;
- (b) <u>except in Ontario</u>, the Business Development Bank of Canada incorporated under the Business Development Bank of Canada Act (S.C. 1995, c. 28);
- (c) <u>except in Ontario</u>, a subsidiary of any person referred to in paragraphs (a) or (b), if the person owns all of the voting securities of the subsidiary, except the voting securities required by law to be owned by directors of that subsidiary;
- (d) <u>except in Ontario</u>, a person registered under the securities legislation of a jurisdiction of Canada as an adviser or dealer, other than a person registered solely as a limited market dealer under one or both of the Securities Act (R.S.O. 1990, c. S. 5) of Ontario or the Securities Act (R.S.N.L. 1990, c. S-13) of Newfoundland and Labrador;
- (e) an individual registered or formerly registered under the securities legislation of a jurisdiction of Canada as a representative of a person referred to in paragraph (d), other than an individual formerly registered solely as a representative of a limited market dealer under one or both of the Securities Act (R.S.O., 1990,

<u>chapter S.5) of Ontario or the Securities Act (R.S.N.L., 1990, chapter S-13) of Newfoundland and Labrador;</u>

- (f) <u>except in Ontario</u>, the Government of Canada or a jurisdiction of Canada, or any crown corporation, agency or wholly owned entity of the Government of Canada or a jurisdiction of Canada;
- (g) <u>except in Ontario</u>, a municipality, public board or commission in Canada and a metropolitan community, school board, the Comité de gestion de la taxe scolaire de l'île de Montréal or an intermunicipal management board in Québec;
- (h) <u>except in Ontario,</u> any national, federal, state, provincial, territorial or municipal government of or in any foreign jurisdiction, or any agency of that government;
- (i) <u>except in Ontario,</u> a pension fund that is regulated by the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions (Canada), a pension commission or similar regulatory authority of a jurisdiction of Canada;
- (j) an individual who, either alone or with a spouse, beneficially owns financial assets having an aggregate realizable value that, before taxes, but net of any related liabilities, exceeds \$1,000,000;
- (j.1) an individual who beneficially owns financial assets having an aggregate realizable value that, before taxes but net of any related liabilities, exceeds \$5 000 000:
- (k) an individual whose net income before taxes exceeded \$200,000 in each of the 2 most recent calendar years or whose net income before taxes combined with that of a spouse exceeded \$300,000 in each of the 2 most recent calendar years and who, in either case, reasonably expects to exceed that net income level in the current calendar year;
- (I) an individual who, either alone or with a spouse, has net assets of at least \$5,000,000;
- (m) a person, other than an individual or investment fund, that has net assets of at least \$5,000,000 as shown on its most recently prepared financial statements;
 - (n) an investment fund that distributes or has distributed its securities only to
- (i) a person that is or was an accredited investor at the time of the distribution:
- (ii) a person that acquires or acquired securities in the circumstances referred to in sections 2.10 [Minimum amount investment], or 2.19 [Additional investment in investment funds], or

- (iii) a person described in paragraph (i) or (ii) that acquires or acquired securities under section 2.18 [Investment fund reinvestment];
- (o) an investment fund that distributes or has distributed securities under a prospectus in a jurisdiction of Canada for which the regulator or, in Québec, the securities regulatory authority, has issued a receipt;
- (p) a trust company or trust corporation registered or authorized to carry on business under the Trust and Loan Companies Act (S.C. 1991, c. 45) or under comparable legislation in a jurisdiction of Canada or a foreign jurisdiction, acting on behalf of a fully managed account managed by the trust company or trust corporation, as the case may be;
- (q) a person acting on behalf of a fully managed account managed by that person, if that person
- (i) is registered or authorized to carry on business as an adviser or the equivalent under the securities legislation of a jurisdiction of Canada or a foreign jurisdiction, and
- (ii) in Ontario, is purchasing a security that is not a security of an investment fund;
- (r) a registered charity under the Income Tax Act (R.S.C. 1985, c. 1 (5th Supp.)) that, in regard to the trade, has obtained advice from an eligibility adviser or an adviser registered under the securities legislation of the jurisdiction of the registered charity to give advice on the securities being traded;
- (s) an entity organized in a foreign jurisdiction that is analogous to any of the entities referred to in paragraphs (a) to (d) or paragraph (i) in form and function;
- (t) a person in respect of which all of the owners of interests, direct, indirect or beneficial, except the voting securities required by law to be owned by directors, are persons that are accredited investors;
- (u) an investment fund that is advised by a person registered as an adviser or a person that is exempt from registration as an adviser, or
- (v) a person that is recognized or designated by the securities regulatory authority or, except in Ontario and Québec, the regulator as an accredited investor; or
- (w) a trust established by an accredited investor for the benefit of his or her family members of which a majority of the trustees are accredited investors and all of the beneficiaries are the accredited investor's spouse or a parent, grandparent, brother,

sister, child or grandchild of that accredited investor or of that accredited investor's spouse;

"acquisition date" has the same meaning as in the issuer's GAAP;

"AIF" means

- (a) an AIF as defined in Regulation 51-102 respecting Continuous Obligations (chapter V-1.1, r. 24);
- (b) a prospectus filed in a jurisdiction, other than a prospectus filed under a CPC instrument, if the issuer has not filed or been required to file an AIF or annual financial statements under Regulation 51-102 respecting Continuous Disclosure Obligations, or
- (c) a QT circular if the issuer has not filed or been required to file annual financial statements under Regulation 51-102 respecting Continuous Disclosure Obligations subsequent to filing a QT circular;

"bank" means a bank named in Schedule I or II of the Bank Act (S.C. 1991, c. 46);

"Canadian financial institution" means

- (a) an association governed by the Cooperative Credit Associations Act (S.C. 1991, c. 48) or a central cooperative credit society for which an order has been made under section 473(1) of that Act, or
- (b) a bank, loan corporation, trust company, trust corporation, insurance company, treasury branch, credit union, caisse populaire, financial services cooperative, or league that, in each case, is authorized by an enactment of Canada or a jurisdiction of Canada to carry on business in Canada or a jurisdiction of Canada;

"CPC instrument" means a rule, regulation or policy of the TSX Venture Exchange Inc. that applies only to capital pool companies, and, in Quebec, includes Policy Statement 41-601Q, Capital Pool Companies (Decision 2011-C-0209, 2011-12-14);

"debt security" means any bond, debenture, note or similar instrument representing indebtedness, whether secured or unsecured;

"designated rating" has the same meaning as in Regulation 81-102 respecting Mutual Funds (chapter V-1.1, r. 39);

"designated rating organization" has the same meaning as in Regulation 81-102 respecting Mutual Funds;

"director" means

- (a) a member of the board of directors of a company or an individual who performs similar functions for a company, and
- (b) with respect to a person that is not a company, an individual who performs functions similar to those of a director of a company;

"DRO affiliate" has the same meaning as in section 1 of Regulation 25-101 respecting Designated Rating Organizations (chapter V-1.1, r. 8.1);

"eligibility adviser" means

- (a) a person that is registered as an investment dealer and authorized to give advice with respect to the type of security being distributed, and
- (b) in Saskatchewan or Manitoba, also means a lawyer who is a practicing member in good standing with a law society of a jurisdiction of Canada or a public accountant who is a member in good standing of an institute or association of chartered accountants, certified general accountants or certified management accountants in a jurisdiction of Canada provided that the lawyer or public accountant must not
- (i) have a professional, business or personal relationship with the issuer, or any of its directors, executive officers, founders, or control persons, and
- (ii) have acted for or been retained personally or otherwise as an employee, executive officer, director, associate or partner of a person that has acted for or been retained by the issuer or any of its directors, executive officers, founders or control persons within the previous 12 months;

"eligible investor" means

(a) a person whose

- (i) net assets, alone or with a spouse, in the case of an individual, exceed \$400,000,
- (ii) net income before taxes exceeded \$75,000 in each of the 2 most recent calendar years and who reasonably expects to exceed that income level in the current calendar year, or
- (iii) net income before taxes, alone or with a spouse, in the case of an individual, exceeded \$125,000 in each of the 2 most recent calendar years and who reasonably expects to exceed that income level in the current calendar year,

- (b) a person of which a majority of the voting securities are beneficially owned by eligible investors or a majority of the directors are eligible investors,
 - (c) a general partnership of which all of the partners are eligible investors,
- (d) a limited partnership of which the majority of the general partners are eligible investors,
- (e) a trust or estate in which all of the beneficiaries or a majority of the trustees or executors are eligible investors,
 - (f) an accredited investor,
- (g) a person described in section 2.5 [Family, friends and business associates], or
- (h) a person that has obtained advice regarding the suitability of the investment and, if the person is resident in a jurisdiction of Canada, that advice has been obtained from an eligibility adviser;

"executive officer" means, for an issuer, an individual who is

- (a) a chair, vice-chair or president,
- (b) a vice-president in charge of a principal business unit, division or function including sales, finance or production, or
- (c) performing a policy-making function in respect of the issuer, other than the individuals referred to in subparagraphs (a) to (c);

"financial assets" means

- (a) cash,
- (b) securities, or
- (c) a contract of insurance, a deposit or an evidence of a deposit that is not a security for the purposes of securities legislation;

"financial statements" includes interim financial reports;

"founder" means, in respect of an issuer, a person who,

(a) acting alone, in conjunction, or in concert with one or more persons, directly or indirectly, takes the initiative in founding, organizing or substantially reorganizing the business of the issuer, and

(b) at the time of the distribution or trade is actively involved in the business of the issuer;

"fully managed account" means an account of a client for which a person makes the investment decisions if that person has full discretion to trade in securities for the account without requiring the client's express consent to a transaction;

"investment fund" has the same meaning as in Regulation 81-106 respecting Investment Fund Continuous Disclosure (chapter V-1.1, r. 42);

"issuer's GAAP" has the same meaning as in Regulation 52-107 respecting Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards (chapter V-1.1, r. 25);

"marketplace" has the same meaning as in Regulation 21-101 respecting Marketplace Operation (chapter V-1.1, r. 5);

"MD&A" has the same meaning as in Regulation 51-102 respecting Continuous Disclosure Obligations;

"non-redeemable investment fund" has the same meaning as in Regulation 81-106 respecting Investment Fund Continuous Disclosure;

"private enterprise" has the same meaning as in Part 3 of Regulation 52-107 respecting Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards;

"publicly accountable enterprise" has the same meaning as in Part 3 of Regulation 52-107 respecting Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards;

"QT circular" means an information circular or filing statement in respect of a qualifying transaction for a capital pool company filed under a CPC instrument;

"qualifying issuer" means a reporting issuer in a jurisdiction of Canada that

- (a) is a SEDAR filer,
- (b) has filed all documents required to be filed under the securities legislation of that jurisdiction, and
 - (c) if not required to file an AIF, has filed in the jurisdiction,
- (i) an AIF for its most recently completed financial year for which annual statements are required to be filed, and

(ii) copies of all material incorporated by reference in the AIF not previously filed;

"related liabilities" means

- (a) liabilities incurred or assumed for the purpose of financing the acquisition or ownership of financial assets, or
 - (b) liabilities that are secured by financial assets;

"retrospective" has the same meaning as in Canadian GAAP applicable to publicly accountable enterprises;

"retrospectively" has the same meaning as in Canadian GAAP applicable to publicly accountable enterprises;

"RRIF" means a registered retirement income fund as defined in the Income Tax Act;

"RRSP" means a registered retirement savings plan as defined in the Income Tax Act;

"Schedule III bank" means an authorized foreign bank named in Schedule III of the Bank Act;

"SEDAR filer" means an issuer that is an electronic filer under Regulation 13-101 respecting the System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval (SEDAR) (chapter V-1.1, r. 2):

"self-directed RESP" means an educational savings plan registered under the Income Tax Act

- (a) that is structured so that a contribution by a subscriber to the plan is deposited directly into an account in the name of the subscriber, and
- (b) under which the subscriber maintains control and direction over the plan to direct how the assets of the plan are to be held, invested or reinvested subject to compliance with the Income Tax Act;

"spouse" means, an individual who,

(a) is married to another individual and is not living separate and apart within the meaning of the Divorce Act (R.S.C. 1985, c. 3 (2nd Supp.)), from the other individual.

- (b) is living with another individual in a marriage-like relationship, including a marriage-like relationship between individuals of the same gender, or
- (c) in Alberta, is an individual referred to in paragraph (a) or (b), or is an adult interdependent partner within the meaning of the Adult Interdependent Relationships Act (S.A. 2002, c. A-4.5);

"subsidiary" means an issuer that is controlled directly or indirectly by another issuer and includes a subsidiary of that subsidiary;

"TFSA" means a tax-free savings account as described in the Income Tax Act.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 1.1; M.O. 2010-17, s. 1; M.O. 2013-09, s. 1.

1.2. Interpretation of indirect interest

For the purposes of <u>paragraph</u> (t) of the <u>definition</u> of the <u>expression</u> "accredited <u>investor" in section 1.1 paragraph 1.1(t)</u>, in British Columbia, an indirect interest means an economic interest in the person referred to in that paragraph.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 1.2.

1.3. Affiliate

For the purpose of this Regulation, an issuer is an affiliate of another issuer if

- (a) one of them is the subsidiary of the other, or
- (b) each of them is controlled by the same person.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 1.3.

1.4. Control

For the purpose of this Regulation, except <u>in Part 2in Parts 2 and 3</u>, Division 4, a person (first person) is considered to control another person (second person) if

- (a) the first person beneficially owns or directly or indirectly exercises control or direction over securities of the second person carrying votes which, if exercised, would entitle the first person to elect a majority of the directors of the second person, unless that first person holds the voting securities only to secure an obligation,
- (b) the second person is a partnership, other than a limited partnership, and the first person holds more than 50% of the interests of the partnership, or

(c) the second person is a limited partnership and the general partner of the limited partnership is the first person.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 1.4.

1.5. Registration requirement

- (1) An exemption in this Regulation from the dealer registration requirement, or from the prospectus requirement, that refers to a registered dealer is only available for a trade in a security if the dealer is registered in a category that permits the trade described in the exemption.
- (2) <u>(Repealed).</u>In this Regulation, an exemption from the dealer registration requirement is an exemption from the underwriter registration requirement.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 1.5.

1.6. Definition of distribution – Manitoba

For the purpose of this Regulation, in Manitoba, "distribution" means a primary distribution to the public.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 1.6.

1.7. Definition of trade – Québec

For the purpose of this Regulation, in Québec, "trade" refers to any of the following activities:

- (a) the activities described in the definition of "dealer" in section 5 of the Securities Act (chapter V-1.1), including the following activities:
- (i) the sale or disposition of a security by onerous title, whether the terms of payment be on margin, installment or otherwise, but does not include a transfer or the giving in guarantee of securities in connection with a debt or the purchase of a security, except as provided in paragraph (b);
- (ii) participation as a trader in any transaction in a security through the facilities of an exchange or a quotation and trade reporting system;
 - (iii) the receipt by a registrant of an order to buy or sell a security;
- (b) a transfer or the giving in guarantee of securities of an issuer from the holdings of a control person in connection with a debt.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 1.7.

PART 2 PROSPECTUS EXEMPTIONS

DIVISION 1 Capital Raising Exemptions

2.1. Rights offering

Refer to Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a seasoning period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an issuer of a right granted by the issuer to purchase a security of its own issue to a security holder of the issuer if

- (a) the issuer has given the regulator or, in Québec, the securities regulatory authority, prior written notice stating the date, amount, nature and conditions of the distribution, including the approximate net proceeds to be derived by the issuer on the basis of the additional securities being fully taken up,
- (b) the regulator or, in Québec, the securities regulatory authority, has not objected in writing to the distribution within 10 days of receipt of the notice referred to in paragraph (a) or, if the regulator or securities regulatory authority objects to the distribution, the issuer has delivered to the regulator or securities regulatory authority information relating to the securities that is satisfactory to and accepted by the regulator or securities regulatory authority, and
- (c) the issuer has complied with the applicable requirements of Regulation 45-101 respecting Rights Offerings (chapter V-1.1, r. 19).

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.1.

2.2. Reinvestment plan

Refer to Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a seasoning period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

(1) The prospectus requirement does not apply to the following distributions by an issuer, or by a trustee, custodian or administrator acting for or on behalf of the issuer, to a security holder of the issuer if the distributions are permitted by a plan of the issuer:

- (a) a distribution of a security of the issuer's own issue if a dividend or distribution out of earnings, surplus, capital or other sources payable in respect of the issuer's securities is applied to the purchase of the security, and
- (b) a distribution of a security of the issuer's own issue if the security holder makes an optional cash payment to purchase the security of the issuer that trades on a marketplace.
- (2) Subsection (1) does not apply unless the aggregate number of securities issued under the optional cash payment referred to in subsection (1)(b) does not exceed, in the financial year of the issuer during which the distribution takes place, 2% of the issued and outstanding securities of the class to which the plan relates as at the beginning of the financial year.
- (3) A plan that permits a distribution described in subsection (1)(a) or (b) must be available to every security holder in Canada to which the dividend or distribution out of earnings, surplus, capital or other sources is available.
- (4) Subsection (1) does not apply to a distribution of a security of an investment fund.
- (5) If the security distributed under a plan described in subsection (1) is of a different class or series than the class or series of the security to which the dividend or distribution is attributable, the issuer or the trustee, custodian or administrator must have provided to each participant that is eligible to receive a security under the plan either a description of the material attributes and characteristics of the security distributed under the plan or notice of a source from which the participant can obtain the information without charge.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.2.

2.3. Accredited investor

Refer to Appendix D of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a restricted period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

- (0.1) In this section, "accredited investor exemption" means the prospectus exemption provided in subsection (1) in a jurisdiction other than Ontario and, in Ontario, subsection 73.3(2) of the Securities Act (R.S.O., 1990, chapter S.5).
- (1) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security if the purchaser purchases the security as principal and is an accredited investor.

- (2) For the purpose of the accredited investor exemption this section, a trust company or trust corporation described in paragraph (p) of the definition of "accredited investor" in section 1.1 [Definitions] is deemed to be purchasing as principal.
- (3) Subsection (2) does not apply to a trust company or trust corporation registered under the laws of Prince Edward Island that is not registered or authorized under the Trust and Loan Companies Act (R.S. 1991, c. 45) or under comparable legislation in another jurisdiction of Canada.
- (4) For the purpose of the accredited investor exemption this section, a person described in paragraph (q) of the definition of "accredited investor" in section 1.1 [Definitions] is deemed to be purchasing as principal.
- (5) The accredited investor exemption This section does not apply to a distribution of a security to a person if the person was created, or is used, solely to purchase or hold securities as an accredited investor described in paragraph (m) of the definition of "accredited investor" in section 1.1 [Definitions].
- (6) The accredited investor exemption does not apply to a distribution of a security to an individual unless the person distributing the security obtains from the individual a signed risk acknowledgement in the required form at the same time or before that individual signs the agreement to purchase the security.
- (7) Subsection (6) does not apply to a distribution if the purchaser of the security is an accredited investor described in paragraph (j.1) of the definition of "accredited investor" in section 1.1 [Definitions].
- (8) A person relying on the accredited investor exemption to distribute a security to an individual must retain the signed risk acknowledgement required in subsection (6) for 8 years after the distribution.
- (9) Subsection (1) does not apply in Ontario.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.3.

2.4. Private issuer

Refer to Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a seasoning period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

- (1) In this section, "private issuer" means an issuer
 - (a) that is not a reporting issuer or an investment fund,

- (b) the securities of which, other than non-convertible debt securities,
- (i) are subject to restrictions on transfer that are contained in the issuer's constating documents or security holders' agreements, and
- (ii) are beneficially owned by not more than 50 persons, not including employees and former employees of the issuer or its affiliates, provided that each person is counted as one beneficial owner unless the person is created or used solely to purchase or hold securities of the issuer in which case each beneficial owner or each beneficiary of the person, as the case may be, must be counted as a separate beneficial owner, and

(c) that

- (i) has distributed its securities only to persons described in subsection (2), or
- (ii) has completed a transaction and immediately following the completion of the transaction, its securities were beneficially owned only by persons described in subsection (2) and since the completion of the transaction has distributed its securities only to persons described in subsection (2).
- (2) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security of a private issuer to a person who purchases the security as principal and is
 - (a) a director, officer, employee, founder or control person of the issuer,
 - (b) a director, officer or employee of an affiliate of the issuer,
- (c) a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,
- (d) a parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of the spouse of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,
- (e) a close personal friend of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,
- (f) a close business associate of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,
- (g) a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of the selling security holder or of the selling security holder's spouse,
 - (h) a security holder of the issuer,

- (i) an accredited investor,
- (j) a person of which a majority of the voting securities are beneficially owned by, or a majority of the directors are, persons described in paragraphs (a) to (i),
- (k) a trust or estate of which all of the beneficiaries or a majority of the trustees or executors are persons described in paragraphs (a) to (i), or
 - (I) a person that is not the public.
- (2.1) The following persons are prescribed for purposes of subsection 73.4(2) of the Securities Act (R.S.O., 1990, chapter S.5) of Ontario:

 (a) a director, officer, employee, founder or control person of the issuer;
 (b) a director, officer or employee of an affiliate of the issuer;
 (c) a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer;
- (d) a parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of the spouse of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer;
- (e) a close personal friend of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer;
- (f) a close business associate of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer;
- (g) a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of the selling security holder or of the selling security holder's spouse;
 - (h) a security holder of the issuer;
 - (i) an accredited investor;
- (j) a person of which a majority of the voting securities are beneficially owned by, or a majority of the directors are, persons described in paragraphs (a) to (i);
- (k) a trust or estate of which all of the beneficiaries or a majority of the trustees or executors are persons described in paragraphs (a) to (i); or
 - (I) a person that is not the public.

- (3) Except for a distribution to an accredited investor, no commission or finder's fee may be paid to any director, officer, founder or control person of an issuer in connection with a distribution under subsection (2) or, in Ontario, a distribution under subsection 73.4(2) of the Securities Act.
- (4) Subsection (2) does not apply in Ontario.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.4.

2.5. Family, friends and business associates

Refer to Appendix D of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a restricted period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

- (1) Except in Ontario, the prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security to a person who purchases the security as principal and is
- (a) a director, executive officer or control person of the issuer, or of an affiliate of the issuer,
- (b) a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of a director, executive officer or control person of the issuer, or of an affiliate of the issuer,
- (c) a parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of the spouse of a director, executive officer or control person of the issuer or of an affiliate of the issuer,
- (d) a close personal friend of a director, executive officer or control person of the issuer, or of an affiliate of the issuer,
- (e) a close business associate of a director, executive officer or control person of the issuer, or of an affiliate of the issuer,
- (f) a founder of the issuer or a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child, grandchild, close personal friend or close business associate of a founder of the issuer.
- (g) a parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of a spouse of a founder of the issuer,
- (h) a person of which a majority of the voting securities are beneficially owned by, or a majority of the directors are, persons described in paragraphs (a) to (g), or

- (i) a trust or estate of which all of the beneficiaries or a majority of the trustees or executors are persons described in paragraphs (a) to (g).
- (2) No commission or finder's fee may be paid to any director, officer, founder, or control person of an issuer or an affiliate of the issuer in connection with a distribution under subsection (1).

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.5.

2.6. Family, friends and business associates – Saskatchewan

- (1) In Saskatchewan, section 2.5 [Family, friends and business associates] does not apply unless the person making the distribution obtains a signed risk acknowledgement from the purchaser in the required form for a distribution to
- (a) a person described in section 2.5(1) (d) or (e) [Family, friends and business associates],
- (b) a close personal friend or close business associate of a founder of the issuer, or
- (c) a person described in section 2.5(1)(h) or (i) [Family, friends and business associates] if the distribution is based in whole or in part on a close personal friendship or close business association.
- (2) The person making the distribution must retain the required form referred to in subsection (1) for 8 years after the distribution.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.6.

2.7. Founder, control person and family – Ontario

Refer to Appendix D of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a restricted period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

In Ontario, the prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution to a person who purchases the security as principal and is

- (a) a founder of the issuer,
- (b) an affiliate of a founder of the issuer,

- (c) a spouse, parent, brother, sister, grandparent, grandchild or child of an executive officer, director or founder of the issuer, or
 - (d) a person that is a control person of the issuer.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.7.

2.8. Affiliates

Refer to Appendix D of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a restricted period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an issuer of a security of its own issue to an affiliate of the issuer that is purchasing as principal.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.8.

2.9. Offering memorandum

Refer to Appendix D of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a restricted period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

- (1) In British Columbia, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia and Newfoundland and Labrador, the prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an issuer of a security of its own issue to a purchaser if
 - (a) the purchaser purchases the security as principal, and
- (b) at the same time or before the purchaser signs the agreement to purchase the security, the issuer
- (i) delivers an offering memorandum to the purchaser in compliance with subsections (5) to (13), and
- (ii) obtains a signed risk acknowledgement from the purchaser in compliance with subsection (15).
- (2) In Alberta, Manitoba, Northwest Territories, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Québec, Saskatchewan and Yukon, the prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an issuer of a security of its own issue to a purchaser if

- (a) the purchaser purchases the security as principal,
- (b) the purchaser is an eligible investor or the acquisition cost to the purchaser does not exceed \$10,000,
- (c) at the same time or before the purchaser signs the agreement to purchase the security, the issuer
- (i) delivers an offering memorandum to the purchaser in compliance with subsections (5) to (13), and
- (ii) obtains a signed risk acknowledgement from the purchaser in compliance with subsection (15), and
 - (d) if the issuer is an investment fund, the investment fund is
 - (i) a non-redeemable investment fund, or
 - (ii) a mutual fund that is a reporting issuer.
- (3) In Alberta, Manitoba, Northwest Territories, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Québec, Saskatchewan and Yukon, this section does not apply to a distribution of a security to a person described in paragraph (a) of the definition of "eligible investor" in section 1.1 [Definitions] if that person was created, or is used, solely to purchase or hold securities in reliance on the exemption from the prospectus requirement set out in subsection (2).
- (4) No commission or finder's fee may be paid to any person, other than a registered dealer, in connection with a distribution to a purchaser in the Northwest Territories, Nunavut, Saskatchewan and Yukon under subsection (2).
- (5) An offering memorandum delivered under this section must be in the required form.
- (6) If the securities legislation where the purchaser is resident does not provide a comparable right, an offering memorandum delivered under this section must provide the purchaser with a contractual right to cancel the agreement to purchase the security by delivering a notice to the issuer not later than midnight on the 2**nd** business day after the purchaser signs the agreement to purchase the security.
- (7) If the securities legislation where the purchaser is resident does not provide statutory rights of action in the event of a misrepresentation in an offering memorandum delivered under this section, the offering memorandum must contain a contractual right of action against the issuer for rescission or damages that

- (a) is available to the purchaser if the offering memorandum, or any information or documents incorporated or deemed to be incorporated by reference into the offering memorandum, contains a misrepresentation, without regard to whether the purchaser relied on the misrepresentation,
 - (b) is enforceable by the purchaser delivering a notice to the issuer
- (i) in the case of an action for rescission, within 180 days after the purchaser signs the agreement to purchase the security, or
 - (ii) in the case of an action for damages, before the earlier of
- A) 180 days after the purchaser first has knowledge of the facts giving rise to the cause of action, or
- B) 3 years after the date the purchaser signs the agreement to purchase the security,
- (c) is subject to the defence that the purchaser had knowledge of the misrepresentation,
- (d) in the case of an action for damages, provides that the amount recoverable
 - (i) must not exceed the price at which the security was offered, and
- (ii) does not include all or any part of the damages that the issuer proves does not represent the depreciation in value of the security resulting from the misrepresentation, and
- (e) is in addition to, and does not detract from, any other right of the purchaser.
- (8) An offering memorandum delivered under this section must contain a certificate that states the following: "This offering memorandum does not contain a misrepresentation."
- (9) If the issuer is a company, a certificate under subsection (8) must be signed
- (a) by the issuer's chief executive officer and chief financial officer or, if the issuer does not have a chief executive officer or chief financial officer, an individual acting in that capacity,
 - (b) on behalf of the directors of the issuer, by

- (i) any 2 directors who are authorized to sign, other than the persons referred to in paragraph (a), or
 - (ii) all the directors of the issuer, and
 - (c) by each promoter of the issuer.
- (10) If the issuer is a trust, a certificate under subsection (8) must be signed by
- (a) the individuals who perform functions for the issuer similar to those performed by the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer of a company, and
 - (b) each trustee and the manager of the issuer.
- (10.1) If a trustee or the manager that is signing the certificate of the issuer is
 - (a) an individual, the individual must sign the certificate,
 - (b) a company, the certificate must be signed
- (i) by the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer of the trustee or the manager, and
 - (ii) on behalf of the board of directors of the trustee or the manager, by
- (A) any 2 directors of the trustee or the manager, other than the persons referred to in subparagraph (i), or
 - (B) all of the directors of the trustee or the manager,
- (c) a limited partnership, the certificate must be signed by each general partner of the limited partnership as described in subsection (11.1) in relation to an issuer that is a limited partnership, or
- (d) not referred to in paragraphs (a), (b) or (c), the certificate may be signed by any person with authority to act on behalf of the trustee or the manager.
- (10.2) Despite subsections (10) and (10.1), if the issuer is an investment fund and the declaration of trust, trust indenture or trust agreement establishing the investment fund delegates the authority to do so, or otherwise authorizes an individual or company to do so, the certificate may be signed by the individual or company to whom the authority is delegated or that is authorized to sign the certificate.
- (10.3) Despite subsections (10) and (10.1), if the trustees of an issuer, other than an investment fund, do not perform functions for the issuer similar to those performed by the directors of a company, the trustees are not required to sign the certificate of the

issuer if at least 2 individuals who perform functions for the issuer similar to those performed by the directors of a company sign the certificate.

- (11) If the issuer is a limited partnership, a certificate under subsection (8) must be signed by
- (a) each individual who performs a function for the issuer similar to any of those performed by the chief executive officer or the chief financial officer of a company, and
 - (b) each general partner of the issuer.
- (11.1) If a general partner of the issuer is
 - (a) an individual, the individual must sign the certificate,
 - (b) a company, the certificate must be signed
- (i) by the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer of the general partner, and
 - (ii) on behalf of the board of directors of the general partner, by
- (A) any 2 directors of the general partner, other than the persons referred to in subparagraph (i), or
 - (B) all of the directors of the general partner,
- (c) a limited partnership, the certificate must be signed by each general partner of the limited partnership and, for greater certainty, this subsection applies to each general partner required to sign,
- (d) a trust, the certificate must be signed by the trustees of the general partner as described in subsection 10 in relation to an issuer that is a trust, or
- (e) not referred to in paragraphs (a) to (d), the certificate may be signed by any person with authority to act on behalf of the general partner.
- (12) If an issuer is not a company, trust or limited partnership, a certificate under subsection (8) must be signed by the persons that, in relation to the issuer, are in a similar position or perform a similar function to any of the persons referred to in subsections (9), (10), (10.1), (10.2), (10.3), (11) and (11.1).
- (13) A certificate under subsection (8) must be true
 - (a) at the date the certificate is signed, and

- (b) at the date the offering memorandum is delivered to the purchaser.
- (14) If a certificate under subsection (8) ceases to be true after it is delivered to the purchaser, the issuer cannot accept an agreement to purchase the security from the purchaser unless
 - (a) the purchaser receives an update of the offering memorandum,
- (b) the update of the offering memorandum contains a newly dated certificate signed in compliance with subsection (9), (10), (10.1), (10.2), (10.3), (11) or (11.1) and
 - (c) the purchaser re-signs the agreement to purchase the security.
- (15) A risk acknowledgement under subsection (1) or (2) must be in the required form and an issuer relying on subsection (1) or (2) must retain the signed risk acknowledgment for 8 years after the distribution.

(16) The issuer must

- (a) hold in trust all consideration received from the purchaser in connection with a distribution of a security under subsection (1) or (2) until midnight on the 2**nd** business day after the purchaser signs the agreement to purchase the security, and
- (b) return all consideration to the purchaser promptly if the purchaser exercises the right to cancel the agreement to purchase the security described under subsection (6).
- (17) The issuer must file a copy of an offering memorandum delivered under this section and any update of a previously filed offering memorandum with the securities regulatory authority on or before the 10**th** day after the distribution under the offering memorandum or update of the offering memorandum.
- (18) (paragraph revoked)

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.9; M.O. 2011-02, s. 1.

2.10. Minimum amount investment

Refer to Appendix D of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a restricted period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

- (1) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security to a person if all of the following apply
 - (a) that person is not an individual,
 - (b) that person purchases as principal,
- (bc) the security has an acquisition cost to the purchaser that person of not less than \$150,000 paid in cash at the time of the distribution, and
 - (ed) the distribution is of a security of a single issuer.
- (2) Subsection (1) does not apply to a distribution of a security to a person if the person was created, or is used, solely to purchase or hold securities in reliance on this the exemption from the prospectus requirement set out in subsection (1).

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.10.

DIVISION 2 Transaction Exemptions

2.11. Business combination and reorganization

Refer to Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a seasoning period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security in connection with

- (a) an amalgamation, merger, reorganization or arrangement that is under a statutory procedure,
 - (b) an amalgamation, merger, reorganization or arrangement that
- (i) is described in an information circular made pursuant to Regulation 51-102 respecting Continuous Disclosure Obligations (chapter V-1.1, r. 24) or in a similar disclosure record and the information circular or similar disclosure record is delivered to each security holder whose approval of the amalgamation, merger, reorganization or arrangement is required before it can proceed, and
- (ii) is approved by the security holders referred to in subparagraph (i), or

(c) a dissolution or winding-up of the issuer.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.11.

2.12. Asset acquisition

Refer to Appendix D of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a restricted period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an issuer of a security of its own issue to a person as consideration for the acquisition, directly or indirectly, of the assets of the person, if those assets have a fair value of not less than \$150,000.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.12.

2.13. Petroleum, natural gas and mining properties

Refer to Appendix D of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a restricted period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an issuer of a security of its own issue as consideration for the acquisition, directly or indirectly, of petroleum, natural gas or mining properties or any interest in them.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.13.

2.14. Securities for debt

Refer to Appendix D of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a restricted period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by a reporting issuer of a security of its own issue to a creditor to settle a bona fide debt of that reporting issuer.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.14.

2.15. Issuer acquisition or redemption

This provision is not cited in any Appendix of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security to the issuer of the security.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.15.

2.16 Take-over bid and issuer bid

Refer to section 2.11 or Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a seasoning period on resale unless the requirements of section 2.11 of Regulation 45-102 are met. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security in connection with a take-over bid in a jurisdiction of Canada or an issuer bid in a jurisdiction of Canada.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.16.

2.17. Offer to acquire to security holder outside local jurisdiction

Refer to Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a seasoning period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by a security holder outside the local jurisdiction to a person in the local jurisdiction if the distribution would have been in connection with a take-over bid or issuer bid made by that person were it not for the fact that the security holder is outside of the local jurisdiction.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.17.

DIVISION 3 Investment Fund Exemptions

2.18. Investment fund reinvestment

Refer to Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a seasoning period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

- (1) The prospectus requirement does not apply to the following distributions by an investment fund, and the investment fund manager of the fund, to a security holder of the investment fund if the distributions are permitted by a plan of the investment fund:
- (a) a distribution of a security of the investment fund's own issue if a dividend or distribution out of earnings, surplus, capital or other sources payable in respect of the investment fund's securities is applied to the purchase of the security that is of the same class or series as the securities to which the dividend or distribution out of earnings, surplus, capital or other sources is attributable, and
- (b) a distribution of a security of the investment fund's own issue if the security holder makes an optional cash payment to purchase the security of the investment fund that is of the same class or series of securities described in paragraph (a) that trade on a marketplace.
- (2) The aggregate number of securities issued under the optional cash payment referred to in subsection (1)(b) must not exceed, in any financial year of the investment fund during which the distribution takes place, 2% of the issued and outstanding securities of the class to which the plan relates as at the beginning of the financial year.
- (3) A plan that permits the distributions described in subsection (1) must be available to every security holder in Canada to which the dividend or distribution out of earnings, surplus, capital or other sources is available.
- (4) A person must not charge a fee for a distribution described in subsection (1).
- (5) An investment fund that is a reporting issuer and in continuous distribution must set out in its current prospectus:
- (a) details of any deferred or contingent sales charge or redemption fee that is payable at the time of the redemption of the security,
- (b) any right that the security holder has to make an election to receive cash instead of securities on the payment of a dividend or making of a distribution by the investment fund, and

- (c) instructions on how the right referred to in paragraph (b) can be exercised.
- (6) An investment fund that is a reporting issuer and is not in continuous distribution must provide the information required by subsection (5) in its prospectus, annual information form or a material change report.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.18.

2.19. Additional investment in investment funds

Refer to Appendix D of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a restricted period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an investment fund, or the investment fund manager of the fund, of a security of the investment fund's own issue to a security holder of the investment fund if

- (a) the security holder initially acquired securities of the investment fund as principal for an acquisition cost of not less than \$150,000 paid in cash at the time of the distribution,
- (b) the distribution is of a security of the same class or series as the securities initially acquired, as described in paragraph (a), and
- (c) the security holder, as at the date of the distribution, holds securities of the investment fund that have
 - (i) an acquisition cost of not less than \$150,000, or
 - (ii) a net asset value of not less than \$150,000.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.19.

2.20. Private investment club

Refer to Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a seasoning period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security of an investment fund if the investment fund

- (a) has no more than 50 beneficial security holders,
- (b) does not seek and has never sought to borrow money from the public,
- (c) does not and has never does not distribute and has never distributed its securities to the public,
- (d) does not pay or give any remuneration for investment management or administration advice in respect of trades in securities, except normal brokerage fees, and
- (e) for the purpose of financing the operations of the investment fund, requires security holders to make contributions in proportion to the value of the securities held by them.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.20.

2.21. Private investment fund - loan and trust pools

Refer to Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a seasoning period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

- (1) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security of an investment fund if the investment fund
- (a) is administered by a trust company or trust corporation that is registered or authorized by an enactment of Canada or a jurisdiction of Canada to carry on business in Canada or a jurisdiction of Canada,
- (b) has no promoter or investment fund manager other than the trust company or trust corporation referred to in paragraph (a), and
- (c) co-mingles the money of different estates and trusts for the purpose of facilitating investment.
- (2) Despite subsection (1), a trust company or trust corporation registered under the laws of Prince Edward Island that is not registered under the Trust and Loan Companies Act (S.C. 1991, c. 45) or under comparable legislation in another jurisdiction of Canada is not a trust company or trust corporation for the purpose of subparagraph (1)(a).

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.21.

DIVISION 4 Employee, Executive Officer, Director and Consultant Exemptions

2.22. Definitions

In this Division and in Division 4 of Part 3 of this Regulation

"associate", when used to indicate a relationship with a person, means

- (a) an issuer of which the person beneficially owns or controls, directly or indirectly, voting securities entitling the person to more than 10% of the voting rights attached to outstanding voting securities of the issuer,
 - (b) any partner of the person,
- (c) any trust or estate in which the person has a substantial beneficial interest or in respect of which the person serves as trustee or executor or in a similar capacity,

or

- (d) in the case of an individual, a relative of that individual, including
 - (i) a spouse of that individual, or
 - (ii) a relative of that individual's spouse

if the relative has the same home as that individual;

"associated consultant" means, for an issuer, a consultant of the issuer or of a related entity of the issuer if

- (a) the consultant is an associate of the issuer or of a related entity of the issuer, or
 - (b) the issuer or a related entity of the issuer is an associate of the consultant;

"compensation" means an issuance of securities in exchange for services provided or to be provided and includes an issuance of securities for the purpose of providing an incentive;

"consultant" means, for an issuer, a person, other than an employee, executive officer, or director of the issuer or of a related entity of the issuer, that

(a) is engaged to provide services to the issuer or a related entity of the issuer, other than services provided in relation to a distribution,

- (b) provides the services under a written contract with the issuer or a related entity of the issuer, and
- (c) spends or will spend a significant amount of time and attention on the affairs and business of the issuer or a related entity of the issuer

and includes

- (d) for an individual consultant, a corporation of which the individual consultant is an employee or shareholder, and a partnership of which the individual consultant is an employee or partner, and
- (e) for a consultant that is not an individual, an employee, executive officer, or director of the consultant, provided that the individual employee, executive officer, or director spends or will spend a significant amount of time and attention on the affairs and business of the issuer or a related entity of the issuer.

"holding entity" means a person that is controlled by an individual;

"investor relations activities" means activities or communications, by or on behalf of an issuer or a security holder of the issuer, that promote or could reasonably be expected to promote the purchase or sale of securities of the issuer, but does not include

- (a) the dissemination of information or preparation of records in the ordinary course of the business of the issuer
 - (i) to promote the sale of products or services of the issuer, or
 - (ii) to raise public awareness of the issuer

that cannot reasonably be considered to promote the purchase or sale of securities of the issuer,

- (b) activities or communications necessary to comply with the requirements of
 - (i) securities legislation of any jurisdiction of Canada,
- (ii) the securities laws of any foreign jurisdiction governing the issuer, or
 - (iii) any exchange or market on which the issuer's securities trade, or
- (c) activities or communications necessary to follow securities directions of any jurisdiction of Canada;

"investor relations person" means a person that is a registrant or that provides services that include investor relations activities;

"issuer bid requirements" means the requirements under securities legislation that apply to an issuer bid;

"listed issuer" means an issuer, any of the securities of which

- (a) are listed and not suspended, or the equivalent, from trading on
 - (i) TSX Inc.,
 - (ii) TSX Venture Exchange Inc.,
 - (iii) NYSE Amex Equities,
 - (iv) The New York Stock Exchange,
 - (v) the London Stock Exchange, or
- (b) are quoted on the Nasdaq Stock Market;

"permitted assign" means, for a person that is an employee, executive officer, director or consultant of an issuer or of a related entity of the issuer,

- (a) a trustee, custodian, or administrator acting on behalf of, or for the benefit of the person,
 - (b) a holding entity of the person,
 - (c) a RRSP, RRIF, or TFSA of the person,
 - (d) a spouse of the person,
- (e) a trustee, custodian, or administrator acting on behalf of, or for the benefit of the spouse of the person,
 - (f) a holding entity of the spouse of the person, or
 - (g) a RRSP, RRIF, or TFSA of the spouse of the person;

"plan" means a plan or program established or maintained by an issuer providing for the acquisition of securities of the issuer by persons described in section 2.24(1) [Employee, executive officer, director and consultant] as compensation;

"related entity" means, for an issuer, a person that controls or is controlled by the issuer or that is controlled by the same person that controls the issuer;

"related person" means, for an issuer,

- (a) a director or executive officer of the issuer or of a related entity of the issuer.
- (b) an associate of a director or executive officer of the issuer or of a related entity of the issuer, or
- (c) a permitted assign of a director or executive officer of the issuer or of a related entity of the issuer;

"security holder approval" means an approval for the issuance of securities of an issuer as compensation or under a plan

- (a) given by a majority of the votes cast at a meeting of security holders of the issuer other than votes attaching to securities beneficially owned by related persons to whom securities may be issued as compensation or under that plan, or
- (b) evidenced by a resolution signed by all the security holders entitled to vote at a meeting, if the issuer is not required to hold a meeting; and

"support agreement" includes an agreement to provide assistance in the maintenance or servicing of indebtedness of the borrower and an agreement to provide consideration for the purpose of maintaining or servicing indebtedness of the borrower.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.22.

2.23. Interpretation

- (1) In this Division, a person (first person) is considered to control another person (second person) if the first person, directly or indirectly, has the power to direct the management and policies of the second person by virtue of
 - (a) ownership of or direction over voting securities in the second person,
 - (b) a written agreement or indenture,
- (c) being the general partner or controlling the general partner of the second person, or
 - (d) being a trustee of the second person.
- (2) In this Division, participation in a distribution is considered voluntary if

- (a) in the case of an employee or the employee's permitted assign, the employee or the employee's permitted assign is not induced to participate in the distribution by expectation of employment or continued employment of the employee with the issuer or a related entity of the issuer,
- (b) in the case of an executive officer or the executive officer's permitted assign, the executive officer or the executive officer's permitted assign is not induced to participate in the distribution by expectation of appointment, employment, continued appointment or continued employment of the executive officer with the issuer or a related entity of the issuer,
- (c) in the case of a consultant or the consultant's permitted assign, the consultant or the consultant's permitted assign is not induced to participate in the distribution by expectation of engagement of the consultant to provide services or continued engagement of the consultant to provide services to the issuer or a related entity of the issuer, and
- (d) in the case of an employee of a consultant, the individual is not induced by the issuer, a related entity of the issuer, or the consultant to participate in the distribution by expectation of employment or continued employment with the consultant.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.23.

2.24. Employee, executive officer, director and consultant

Refer to Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a seasoning period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

- (1) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution
 - (a) by an issuer in a security of its own issue, or
- (b) by a control person of an issuer of a security of the issuer or of an option to acquire a security of the issuer,

with

- (c) an employee, executive officer, director or consultant of the issuer,
- (d) an employee, executive officer, director or consultant of a related entity of the issuer, or

- (e) a permitted assign of a person referred to in paragraphs (c) or (d) if participation in the distribution is voluntary.
- (2) For the purposes of subsection (1), a person referred to in paragraph (c), (d) or (e) includes a trustee, custodian or administrator acting as agent for that person for the purpose of facilitating a trade.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.24.

2.25. Unlisted reporting issuer exception

- (1) For the purpose of this section, "unlisted reporting issuer" means a reporting issuer in a jurisdiction of Canada that is not a listed issuer.
- (2) Section 2.24 [Employee, executive officer, director and consultant] does not apply to a distribution to an employee or consultant of the unlisted reporting issuer who is an investor relations person of the issuer, an associated consultant of the issuer, an executive officer of the issuer, a director of the issuer, or a permitted assign of those persons if, after the distribution,
- (a) the number of securities, calculated on a fully diluted basis, reserved for issuance under options granted to
- (i) related persons, exceeds 10% of the outstanding securities of the issuer, or
- (ii) a related person, exceeds 5% of the outstanding securities of the issuer, or
- (b) the number of securities, calculated on a fully diluted basis, issued within 12 months to
- (i) related persons, exceeds 10% of the outstanding securities of the issuer, or
- (ii) a related person and the associates of the related person, exceeds 5% of the outstanding securities of the issuer.
- (3) Subsection (2) does not apply to a distribution if the unlisted reporting issuer
 - (a) obtains security holder approval, and
- (b) before obtaining security holder approval, provides security holders with the following information in sufficient detail to permit security holders to form a reasoned judgment concerning the matter:

- (i) the eligibility of employees, executive officers, directors, and consultants to be issued or granted securities as compensation or under a plan;
- (ii) the maximum number of securities that may be issued, or in the case of options, the number of securities that may be issued on exercise of the options, as compensation or under a plan;
- (iii) particulars relating to any financial assistance or support agreement to be provided to participants by the issuer or any related entity of the issuer to facilitate the purchase of securities as compensation or under a plan, including whether the assistance or support is to be provided on a full-, part-, or non-recourse basis;
- (iv) in the case of options, the maximum term and the basis for the determination of the exercise price;
- (v) particulars relating to the options or other entitlements to be granted as compensation or under a plan, including transferability; and
- (vi) the number of votes attaching to securities that, to the issuer's knowledge at the time the information is provided, will not be included for the purpose of determining whether security holder approval has been obtained.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.25.

2.26. Distributions among current or former employees, executive officers, directors, or consultants of non-reporting issuer

Refer to Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a seasoning period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

- (1) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security of an issuer by
- (a) a current or former employee, executive officer, director, or consultant of the issuer or related entity of the issuer, or
 - (b) a permitted assign of a person referred to in paragraph (a),

to

(c) an employee, executive officer, director, or consultant of the issuer or a related entity of the issuer, or

- (d) a permitted assign of the employee, executive officer, director, or consultant.
- (2) The exemption in subsection (1) is only available if
 - (a) participation in the distribution is voluntary,
- (b) the issuer of the security is not a reporting issuer in any jurisdiction of Canada, and
- (c) the price of the security being distributed is established by a generally applicable formula contained in a written agreement among some or all of the security holders of the issuer to which the transferee is or will become a party.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.26.

2.27. Permitted transferees

Refer to Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a seasoning period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

- (1) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security of an issuer acquired by a person described in section 2.24(1) [Employee, executive officer, director and consultant] under a plan of the issuer if the distribution
 - (a) is between
- (i) a person who is an employee, executive officer, director or consultant of the issuer or a related entity of the issuer, and
 - (ii) the permitted assign of that person,

or

- (b) is between permitted assigns of that person.
- (2) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security of an issuer by a trustee, custodian or administrator acting on behalf, or for the benefit, of employees, executive officers, directors or consultants of the issuer or a related entity of the issuer, to
- (a) an employee, executive officer, director or consultant of the issuer or a related entity of the issuer, or

(b) a permitted assign of a person referred to in paragraph (a),

if the security was acquired from

- (c) an employee, executive officer, director or consultant of the issuer or a related entity of the issuer, or
 - (d) the permitted assign of a person referred to in paragraph (c).
- (3) For the purposes of the exemptions in subsection (1) and paragraphs (2) (c) and (d), all references to employee, executive officer, director, or consultant include a former employee, executive officer, director, or consultant.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.27.

2.28. Limitation re: permitted transferees

The exemption from the prospectus requirement under subsection 2.27(1) or (2) is only available if the security was acquired

- (a) by a person described in section 2.24(1) [Employee, executive officer, director, and consultant] under any exemption that makes the resale of the security subject to section 2.6 of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20), or
- (b) in Manitoba, by a person described in section 2.24(1) [Employee, executive officer, director, and consultant].

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.28.

2.29. Issuer bid

The issuer bid requirements do not apply to the acquisition by an issuer of a security of its own issue that was acquired by a person described in section 2.24(1) [Employee, executive officer, director, and consultant] if

- (a) the purpose of the acquisition by the issuer is to
 - (i) fulfill withholding tax obligations, or
 - (ii) provide payment of the exercise price of a stock option,
- (b) the acquisition by the issuer is made in accordance with the terms of a plan that specifies how the value of the securities acquired by the issuer is determined,

- (c) in the case of securities acquired as payment of the exercise price of a stock option, the date of exercise of the option is chosen by the option holder, and
- (d) the aggregate number of securities acquired by the issuer within a 12 month period under this section does not exceed 5% of the outstanding securities of the class or series at the beginning of the period.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.29.

DIVISION 5 Miscellaneous Exemptions

2.30. Isolated distribution by issuer

Refer to Appendix D of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a restricted period on resale. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an issuer of a security of its own issue if the distribution is an isolated distribution and is not made

- (a) in the course of continued and successive transactions of a like nature, and
 - (b) by a person whose usual business is trading in securities.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.30.

2.31. Dividends and distributions

Subsection (1) is cited in Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are subject to a seasoning period on resale.

Subsection (2) is cited in Appendix D and Appendix E of Regulation 45-102. Resale restriction is determined by the exemption under which the previously issued security was first acquired.

This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

(1) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an issuer of a security of its own issue to a security holder of the issuer as a dividend or distribution out of earnings, surplus, capital or other sources.

(2) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an issuer to a security holder of the issuer of a security of a reporting issuer as an in specie dividend or distribution out of earnings or surplus.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.31.

2.32. Distribution to lender by control person for collateral

This provision is not cited in any Appendix of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). Trades by a lender, pledge, mortgage or other encumbrancer to realize on a debt are regulated by section 2.8 of Regulation 45-102. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security of an issuer to a lender, pledgee, mortgagee or other encumbrancer from the holdings of a control person of the issuer for the purpose of giving collateral for a bona fide debt of the control person.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.32.

2.33. Acting as underwriter

Refer to Appendix F of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). First trades are a distribution. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security between a person and a purchaser acting as an underwriter or between or among persons acting as underwriters.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.33.

2.34. Specified debt

This provision is not cited in any Appendix of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). These securities are free trading. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

- (1) In this section, "permitted supranational agency" means
- (a) the African Development Bank, established by the Agreement Establishing the African Development Bank which came into force on September 10, 1964, that Canada became a member of on December 30, 1982;
- (b) the Asian Development Bank, established under a resolution adopted by the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific in 1965;
- (c) the Caribbean Development Bank, established by the Agreement Establishing the Caribbean Development Bank which came into force on January 26, 1970, as amended, that Canada is a founding member of;
- (d) the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, established by the Agreement Establishing the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development and approved by the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development Agreement Act (L.C. 1991, c. 12), that Canada is a founding member of;
- (e) the Inter-American Development Bank, established by the Agreement establishing the Inter-American Development Bank which became effective December 30, 1959, as amended from time to time, that Canada is a member of;
- (f) the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, established by the Agreement for an International Bank for Reconstruction and Development approved by the Bretton Woods and Related Agreements Act (R.S.C. 1985, c. B-7); and
- (g) the International Finance Corporation, established by Articles of Agreement set out in Schedule IV of approved by the Bretton Woods and Related Agreements Act.
- (2) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of
- (a) a debt security issued by or guaranteed by the Government of Canada or the government of a jurisdiction of Canada,
- (b) a debt security issued by or guaranteed by a government of a foreign jurisdiction if the debt security has a designated rating from a designated rating organization or its DRO affiliate,
- (c) a debt security issued by or guaranteed by a municipal corporation in Canada, or secured by or payable out of rates or taxes levied under the law of a jurisdiction of Canada on property in the jurisdiction and collectable by or through the municipality in which the property is situated,

- (d) a debt security issued by or guaranteed by a Canadian financial institution or a Schedule III bank, other than debt securities that are subordinate in right of payment to deposits held by the issuer or guarantor of those debt securities,
- (d.1) in Ontario, a debt security issued by or guaranteed by a loan corporation, trust company, trust corporation, insurance company, treasury branch, credit union, caisse populaire, financial services cooperative, or league that, in each case, is authorized by an enactment of a jurisdiction of Canada other than Ontario to carry on business in a jurisdiction of Canada, other than debt securities that are subordinate in right of payment to deposits held by the issuer or guarantor of those debt securities,
- (e) a debt security issued by the Comité de gestion de la taxe scolaire de l'île de Montréal, or
- (f) a debt security issued by or guaranteed by a permitted supranational agency if the debt securities are payable in the currency of Canada or the United States of America.
- (3) Paragraphs (2)(a), (c) and (d) do not apply in Ontario.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.34; M.O. 2013-09, s. 2.

2.35. Short-term debt

This provision is not cited in any Appendix of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). These securities are free trading. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a negotiable promissory note or commercial paper maturing not more than one year from the date of issue, if the note or commercial paper distributed

- (a) is not convertible or exchangeable into or accompanied by a right to purchase another security other than a security described in this section, and
- (b) has a designated rating from a designated rating organization or its DRO affiliate.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.35; M.O. 2013-09, s. 3.

2.36. Mortgages

This provision is not cited in any Appendix of Regulation 45-102 respecting

Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). These securities are free trading. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

- (1) In this section, "syndicated mortgage" means a mortgage in which 2 or more persons participate, directly or indirectly, as a lender in a debt obligation that is secured by the mortgage.
- (2) Except in Ontario, the prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a mortgage on real property in a jurisdiction of Canada by a person who is registered or licensed, or exempted from registration or licensing, under mortgage brokerage or mortgage dealer legislation of that jurisdiction.
- (3) In Alberta, British Columbia, Manitoba, Québec and Saskatchewan, subsection (2) does not apply to a distribution of a syndicated mortgage.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.36.

2.37. Personal property security legislation

This provision is not cited in any Appendix of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). These securities are free trading. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

Except in Ontario, the prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution to a person, other than an individual, in a security evidencing indebtedness secured by or under a security agreement, secured in accordance with personal property security legislation of a jurisdiction of Canada that provides for the granting of security in personal property.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.37.

2.38. Not for profit issuer

This provision is not cited in any Appendix of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). These securities are free trading. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an issuer that is organized exclusively for educational, benevolent, fraternal, charitable, religious or recreational purposes and not for profit in a security of its own issue if

- (a) no part of the net earnings benefit any security holder of the issuer, and
- (b) no commission or other remuneration is paid in connection with the sale of the security.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.38.

2.39. Variable insurance contract

This provision is not cited in any Appendix of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). These securities are free trading. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

- (1) In this section,
- (a) "contract" "group insurance", "insurance company", "life insurance" and "policy" have the respective meanings assigned to them in the legislation for a jurisdiction referenced in Appendix A.
- (b) "variable insurance contract" means a contract of life insurance under which the interest of the purchaser is valued for purposes of conversion or surrender by reference to the value of a proportionate interest in a specified portfolio of assets.
- (2) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a variable insurance contract by an insurance company if the variable insurance contract is
 - (a) a contract of group insurance,
- (b) a whole life insurance contract providing for the payment at maturity of an amount not less than 75% of the premium paid up to age 75 years for a benefit payable at maturity,
- (c) an arrangement for the investment of policy dividends and policy proceeds in a separate and distinct fund to which contributions are made only from policy dividends and policy proceeds, or
 - (d) a variable life annuity.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.39.

2.40. RRSP/RRIF/TFSA

Refer to Appendix D and Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). The resale restriction is determined by the

exemption under which the security was first acquired. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security between

- (a) an individual or an associate of the individual, and
- (b) a RRSP, RRIF, or TFSA
 - (i) established for or by the individual, or
 - (ii) under which the individual is a beneficiary.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.40.

2.41. Schedule III banks and cooperative associations - evidence of deposit

This provision is not cited in any Appendix of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). These securities are free trading. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

Except in Ontario, the prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of an evidence of deposit issued by a Schedule III bank or an association governed by the Cooperative Credit Associations Act (S.C. 1991, c. 48).

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.41.

2.42. Conversion, exchange, or exercise

Subsection (1)(a) is cited in Appendix D and Appendix E of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). Resale restriction is determined by the exemption under which the previously issued security was first acquired.

Subsection (1)(b) is cited in Appendix E of Regulation 45-102. First trades are subject to a seasoning period on resale, unless the requirements of section 2.10 of Regulation 45-102 are met.

This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

(1) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an issuer if

- (a) the issuer distributes a security of its own issue to a security holder of the issuer in accordance with the terms and conditions of a security previously issued by that issuer, or
- (b) the issuer distributes a security of a reporting issuer held by it to a security holder of the issuer in accordance with the terms and conditions of a security previously issued by that issuer.
- (2) Subsection (1)(b) does not apply unless
- (a) the issuer has given the regulator or, in Québec, the securities regulatory authority, prior written notice stating the date, amount, nature and conditions of the distribution, and
- (b) the regulator or, in Québec, the securities regulatory authority, has not objected in writing to the distribution within 10 days of receipt of the notice referred to in paragraph (a) or, if the regulator or securities regulatory authority objects to the distribution, the issuer must deliver to the regulator or securities regulatory authority information relating to the securities that is satisfactory to and accepted by the regulator or securities regulatory authority.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.42.

2.43. Self-directed registered educational savings plans

This provision is not cited in any Appendix of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). These securities are free trading. This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a self-directed RESP to a subscriber if

- (a) the distribution is conducted by
- (i) a dealing representative of a mutual fund dealer who is acting on behalf of the mutual fund dealer,
 - (ii) a Canadian financial institution or,
 - (iii) in Ontario, a financial intermediary, and

(b) the self-directed RESP restricts its investments in securities to securities in which the person who distributes the self-directed RESP is permitted to distribute.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 2.43.

PART 3

(m)

Since March 27, 2010, Part 3 does not apply in any jurisdiction. (section 8.5 of this regulation)

(Repealed)REGISTRATION EXEMPTIONS

3.0. (Repealed)Removal of exemptions - market intermediaries (1) In Ontario and Newfoundland and Labrador, the exemptions from the dealer registration requirement under the following sections are not available for a market intermediary except for a trade in a security with a registered dealer that is an affiliate of the market intermediary: (a) section 3.1 [Rights offering]; section 3.3 [Accredited investor]; section 3.4 [Private issuer]; section 3.7 [Founder, control person and family - Ontario]; section 3.10 [Minimum amount investment]: section 3.11 [Business combination and reorganization]; section 3.12 [Asset acquisition]; (h) section 3.14 [Securities for debt]; section 3.15 [Issuer acquisition or redemption]; section 3.16 [Take-over bid and issuer bid]:

section 3.17 [Offer to acquire to security holder outside local jurisdiction];

section 3.19 [Additional investment in investment funds];

section 3.29 [Isolated trade];

section 3.30 [Isolated trade by issuer]:

section 3.21 [Private investment fund - loan and trust pools];

- (p) section 3.31 [Dividends and distributions];
- (q) section 3.33 [Acting as underwriter];
- (r) section 3.34 [Specified debt];
- (s) section 3.35 [Short-term debt];
- (t) section 3.39 [Variable insurance contract];
- (u) section 3.42 [Conversion, exchange, or exercise];
- (v) section 3.44 [Registered dealer].
- (2) Subsection (1) does not apply in respect of a trade in a security by a lawyer or accountant if the trade is incidental to the principal business of that lawyer or accountant.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.0.

DIVISION 1 (Repealed) Capital Raising Exemptions

3.1. (Repealed)Rights offering

The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by an issuer in a right granted by the issuer to purchase a security of its own issue to a security holder of the issuer if

- (a) the issuer has given the regulator or, in Québec, the securities regulatory authority, prior written notice stating the date, amount, nature and conditions of the trade, including the approximate net proceeds to be derived by the issuer on the basis of the additional securities being fully taken up,
- (b) the regulator or, in Québec, the securities regulatory authority, has not objected in writing to the trade within 10 days of receipt of the notice referred to in paragraph (a) or, if the regulator or securities regulatory authority objects to the trade, the issuer has delivered to the regulator or securities regulatory authority information relating to the securities that is satisfactory to and accepted by the regulator or securities regulatory authority, and
- (c) the issuer has complied with the applicable requirements of Regulation 45-101 respecting Rights Offerings (chapter V-1.1, r. 19).

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.1.

3.2. (Repealed)Reinvestment plan

- (1) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of the following trades by an issuer, or by a trustee, custodian or administrator acting for or on behalf of the issuer, to a security holder of the issuer if the trades are permitted by a plan of the issuer:
- (a) a trade in a security of the issuer's own issue if a dividend or distribution out of earnings, surplus, capital or other sources payable in respect of the issuer's securities is applied to the purchase of the security, and
- (b) a trade in a security of the issuer's own issue if the security holder makes an optional cash payment to purchase the security of the issuer that trades on a marketplace.
- (2) Subsection (1) does not apply unless the aggregate number of securities issued under the optional cash payment referred to in subsection (1)(b) does not exceed, in the financial year of the issuer during which the trade takes place, 2% of the issued and outstanding securities of the class to which the plan relates as at the beginning of the financial year.
- (3) A plan that permits the trades described in subsection (1)(a) or (b) must be available to every security holder in Canada to which the dividend or distribution out of earnings, surplus, capital or other sources is available.
- (4) Subsection (1) does not apply to a trade in a security of an investment fund.
- (5) If the security traded under a plan described in subsection (1) is of a different class or series than the class or series of the security to which the dividend or distribution is attributable, the issuer or the trustee, custodian or administrator must have provided to each participant that is eligible to receive a security under the plan either a description of the material attributes and characteristics of the security traded under the plan or notice of a source from which the participant can obtain the information without charge.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.2.

3.3. (Repealed)Accredited investor

- (1) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security if the purchaser purchases the security as principal and is an accredited investor.
- (2) For the purpose of this section, a trust company or trust corporation described in paragraph (p) of the definition of "accredited investor" in section 1.1 [Definitions] is deemed to be purchasing as principal.

(3) Subsection (2) does not apply to a trust company or trust corporation registered under the laws of Prince Edward Island that is not registered or authorized under the Trust and Loan Companies Act (S.C. 1991, c. 45) or under comparable legislation in another jurisdiction of Canada.
(4) For the purpose of this section, a person described in paragraph (q) of the definition of "accredited investor" in section 1.1 [Definitions] is deemed to be purchasing as principal.
(5) This section does not apply to a trade in a security to a person if the person was created, or is used, solely to purchase or hold securities as an accredited investor as described in paragraph (m) of the definition of "accredited investor" in section 1.1 [Definitions].
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.3.
3.4. <u>(Repealed)</u> Private issuer
(1) In this section, "private issuer" means an issuer
(a) that is not a reporting issuer or an investment fund,
(b) the securities of which, other than non-convertible debt securities,
(i) are subject to restrictions on transfer that are contained in the issuer's constating documents or security holders' agreements, and
(ii) are beneficially owned by not more than 50 persons, not including employees and former employees of the issuer or its affiliates, provided that each person is counted as one beneficial owner unless the person is created or used solely to purchase or hold securities of the issuer in which case each beneficial owner or each beneficiary of the person, as the case may be, must be counted as a separate beneficial owner, and
(c) that
(i) has distributed its securities only to persons described in subsection (2), or
(ii) has completed a transaction and immediately following the completion of the transaction, its securities were beneficially owned only by persons described in subsection (2) and since the completion of the transaction has distributed its securities only to persons described in subsection (2).

(2) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a
security of a private issuer to a person who purchases the security as principal and is
(a) a director, officer, employee, founder or control person of the issuer,
(b) a director, officer or employee of an affiliate of the issuer,
(c) a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,
(d) a parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of the spouse of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,
(e) a close personal friend of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,
(f) a close business associate of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,
(g) a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of the selling security holder or of the selling security holder's spouse,
——————————————————————————————————————
——————————————————————————————————————
(j) a person of which a majority of the voting securities are beneficially owned by, or a majority of the directors are, persons described in paragraphs (a) to (i),
(k) a trust or estate of which all of the beneficiaries or a majority of the trustees or executors are persons described in paragraphs (a) to (i), or
(I) a person that is not the public.
(3) Except for a trade to an accredited investor, no commission or finder's fee may be paid to any director, officer, founder or control person of an issuer in connection with a trade under subsection (2).
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.4.
3.5. (Repealed) Family, friends and business associates
(1) Except in Ontario, the dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security to a person who purchases the security as principal and is

(a) a director, executive officer or control person of the issuer, or of an affiliate of the issuer, (b) a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of a director, executive officer or control person of the issuer, or of an affiliate of the issuer, (c) a parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of the spouse of a director, executive officer or control person of the issuer or of an affiliate of the issuer, (d) a close personal friend of a director, executive officer or control person of the issuer, or of an affiliate of the issuer. (e) a close business associate of a director, executive officer or control person of the issuer, or of an affiliate of the issuer, a founder of the issuer or a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child, grandchild, close personal friend or close business associate of a founder of the issuer, (g) a parent, grandparent, brother, sister, child or grandchild of a spouse of a founder of the issuer. (h) a person of which a majority of the voting securities are beneficially owned by, or a majority of the directors are, persons described in paragraphs (a) to (g), or (i) a trust or estate of which all of the beneficiaries or a majority of the trustees or executors are persons described in paragraphs (a) to (g). No commission or finder's fee may be paid to any director, officer, founder, or control person of an issuer or an affiliate of the issuer in connection with a trade under subsection (1). M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.5. (Repealed)Family, friends and business associates - Saskatchewan 3.6. (1) In Saskatchewan, section 3.5 [Family, friends and business associates] does not apply unless the person making the trade obtains a signed risk acknowledgement from the purchaser in the required form for a trade to (a) a person described in section 3.5(1)(d) or (e) [Family, friends and business associates], a close personal friend or close business associate of a founder of the issuer, or

(c) a person described in section 3.5(1)(h) or (i) [Family, friends and business associates] if the trade is based in whole or in part on a close personal friendship of close business association.
(2) The person making the trade must retain the required form referred to in subsection (1) for 8 years after the trade.
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.6.
3.7. (Repealed) Founder, control person and family - Ontario
In Ontario, the dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security to a person who purchases the security as principal and is
——————————————————————————————————————
(b) an affiliate of a founder of the issuer,
(c) a spouse, parent, brother, sister, grandparent, grandchild or child of ar executive officer, director or founder of the issuer, or
——————————————————————————————————————
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.7.
3.8. (Repealed)Affiliates
The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by ar issuer in a security of its own issue to an affiliate of the issuer that is purchasing as principal.
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.8.
3.9. <u>(Repealed)</u> Offering memorandum
(1) In British Columbia, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia and Newfoundland and Labrador, the dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by ar issuer in a security of its own issue to a purchaser if
(a) the purchaser purchases the security as principal, and
(b) at the same time or before the purchaser signs the agreement to purchase the security, the issuer
(i) delivers an offering memorandum to the purchaser in compliance with subsections (5) to (13), and

(ii) obtains a signed risk acknowledgement from the purchaser in compliance with subsection (15).
(2) In Alberta, Manitoba, Northwest Territories, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Québec, Saskatchewan and Yukon, the dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by an issuer in a security of its own issue to a purchaser if
(a) the purchaser purchases the security as principal,
(b) the purchaser is an eligible investor or the acquisition cost to the purchaser does not exceed \$10,000,
(c) at the same time or before the purchaser signs the agreement to purchase the security, the issuer
(i) delivers an offering memorandum to the purchaser in compliance with subsections (5) to (13), and
(ii) obtains a signed risk acknowledgement from the purchaser in compliance with subsection (15), and
(d) if the issuer is an investment fund, the investment fund is
(i) a non-redeemable investment fund, or
(ii) a mutual fund that is a reporting issuer.
(3) In Alberta, Manitoba, Northwest Territories, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Québec, Saskatchewan and Yukon, this section does not apply to a trade in a security to a person described in paragraph (a) of the definition of "eligible investor" in section 1.1 [Definitions] if that person was created, or is used, solely to purchase or hold securities in reliance on an exemption from the dealer registration requirement set out in subsection (2).
(4) No commission or finder's fee may be paid to any person, other than a registered dealer, in connection with a trade to a purchaser in Northwest Territories, Nunavut, Saskatchewan and Yukon under subsection (2).
(5) An offering memorandum delivered under this section must be in the required form.
(6) If the securities legislation where the purchaser is resident does not provide a comparable right, an offering memorandum delivered under this section must provide the purchaser with a contractual right to cancel the agreement to purchase the security

the purchaser signs the agreement to purchase the security.
(7) If the securities legislation where the purchaser is resident does not provide statutory rights of action in the event of a misrepresentation in an offering memorandum delivered under this section, the offering memorandum must contain a contractual right of action against the issuer for rescission or damages that
(a) is available to the purchaser if the offering memorandum, or any information or documents incorporated or deemed to be incorporated by reference into the offering memorandum, contains a misrepresentation, without regard to whether the purchaser relied on the misrepresentation,
(b) is enforceable by the purchaser delivering a notice to the issuer
(i) in the case of an action for rescission, within 180 days after the purchaser signs the agreement to purchase the security, or
(ii) in the case of an action for damages, before the earlier of
A) 180 days after the purchaser first has knowledge of the facts giving rise to the cause of action, or
B) 3 years after the date the purchaser signs the agreement to purchase the security,
(c) is subject to the defence that the purchaser had knowledge of the misrepresentation,
——————————————————————————————————————
(i) must not exceed the price at which the security was offered, and
(ii) does not include all or any part of the damages that the issuer proves does not represent the depreciation in value of the security resulting from the misrepresentation, and
——————————————————————————————————————
(8) An offering memorandum delivered under this section must contain a certificate that states the following: "This offering memorandum does not contain a misrepresentation."
(9) If the issuer is a company, a certificate under subsection (8) must be signed

(a) by the issuer's chief executive officer and chief financial officer or, if the issuer does not have a chief executive officer or chief financial officer, an individual acting in that capacity,
(b) on behalf of the directors of the issuer by,
(i) any 2 directors who are authorized to sign, other than the persons referred to in paragraph (a), or
(ii) all the directors of the issuer and
(c) by each promoter of the issuer.
(10) If the issuer is a trust, a certificate under subsection (8) must be signed by
(a) the individuals who perform functions for the issuer similar to those performed by the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer of a company, and
(b) each trustee and the manager of the issuer.
(10.1) If a trustee or the manager that is signing the certificate of the issuer is
(a) an individual, the individual must sign the certificate,
(b) a company, the certificate must be signed
(i) by the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer of the trustee or the manager, and
(ii) on behalf of the board of directors of the trustee or the manager, by
(A) any 2 directors of the trustee or the manager, other than the persons referred to in subparagraph (i), or
(B) all of the directors of the trustee or the manager,
(c) a limited partnership, the certificate must be signed by each general partner of the limited partnership as described in subsection (11.1) in relation to an issuer that is a limited partnership, or
(d) not referred to in paragraphs (a), (b) or (c), the certificate may be signed by any person with authority to act on behalf of the trustee or the manager.
(10.2) Despite subsections (10) and (10.1), if the issuer is an investment fund and the declaration of trust, trust indenture or trust agreement establishing the investment fund

aciegates the authority to do so, or otherwise authorizes an individual or company to do
so, the certificate may be signed by the individual or company to whom the authority is delegated or that is authorized to sign the certificate.
delegated of that is authorized to sight the certificate.
(10.3) Despite subsections (10) and (10.1), if the trustees of an issuer, other than an investment fund, do not perform functions for the issuer similar to those performed by the directors of a company, the trustees are not required to sign the certificate of the issuer provided that at least 2 individuals who do not form functions for the issuer similar.
issuer provided that at least 2 individuals who do perform functions for the issuer similar
to those performed by the directors of a company sign the certificate.
(11) If the issuer is a limited partnership, a certificate under subsection (8) must be signed by
(a) each individual who performs a function for the issuer similar to any of
those performed by the chief executive officer or the chief financial officer of a company,
areas personned by the ermer executive ermes or the ermen initiation of a company,
and
(b) each general partner of the issuer.
(11.1) If a general partner of the issuer is
(a) an individual, the individual must sign the certificate,
(h) a company the cortificate must be signed
(b) a company, the certificate must be signed
(i) by the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer of the
general partner, and
gonoral partier, and
(ii) on behalf of the board of directors of the general partner, by
(A) any 2 directors of the general partner, other than the persons
referred to in subparagraph (i), or
(B) all of the directors of the general partner,
(c) a limited partnership, the certificate must be signed by each general
partner of the limited partnership and, for greater certainty, this subsection applies to
each general partner required to sign,
(d) a trust, the certificate must be signed by the trustees of the general
partner as described in subsection 10 in relation to an issuer that is a trust, or
parametrial described in editored in relation to divisor that to divisor of
(e) not referred to in paragraphs (a) to (d), the certificate may be signed by
any person with authority to act on behalf of the general partner.

(12) If an issuer is not a company, trust or limited partnership, a certificate under subsection (8) must be signed by the persons that, in relation to the issuer, are in a similar position or perform a similar function to any of the persons referred to in subsections (9), (10), (10.1), (10.2), (10.3), (11) and (11.1). (13) A certificate under subsection (8) must be true (a) at the date the certificate is signed, and (b) at the date the offering memorandum is delivered to the purchaser. (14) If a certificate under subsection (8) ceases to be true after it is delivered to the purchaser, the issuer cannot accept an agreement to purchase the security from the purchaser unless (a) the purchaser receives an update of the offering memorandum, (b) the update of the offering memorandum contains a newly dated certificate signed in compliance with subsection (9), (10), (10.1), (10.2), (10.3), (11) or (11.1), and (c) the purchaser re-signs the agreement to purchase the security. (15) A risk acknowledgement under subsection (1) or (2) must be in the required form and an issuer relying on subsection (1) or (2) must retain the signed risk acknowledgment for 8 years after the trade. (16) The issuer must (a) hold in trust all consideration received from the purchaser in connection with a trade in a security under subsection (1) or (2) until midnight on the 2nd business day after the purchaser signs the agreement to purchase the security, and (b) return all consideration to the purchaser promptly if the purchaser exercises the right to cancel the agreement to purchase the security described under subsection (6). (17) The issuer must file a copy of an offering memorandum delivered under this section and any update of a previously filed offering memorandum with the securities regulatory authority on or before the 10th day after the distribution under the offering memorandum or update of the offering memorandum. (18) If a qualifying issuer uses a form of offering memorandum that allows the qualifying issuer to incorporate previously filed information into the offering memorandum by reference, the qualifying issuer is exempt from the requirement under Regulation 43-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (chapter V-1.1, r. 15) to file a technical report to support scientific or technical

information about the qualifying issuer's mineral project in the offering memorandum or incorporated by reference into the offering memorandum if the information about the mineral project is contained in a previously filed technical report under Regulation 43-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects. M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.9. 3.10. (Repealed)Minimum amount investment (1) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security to a person if (a) that person purchases as principal, (b) the security has an acquisition cost to the purchaser of not less than \$150,000 paid in cash at the time of the trade, and (c) the trade is in a security of a single issuer. (2) Subsection (1) does not apply to a trade in a security to a person if the person was created, or is used, solely to purchase or hold securities in reliance on this exemption from the dealer registration requirement set out in subsection (1). M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.10. **DIVISION 2** (Repealed) Transaction Exemptions 3.11. (Repealed)Business combination and reorganization The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security in connection with (a) an amalgamation, merger, reorganization or arrangement that is under a statutory procedure, (b) an amalgamation, merger, reorganization or arrangement that (i) is described in an information circular made pursuant to Regulation 51-102 respecting Continuous Disclosure Obligations (chapter V-1.1, r. 24) or in a similar disclosure record and the information circular or similar disclosure record is delivered to each security holder whose approval of the amalgamation, merger, reorganization or arrangement is required before it can proceed, and (ii) is approved by the security holders referred to in subparagraph (i),

(c) a dissolution or winding-up of the issuer.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.11.

3.12. (Repealed) Asset acquisition

The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by an issuer in a security of its own issue to a person as consideration for the acquisition, directly or indirectly, of the assets of the person, if those assets have a fair value of not less than \$150,000.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.12.

3.13. (Repealed) Petroleum, natural gas and mining properties

The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by an issuer in a security of its own issue as consideration for the acquisition, directly or indirectly, of petroleum, natural gas or mining properties or any interest in them.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.13.

3.14. (Repealed)Securities for debt

The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by a reporting issuer in a security of its own issue to a creditor to settle a bona fide debt of that reporting issuer.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.14.

3.15. (Repealed)Issuer acquisition or redemption

The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security to the issuer of the security.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.15.

3.16. (Repealed) Take-over bid and issuer bid

The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security in connection with a take-over bid in a jurisdiction of Canada or an issuer bid in a jurisdiction of Canada.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.16.

3.17. (Repealed)Offer to acquire to security holder outside local jurisdiction

The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by a security holder outside the local jurisdiction to a person in the local jurisdiction if the trade would have been in connection with a take-over bid or issuer bid made by that person were it not for the fact that the security holder is outside of the local jurisdiction.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.17.

DIVISION 3 (Repealed)Investment Fund Exemptions

3.18. (Repealed)Investment fund reinvestment

- (1) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of the following trades by an investment fund, and the investment fund manager of the fund, to a security holder of the investment fund if the trades are permitted by a plan of the investment fund:
- (a) a trade in a security of the investment fund's own issue if a dividend or distribution out of earnings, surplus, capital or other sources payable in respect of the investment fund's securities is applied to the purchase of the security that is of the same class or series as the securities to which the dividend or distribution out of earnings, surplus, capital or other sources is attributable, and
- (b) a trade in a security of the investment fund's own issue if the security holder makes an optional cash payment to purchase the security of the investment fund that is of the same class or series of securities described in paragraph (a) that trade on a marketplace.
- (2) The aggregate number of securities issued under the optional cash payment referred to in subsection (1) (b) must not exceed, in any financial year of the investment fund during which the trade takes place, 2% of the issued and outstanding securities of the class to which the plan relates as at the beginning of the financial year.
- (3) A plan that permits the trades described in subsection (1) must be available to every security holder in Canada to which the dividend or distribution out of earnings, surplus, capital or other sources is available.
- (4) A person must not charge a fee for a trade described in subsection (1).
- (5) An investment fund that is a reporting issuer and in continuous distribution must set out in its current prospectus:
- (a) details of any deferred or contingent sales charge or redemption fee that is payable at the time of the redemption of the security,

(b) any right that the security holder has to make an election to receive cash instead of securities on the payment of a dividend or making of a distribution by the
investment fund, and
(c) instructions on how the right referred to in paragraph (b) can be exercised.
(6) An investment fund that is a reporting issuer and is not in continuous distribution must provide the information required by subsection (5) in its prospectus, annual
information form or a material change report.
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.18.
3.19. (Repealed) Additional investment in investment funds
The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by an investment fund, or the investment fund manager of the fund, in a security of the investment fund's own issue with a security holder of the investment fund if
(a) the security holder initially acquired securities of the investment fund as principal for an acquisition cost of not less than \$150,000 paid in cash at the time of the trade,
(b) the trade is in respect of a security of the same class or series as the securities initially acquired, as described in paragraph (a), and
(c) the security holder, as at the date of the trade, holds securities of the investment fund that have
(i) an acquisition cost of not less than \$150,000, or
(ii) a net asset value of not less than \$150,000.
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.19.
3.20. (Repealed)Private investment club
The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security of an investment fund if the investment fund
(a) has no more than 50 beneficial security holders,
(b) does not seek and has never sought to borrow money from the public,
(c) does not and has never distributed its securities to the public,

- (d) does not pay or give any remuneration for investment management or administration advice in respect of trades in securities, except normal brokerage fees, and
- (e) for the purpose of financing the operations of the investment fund, requires security holders to make contributions in proportion to the value of the securities held by them.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.20.

3.21. (Repealed)Private investment fund - loan and trust pools

- (1) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security of an investment fund if the investment fund
- (a) is administered by a trust company or trust corporation that is registered or authorized by an enactment of Canada or a jurisdiction of Canada to carry on business in Canada or a jurisdiction of Canada,
- (b) has no promoter or investment fund manager other than the trust company or trust corporation referred to in paragraph (a), and
- (c) co-mingles the money of different estates and trusts for the purpose of facilitating investment.
- (2) Despite subsection (1), a trust company or trust corporation registered under the laws of Prince Edward Island that is not registered under the Trust and Loan Companies Act (S.C. 1991, c. 45) or under comparable legislation in another jurisdiction of Canada is not a trust company or trust corporation for the purpose of subparagraph (1)(a).
- (3) The investment fund manager registration requirement does not apply to a trust company or trust corporation that administers an investment fund referred to in subsection (1).

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.21.

DIVISION 4 (Repealed) Employee, Executive Officer, Director and Consultant Exemptions

3.22. (Repealed) Definitions

The definitions in Division 4 of Part 2 of this Regulation have the same meaning in this Division.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.22.

issuer, and

M.O. 2009-05, S. 3.22.
3.23. (Repealed)Interpretation
(1) In this Division, a person (first person) is considered to control another person (second person) if the first person, directly or indirectly, has the power to direct the management and policies of the second person by virtue of
——————————————————————————————————————
——————————————————————————————————————
(c) being the general partner or controlling the general partner of the second person, or
——————————————————————————————————————
(2) In this Division, participation in a trade is considered voluntary if
(a) in the case of an employee or the employee's permitted assign, the employee or the employee's permitted assign is not induced to participate in the trade by expectation of employment or continued employment of the employee with the issuer or a related entity of the issuer,
(b) in the case of an executive officer or the executive officer's permitted assign, the executive officer or the executive officer's permitted assign is not induced to participate in the trade by expectation of appointment, employment, continued appointment or continued employment of the executive officer with the issuer or a related entity of the issuer,

(c) in the case of a consultant or the consultant's permitted assign, the

consultant or the consultant's permitted assign is not induced to participate in the trade by expectation of engagement of the consultant to provide services or continued engagement of the consultant to provide services to the issuer or a related entity of the

(d) in the case of an employee of a consultant, the individual is not induced by the issuer, a related entity of the issuer, or the consultant to participate in the trade by expectation of employment or continued employment with the consultant. M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.23. 3.24. (Repealed) Employee, executive officer, director and consultant (1) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of (a) a trade by an issuer in a security of its own issue, or (b) a trade by a control person of an issuer in a security of the issuer or in an option to acquire a security of the issuer, with an employee, executive officer, director or consultant of the issuer, (d) an employee, executive officer, director or consultant of a related entity of the issuer, or (e) a permitted assign of a person referred to in paragraphs (c) or (d) if participation in the trade is voluntary. (2) For the purposes of subsection (1), a person referred to in paragraph (c), (d) or (e) includes a trustee, custodian or administrator acting as agent for that person for the purpose of facilitating a trade. (3) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of an act by a related entity of an issuer in furtherance of a trade referred to in subsection (1). M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.24. 3.25. (Repealed)Unlisted reporting issuer exception (1) For the purpose of this section, "unlisted reporting issuer" means a reporting issuer in a jurisdiction of Canada that is not a listed issuer. (2) Section 3.24 [Employee, executive officer, director and consultant] does not apply to a trade to an employee or consultant of the unlisted reporting issuer who is an investor relations person of the issuer, an associated consultant of the issuer, an executive officer of the issuer, a director of the issuer, or a permitted assign of those persons if, after the trade,

(a) the number of securities, calculated on a fully diluted basis, reserved
issuance under options granted to
(i) related persons, exceeds 10% of the outstanding securities of t
(ii) a related person, exceeds 5% of the outstanding securities of t
(b) the number of securities, calculated on a fully diluted basis, issu within 12 months to
(i) related persons, exceeds 10% of the outstanding securities of t
(ii) a related person and the associates of the related personexceeds 5% of the outstanding securities of the issuer.
(3) Subsection (2) does not apply to a trade if the unlisted reporting issuer
(a) obtains security holder approval, and
(b) before obtaining security holder approval, provides security holders w the following information in sufficient detail to permit security holders to form a reason judgment concerning the matter:
(i) the eligibility of employees, executive officers, directors, a consultants to be issued or granted securities as compensation or under a plan;
(ii) the maximum number of securities that may be issued, or in to case of options, the number of securities that may be issued on exercise of the option as compensation or under a plan;
(iii) particulars relating to any financial assistance or support agreement to be provided to participants by the issuer or any related entity of the issuer to facility the purchase of securities as compensation or under a plan, including whether the assistance or support is to be provided on a full-, part-, or non-recourse basis;
(iv) in the case of options, the maximum term and the basis for t determination of the exercise price;
(v) particulars relating to the options or other entitlements to granted as compensation or under a plan, including transferability; and

(vi) the number of votes attaching to securities that, to the issuer's knowledge at the time the information is provided, will not be included for the purpose of determining whether security holder approval has been obtained.			
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.25.			
3.26. (Repealed)Trades among current or former employees, executive officers, directors, or consultants of non-reporting issuer			
(1) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security of an issuer by			
(a) a current or former employee, executive officer, director, or consultant of the issuer or related entity of the issuer, or			
——————————————————————————————————————			
to			
(c) an employee, executive officer, director, or consultant of the issuer or a related entity of the issuer, or			
(d) a permitted assign of the employee, executive officer, director, or consultant.			
(2) The exemption in subsection (1) is only available if			
——————————————————————————————————————			
(b) the issuer of the security is not a reporting issuer in any jurisdiction of Canada, and			
(c) the price of the security being traded is established by a generally applicable formula contained in a written agreement among some or all of the security holders of the issuer to which the transferee is or will become a party.			
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.26.			
3.27. (Repealed)Permitted transferees			
(1) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security of an issuer acquired by a person described in section 3.24(1) [Employee, executive officer, director and consultant] under a plan of the issuer if the trade			
(a) is between			

(i) a person who is an employee, executive officer, director or
consultant of the issuer or a related entity of the issuer, and
(ii) the permitted assign of that person,
or
(b) is between permitted assigns of that person.
(2) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security of an issuer by a trustee, custodian or administrator acting on behalf, or for the benefit, of employees, executive officers, directors or consultants of the issuer or a related entity of the issuer, to
(a) an employee, executive officer, director or consultant of the issuer or a related entity of the issuer, or
(b) a permitted assign of a person referred to in paragraph (a),
if the security was acquired from
(c) an employee, executive officer, director or consultant of the issuer or a related entity of the issuer, or
(d) the permitted assign of a person referred to in paragraph (c).
(3) For the purposes of the exemptions in subsection (1) and paragraphs (2) (c) and (d), all references to employee, executive officer, director, or consultant include a former employee, executive officer, director, or consultant.
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.27.
3.28. (Repealed)Resale - non-reporting issuer
The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of the resale of a security that was acquired under this Division or by a person described in section 3.24(1) [Employee, executive officer, director, and consultant] if the conditions in section 2.14 of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20, are satisfied.
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.28.

DIVISION 5 (Repealed) Miscellaneous Exemptions 3.29. (Repealed)Isolated trade The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security by a person if the trade is an isolated trade and is not made by the issuer of the security, in the course of continued and successive transactions of a like nature, and by a person whose usual business is trading in securities. M.O. 2009-05. s. 3.29. 3.30. (Repealed)Isolated trade by issuer The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by an issuer in a security of its own issue if the trade is an isolated trade and is not made in the course of continued and successive transactions of a like nature, and by a person whose usual business is trading in securities. M.O. 2009-05. s. 3.30. 3.31. (Repealed)Dividends and distributions (1) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by an issuer in a security of its own issue to a security holder of the issuer as a dividend or distribution out of earnings, surplus, capital or other sources. The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by an issuer to a security holder of the issuer in a security of a reporting issuer as an in specie dividend or distribution out of earnings or surplus. M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.31.

3.32. (Repealed)Trade to lender by control person for collateral

The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security of an issuer to a lender, pledgee, mortgagee or other encumbrancer from the holdings of a control person of the issuer for the purpose of giving collateral for a bona fide debt of the control person.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.32.

3.33. (Repealed)Acting as underwriter

The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security between a person and a purchaser acting as an underwriter or between or among persons acting as underwriters.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.33.

3.34. (Repealed)Specified debt

- (1) In this section, "permitted supranational agency" means
- (a) the African Development Bank, established by the Agreement Establishing the African Development Bank which came into force on September 10, 1964, that Canada became a member of on December 30, 1982;
- (b) the Asian Development Bank, established under a resolution adopted by the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific in 1965:
- (d) the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, established by the Agreement Establishing the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development and approved by the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development Agreement Act (S.C. 1991, c. 12), that Canada is a founding member of;
- (e) the Inter-American Development Bank, established by the Agreement establishing the Inter-American Development Bank which became effective December 30, 1959, as amended from time to time, that Canada is a member of;
- (f) the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, established by the Agreement for an International Bank for Reconstruction and Development approved by the Bretton Woods and Related Agreements Act (R.S.C. 1985, c. B-7); and

(g) the International Finance Corporation, established by Articles of Agreement approved by the Bretton Woods and Related Agreements Act. (2) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in (a) a debt security issued by or guaranteed by the Government of Canada or the government of a jurisdiction of Canada, (b) a debt security issued by or guaranteed by a government of a foreign jurisdiction if the debt security has a designated rating from a designated rating organization or its DRO affiliate. (c) a debt security issued by or guaranteed by a municipal corporation in Canada, or secured by or payable out of rates or taxes levied under the law of a jurisdiction of Canada on property in the jurisdiction and collectable by or through the municipality in which the property is situated, (d) a debt security issued by or guaranteed by a Canadian financial institution or a Schedule III bank, other than debt securities that are subordinate in right of payment to deposits held by the issuer or guarantor of those debt securities, (e) a debt security issued by the Comité de gestion de la taxe scolaire de l'île de Montréal, or (f) a debt security issued by or guaranteed by a permitted supranational agency if the debt securities are payable in the currency of Canada or the United States of America. (3) Paragraphs (2)(a) and (c) do not apply in Ontario. M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.34; M.O. 2013-09, s. 4. 3.35. (Repealed)Short-term debt The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a negotiable promissory note or commercial paper maturing not more than one year from the date of issue, if the note or commercial paper traded (a) is not convertible or exchangeable into or accompanied by a right to purchase another security other than a security described in this section, and has a designated rating from a designated rating organization or its DRO affiliate. M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.35; M.O. 2013-09, s. 5.

3.36. (Repealed) Mortgages

- (1) In this section, "syndicated mortgage" means a mortgage in which 2 or more persons participate, directly or indirectly, as a lender in a debt obligation that is secured by the mortgage.
- (2) Except in Ontario, the dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a mortgage on real property in a jurisdiction of Canada by a person who is registered or licensed, or exempted from registration or licensing, under mortgage brokerage or mortgage dealer legislation of that jurisdiction.
- (3) In Alberta, British Columbia, Manitoba, Québec and Saskatchewan, subsection (2) does not apply in respect of a trade in a syndicated mortgage.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.36.

3.37. (Repealed) Personal property security legislation

Except in Ontario, the dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade to a person, other than an individual in a security evidencing indebtedness secured by or under a security agreement, secured in accordance with personal property security legislation of a jurisdiction of Canada that provides for the granting of security in personal property.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.37.

3.38. (Repealed)Not for profit issuer

The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by an issuer that is organized exclusively for educational, benevolent, fraternal, charitable, religious or recreational purposes and not for profit in a security of its own issue if

- (a) no part of the net earnings benefit any security holder of the issuer, and
- (b) no commission or other remuneration is paid in connection with the sale of the security.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.38.

3.39. (Repealed) Variable insurance contract

(1) In this section.

(a) "contract" "group insurance", "insurance company", "life insurance" and "policy" have the respective meanings assigned to them in the legislation for a jurisdiction referenced in Appendix A.

(b) "variable insurance contract" means a contract of life insurance under which the interest of the purchaser is valued for purposes of conversion or surrender by reference to the value of a proportionate interest in a specified portfolio of assets.
(2) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a variable insurance contract by an insurance company if the variable insurance contract is
——————————————————————————————————————
(b) a whole life insurance contract providing for the payment at maturity of an amount not less than 75% of the premium paid up to age 75 years for a benefit payable at maturity,
(c) an arrangement for the investment of policy dividends and policy proceeds in a separate and distinct fund to which contributions are made only from policy dividends and policy proceeds, or
——————————————————————————————————————
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.39.
3.40. (Repealed)RRSP/RRIF/TFSA
The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security between
——————————————————————————————————————
(b) a RRSP, RRIF, or TFSA
(i) established for or by the individual, or
(ii) under which the individual is a beneficiary.
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.40.
3.41. (Repealed)Schedule III banks and cooperative associations – evidence of deposit
Except in Ontario, the dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in an evidence of deposit issued by a Schedule III bank or an association governed by the Cooperative Credit Associations Act (S.C. 1991, c. 48).
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.41.

3.42.	(Repealed)Conversion, exchange, or exercise
(1) issuer	The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by an
issuel	(a) the issuer trades a security of its own issue to a security holder of the r in accordance with the terms and conditions of a security previously issued by ssuer, or
	(b) the issuer trades a security of a reporting issuer held by it to a security r of the issuer in accordance with the terms and conditions of a security previously d by that issuer.
(2)	Subsection (1)(b) does not apply unless
autho	(a) the issuer has given the regulator or, in Québec, the securities regulatory rity, prior written notice stating the date, amount, nature and conditions of the and
parag the is relatin	(b) the regulator or, in Québec, the securities regulatory authority, has not ted in writing to the trade within 10 days of receipt of the notice referred to in traph (a) or, if the regulator or securities regulatory authority objects to the trade, esuer must deliver to the regulator or securities regulatory authority informationing to the securities that is satisfactory to and accepted by the regulator or ities regulatory authority.
<i>M.O.</i> 2	2009-05, s. 3.42.
3.43.	(Repealed)Self-directed registered educational savings plans
RESF	The dealer registration requirement does not apply to a trade in a self-directed to a subscriber if
	(a) the trade is made by
behali	(i) a dealing representative of a mutual fund dealer who is acting on for the mutual fund dealer,
	(ii) a Canadian financial institution, or,
	(iii) in Ontario, a financial intermediary, and
in whi	(b) the self-directed RESP restricts its investments in securities to securities ich the person who trades the self-directed RESP is permitted to trade.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.43.
3.44. (Repealed)Registered dealer
The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by person acting solely through an agent who is a registered dealer.
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.44.
3.45. (Repealed) Exchange contract
(1) In Alberta, British Columbia, Québec and Saskatchewan, the dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of the following trades in exchange contracts:
(a) a trade by a person acting solely through a registered dealer;
(b) a trade resulting from an unsolicited order placed with an individual who in not a resident of and does not carry on business in the jurisdiction;
(c) a trade that may occasionally be transacted by employees of a registered dealer if the employees
(i) do not usually trade in exchange contracts, and
(ii) have been designated by the regulator or, in Québec, the securitie regulatory authority, as "non-trading" employees, either individually or as a class.
(2) An individual referred to in subsection (1)(b) must not
(a) advertise or engage in promotional activity that is directed to persons in the jurisdiction during the 6 months preceding the trade, and
(b) pay any commission or finder's fee to any person in the jurisdiction is connection with the trade.
(3) Subsection (1)(b) does not apply in Saskatchewan.
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.45.
3.46. (Repealed) Estates, bankruptcies, and liquidations
The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by person acting under the authority of
——————————————————————————————————————

(b) a will, or
——————————————————————————————————————
in the course of enforcing legal obligations or administering the affairs of another person.
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.46.
3.47. (Repealed) Employees of registered dealer
The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by an employee of a registered dealer in a security if the employee does not usually trade in securities and has been designated or accepted by the regulator or, in Québec, the securities regulatory authority, as a "non-trading" employee, either individually or as a class.
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.47.
3.48. (Repealed)Small security holder selling and purchase arrangements
(1) For the purposes of this section
"exchange" means
(a) TSX Inc.,
——————————————————————————————————————
——————————————————————————————————————
(i) has a policy that is substantially similar to the policy of the TSX Inc., and
(ii) is designated by the securities regulatory authority for the purpose of this section;
"policy" means
(a) in the case of the TSX Inc., sections 638 and 639 [Odd lot selling and purchase arrangements] of the TSX Company Manual as amended from time to time,
(b) in the case of the TSX Venture Exchange Inc., Policy 5.7 Small Shareholder Selling and Purchase Arrangements as amended from time to time, or

(c) in the case of an exchange referred to in paragraph (c) of the definition
of "exchange", the rule, policy or other similar instrument of the exchange on small
shareholder selling and purchase arrangements.
(2) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by an
issuer or its agent, in securities of the issuer that are listed on an exchange if
(a) the treele is an est in furthernous of monticipation by the helders of the
(a) the trade is an act in furtherance of participation by the holders of the
securities in an arrangement that is in accordance with the policy of that exchange,
(b) the issuer and its agent do not provide advice to a security holder about
the security holder's participation in the arrangement referred to in paragraph (a), other
than a description of the arrangement's operation, procedures for participation in the
arrangement, or both,
(c) the trade is made in accordance with the policy of that exchange, without
resort to an exemption from, or variation of, the significant subject matter of the policy,
and
(d) at the time of the trade after giving effect to a purchase under the
arrangement, the market value of the maximum number of securities that a security
holder is permitted to hold in order to be eligible to participate in the arrangement is not
more than \$25,000.
(3) For the purposes of subsection (2)(c), an exemption from, or variation of, the
maximum number of securities that a security holder is permitted to hold under a policy
in order to be eligible to participate in the arrangement provided for in the policy is not
an exemption from, or variation of, the significant subject matter of the policy.
an exemplion nom, or variation of, are eigennounce easyone matter or are penely.
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.48.
3.49. (Repealed)Adviser
The adviser registration requirement does not apply to
(a) the following persons if performance of services as an adviser are
incidental to their principal business or occupation:
(i) a Canadian financial institution and a Schedule III bank:
(1) a Gariadian indical institution and a Goricalic in Barin,
(ii) the Business Development Bank of Canada continued under the
Business Development Bank of Canada Act (S.C. 1995, c. 28);
(iii) a société d'entraide économique or the Fédération des sociétés
d'entraide économique du Québec governed by the Act respecting the sociétés
d'entraide économique (chapter S.25.1):

if that individual
A) does not recommend securities of an issuer in which the individual has an interest, and
Individual nas an interest, and
B) does not receive remuneration for the performance services as an adviser separate from remuneration received by that individual for practicing in their professions;
(v) a registered dealer or any partner, officer or employee of registered dealer;
or
(b) a publisher or a writer for a newspaper, news magazine or business financial journal or periodical, however delivered, that is of general and regular pacirculation, and only available to subscribers for value, or purchasers of it, if the publisher or writer
——————————————————————————————————————
(ii) has no interest either directly or indirectly in any of the securities of which that individual gives advice, and
(iii) receives no commission or other consideration for giving the advice other than for acting in that person's capacity as a publisher or writer.
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.49.
3.50. (Repealed)Investment dealer acting as portfolio manager
(1) The adviser registration requirement does not apply to a registered investment dealer who manages the investment portfolios of its clients through discretional authority granted by the clients if the investment dealer is a member of the Investment Industry Regulatory Organization of Canada and the advising activities are conducted accordance with the rules of the Investment Industry Regulatory Organization Canada.
(2) Any partner, director, officer or employee of a registered investment dealer referred to in subsection (1) who manages an investment portfolio for the registered investment dealer must be registered under the securities legislation of the jurisdiction to trade in securities.
M.O. 2009-05, s. 3.50.

PART 4 CONTROL BLOCK DISTRIBUTIONS

4.1. Control block distributions

- (1) In this Part "control block distribution" means a trade to which the provisions of securities legislation listed in Appendix B apply.
- (2) Terms defined or interpreted in Regulation 62-103 respecting the Early Warning System and Related Takeover Bid and Insider Reporting Issues (chapter V-1.1, r. 34) and used in this Part have the same meaning as is assigned to them in that Regulation.
- (3) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a control block distribution by an eligible institutional investor of a reporting issuer's securities if
 - (a) the eligible institutional investor
- (i) has filed the reports required under the early warning requirements or files the reports required under Part 4 of Regulation 62-103 respecting the Early Warning System and Related Takeover Bid and Insider Reporting Issues,
- (ii) does not have knowledge of any material fact or material change with respect to the reporting issuer that has not been generally disclosed,
- (iii) does not receive in the ordinary course of its business and investment activities knowledge of any material fact or material change with respect to the reporting issuer that has not been generally disclosed, and
- (iv) either alone or together with any joint actors, does not possess effective control of the reporting issuer,
- (b) there are no directors or officers of the reporting issuer who were, or could reasonably be seen to have been, selected, nominated or designated by the eligible institutional investor or any joint actor,
- (c) the control block distribution is made in the ordinary course of business or investment activity of the eligible institutional investor,
- (d) securities legislation would not require the securities to be held for a specified period of time if the trade was not a control block distribution,
- (e) no unusual effort is made to prepare the market or to create a demand for the securities, and
- (f) no extraordinary commission or consideration is paid in respect of the control block distribution.

(4) An eligible institutional investor that makes a distribution in reliance on subsection (3) must file a letter within 10 days after the distribution that describes the date and size of the distribution, the market on which it was made and the price at which the securities being distributed were sold.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 4.1.

4.2. Distributions by a control person after a take-over bid

- (1) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution in a security from the holdings of a control person acquired under a take-over bid for which a take-over bid circular was issued and filed if
- (a) the issuer whose securities are being acquired under the take-over bid has been a reporting issuer for at least 4 months at the date of the take-over bid,
- (b) the intention to make the distribution is disclosed in the take-over bid circular issued in respect of the take-over bid,
- (c) the distribution is made within the period beginning on the date of the expiry of the bid and ending 20 days after that date,
- (d) a notice of intention to distribute securities in Form 45-102F1, Notice of Intention to Distribute Securities under Section 2.8 of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20) under Regulation 45-102 is filed before the distribution,
- (e) an insider report of the distribution in Form 55-102F2, Insider Report or Form 55-102F6, Insider Report, as applicable, under National Instrument 55-102, System for Electronic Disclosure by Insiders (SEDI), (chapter V-1.1, r. 30), is filed within 3 days after the completion of the distribution,
- (f) no unusual effort is made to prepare the market or to create a demand for the security, and
- (g) no extraordinary commission or consideration is paid in respect of the distribution.
- (2) A control person referred to in subsection (1) is not required to comply with subsection (1) (b) if
- (a) another person makes a competing take-over bid for securities of the issuer for which the take-over bid circular is issued, and

(b) the control person sells those securities to that other person for a consideration that is not greater than the consideration offered by that other person under its take-over bid.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 4.2.

PART 5 OFFERINGS BY TSX VENTURE EXCHANGE OFFERING DOCUMENT

5.1. Application and interpretation

- (1) This Part does not apply in Ontario.
- (2) In this Part

"exchange policy" means Exchange Policy 4.6 - Public Offering by Short Form Offering Document and Exchange Form 4H - Short Form Offering Document, of the TSX Venture Exchange as amended from time to time;

"gross proceeds" means the gross proceeds that are required to be paid to the issuer for listed securities distributed under a TSX Venture exchange offering document;

"listed security" means a security of a class listed on the TSX Venture Exchange;

"prior exchange offering" means a distribution of securities by an issuer under a TSX Venture exchange offering document that was completed during the 12-month period immediately preceding the date of the TSX Venture exchange offering document;

"subsequently triggered report" means a material change report that must be filed no later than 10 days after a material change under securities legislation as a result of a material change that occurs after the date the TSX Venture exchange offering document is certified but before a purchaser enters into an agreement of purchase and sale;

"TSX Venture Exchange" means the TSX Venture Exchange Inc.;

"TSX Venture exchange offering document" means an offering document that complies with the exchange policy;

"warrant" means a warrant of an issuer distributed under a TSX Venture exchange offering document that entitles the holder to acquire a listed security or a portion of a listed security of the same issuer.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 5.1.

5.2. TSX Venture Exchange offering

Refer to Appendix D of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20). These securities are free trading unless the security is acquired by

- (i) a purchaser that, at the time the security was acquired, was an insider or promoter of the issuer of the security, an underwriter of the issuer, or a member of the underwriter's professional group, or
- (ii) any other purchaser in excess of \$40,000 for the portion of the securities in excess of \$40,000.

The first trade by purchasers under (i) and (ii) are subject to a restricted period.

This text box does not form part of this Regulation and has no official status.

The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an issuer in a security of its own issue if

- (a) the issuer has filed an AIF in a jurisdiction of Canada,
- (b) the issuer is a SEDAR filer,
- (c) the issuer is a reporting issuer in a jurisdiction of Canada and has filed in a jurisdiction of Canada
 - (i) a TSX Venture exchange offering document,
- (ii) all documents required to be filed under the securities legislation of that jurisdiction, and
 - (iii) any subsequently triggered report,
- (d) the distribution is of listed securities or units consisting of listed securities and warrants,
- (e) the issuer has filed with the TSX Venture Exchange a TSX Venture exchange offering document in respect of the distribution, that
- (i) incorporates by reference the following documents of the issuer filed with the securities regulatory authority in any jurisdiction of Canada:
 - A) the AIF,

- B) the most recent annual financial statements and the MD&A relating to those financial statements,
- C) all unaudited interim financial reports and the MD&A relating to those financial statements, filed after the date of the AIF but before or on the date of the TSX Venture exchange offering document,
- D) all material change reports filed after the date of the AIF but before or on the date of the TSX Venture exchange offering document, and
- E) all documents required under Regulation 43-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (chapter V-1.1, r. 15) and Regulation 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities (chapter. V-1.1, r. 23), filed on or after the date of the AIF but before or on the date of the TSX Venture exchange offering document,
- (ii) deems any subsequently triggered report required to be delivered to a purchaser under this Part to be incorporated by reference,
- (iii) grants to purchasers contractual rights of action in the event of a misrepresentation, as required by the exchange policy,
- (iv) grants to purchasers contractual rights of withdrawal, as required by the exchange policy, and
 - (v) contains all the certificates required by the exchange policy,
 - (f) the distribution is conducted in accordance with the exchange policy,
- (g) the issuer or the underwriter delivers the TSX Venture exchange offering document and any subsequently triggered report to each purchaser
- (i) before the issuer or the underwriter enters into the written confirmation of purchase and sale resulting from an order or subscription for securities being distributed under the TSX Venture exchange offering document, or
- (ii) not later than midnight on the 2nd business day after the agreement of purchase and sale is entered into,
- (h) the listed securities issued under the TSX Venture exchange offering document, when added to the listed securities of the same class issued under prior exchange offerings, do not exceed

- (i) the number of securities of the same class outstanding immediately before the issuer distributes securities of the same class under the TSX Venture exchange offering document, or
- (ii) the number of securities of the same class outstanding immediately before a prior exchange offering,
- (i) the gross proceeds under the TSX Venture exchange offering document, when added to the gross proceeds from prior exchange offerings do not exceed \$2,000,000,
- (j) no purchaser acquires more than 20% of the securities distributed under the TSX Venture exchange offering document, and
- (k) no more than 50% of the securities distributed under the TSX Venture exchange offering document are subject to section 2.5 of Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities (chapter V-1.1, r. 20).

M.O. 2009-05, s. 5.2; M.O. 2010-17, s. 2.

5.3. Underwriter obligations

An underwriter that qualifies as a "sponsor" under TSX Venture Exchange Policy 2.2 - Sponsorship and Sponsorship Requirements as amended from time to time must sign the TSX Venture exchange offering document and comply with TSX Venture Exchange Appendix 4A - Due Diligence Report in connection with the distribution.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 5.3.

PART 6 REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

6.1. Report of exempt distribution

- (1) Issuers that distribute their own securities and underwriters that distribute securities they acquired under section 2.33 must file a report if they make the distribution under one or more of the following exemptions:
- (a) section 2.3 [Accredited investor] <u>or, in Ontario, section 73.3 of the Securities Act (R.S.O., 1990, chapter S.5) [Accredited investor];</u>
 - (b) section 2.5 [Family, friends and business associates];
- (c) subsection 2.9 (1) or (2) [Offering memorandum for Alberta, B.C., Manitoba, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, Newfoundland and Labrador, Northwest Territories, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Québec, Saskatchewan and Yukon];

- (d) section 2.10 [Minimum amount investment];
- (e) section 2.12 [Asset acquisition];
- (f) section 2.13 [Petroleum, natural gas and mining properties];
- (g) section 2.14 [Securities for debt];
- (h) section 2.19 [Additional investment in investment funds];
- (i) section 2.30 [Isolated distribution by issuer];
- (j) section 5.2 [TSX Venture Exchange offering].
- (2) The issuer or underwriter must file the report in the jurisdiction where the distribution takes place no later than 10 days after the distribution.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 6.1.

6.2. When report not required

- (1) An issuer is not required to file a report under section 6.1(1)(a) [Report of exempt distribution] for a distribution of a debt security of its own issue or, concurrently with the distribution of the debt security, an equity security of its own issue, to a Canadian financial institution or a Schedule III bank.
- (2) An investment fund is not required to file a report under section 6.1 [Report of exempt distribution] for a distribution under section 2.3 [Accredited investor], section 2.10 [Minimum amount] or section 2.19 [Additional investment in investment funds], or section 73.3 of the Securities Act (R.S.O., 1990, chapter S.5) of Ontario [Accredited investor], if the investment fund files the report not later than 30 days after the financial year-end of the investment fund.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 6.2; M.O. 2010-17, s. 3.

6.3. Required form of report of exempt distribution

- (1) The required form of report under section 6.1 is:
 - (a) Form 45-106F1 in all jurisdictions except British Columbia; and
 - (b) Form 45-106F6 in British Columbia
- (2) Except in Manitoba, an issuer that makes a distribution under an exemption from a prospectus requirement not provided for in this Regulation is exempt from the requirements in securities legislation to file a report of exempt trade or exempt

distribution in the required form if the issuer files a report of exempt distribution in accordance with Form 45-106F1 or, in British Columbia, Form 45-106F6.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 6.3.

6.4. Required form of offering memorandum

- (1) The required form of offering memorandum under section 2.9 or section 3.9 [Offering memorandum] is Form 45-106F2.
- (2) Despite subsection (1), a qualifying issuer may prepare an offering memorandum in accordance with Form 45-106F3.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 6.4.

6.5. Required form of risk acknowledgement

- (0.1) The required form of risk acknowledgement under subsection 2.3(6) [Accredited investor] is Form 45-106F9.
- (1) The required form of risk acknowledgement under subsection 2.9(15) [Offering memorandum] is Form 45-106F4.
- (2) In Saskatchewan, the required form of risk acknowledgement under section 2.6 or section 3.6 [Family, friends and business associates] is Form 45-106F5.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 6.5; M.O. 2010-17, s. 4.

6.6. Use of information in Form 45-106F6 Schedule I <u>– British Columbia</u>

A person must not, directly or indirectly, use the information in Schedule I of a completed Form 45-106F6, in whole or in part, for any purpose other than research concerning the issuer for the person's own investment purpose.

N11-316, s. 2.

6.7. Exceptions to the requirement to file all or part of Form 45-106F6 – British Columbia

- (1) For the purposes of paragraph 6.3(1)(b), an investment fund or an underwriter distributing securities of an investment fund may file Form 45-106F1 instead of Form 45-106F6.
- (2) For the purposes of paragraph 6.3(1)(b), a non-reporting issuer or an underwriter distributing securities of a non-reporting issuer may file Form 45-106F1 instead of Form 45 106F6 if both of the following apply:

- (a) the issuer or underwriter states in item 2 of the Form 45-106F1 that it is relying on the exemption in subsection 6.7(2);
- (b) the distribution in British Columbia was made only to a person that is a "permitted client" as defined in Regulation 31-103 respecting Registration Requirements, Exemptions and Ongoing Registrant Obligations (chapter V-1.1, r. 10).
- (3) An issuer or an underwriter is not required to provide the information in item 4 of Form 45-106F6, if all of the following apply:
- (a) the issuer is a foreign public-issuer, a subsidiary of a foreign public-issuer or a subsidiary of a reporting issuer;
- (b) in the case of an issuer that is a subsidiary of a foreign public-issuer or a reporting issuer, all of the following apply:
- (i) all of the subsidiary's outstanding voting securities are beneficially owned by the foreign public-issuer or reporting issuer, except those securities required by law to be owned by directors of the subsidiary;
- (ii) the issuer or underwriter states the name of the foreign publicissuer or reporting issuer in item 2B of the Form 45-106F6;
- (c) the issuer or underwriter states in item 2B of Form 45-106F6: "We are relying on the exception in subsection 6.7(3)".
- (4) For purposes of subsection (3), "foreign public-issuer" means an issuer
- (a) that has a class of securities registered under section 12 of the 1934 Act or is required to file reports under section 15(d) of the 1934 Act; or
- (b) that is required to provide disclosure relating to the issuer and the trading in its securities to the public, to securityholders of the issuer or to a regulatory authority and that disclosure is publicly available in a foreign jurisdiction referred to in subsection (5).
- (5) For purposes of subsection (4), the foreign jurisdictions are Australia, France, Germany, Hong Kong, Italy, Japan, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Singapore, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland or the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

PART 7 EXEMPTION

7.1. Exemption

- (1) The regulator or the securities regulatory authority may grant an exemption to this Regulation, in whole or in part, subject to such conditions or restrictions as may be imposed in the exemption.
- (2) In Ontario, only the regulator may grant an exemption and only from Part 6, in whole or in part, subject to such conditions or restrictions as may be imposed in the exemption.
- (3) Except in Ontario, an exemption referred to in subsection (1) is granted under the statute referred to in Appendix B of Regulation 14-101 respecting Definitions (chapter V-1.1, r. 3), opposite the name of the local jurisdiction.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 7.1.

PART 8 TRANSITIONAL, COMING INTO FORCE

8.1. Additional investment – investment funds – exemption from prospectus requirement

- (1) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution by an investment fund in a security of its own issue to a purchaser that initially acquired the security as principal before September 28, 2009 if
 - (a) the security was initially acquired under any of the following provisions:
- (i) in Alberta, sections 86(e) and 131(1)(d) of the Securities Act (R.S.A. 2000, c. S-4) as they existed prior to their repeal by sections 9(a) and 13 of the Securities Amendment Act (Alberta), 2003 SA c.32 and sections 66.2 and 122.2 of the Alberta Securities Commission Rules (General) (Alta. Reg. 46/87);
- (ii) in British Columbia, sections 45(2) (5) and (22), and 74(2) (4) and (19) of the Securities Act (R.S.B.C. 1996, ch. 418),
- (iii) in Manitoba, sections 19(3) and 58(1)(a) of the Securities Act (C.C.S.M. c. S50) and section 90 of the Securities Regulation MR 491/88R;
- (iv) in New Brunswick, section 2.8 of Local Rule 45-501 Prospectus and Registration Exemptions;
- (v) in Newfoundland and Labrador, sections 36(1)(e) and 73(1)(d) of the Securities Act:

- (vi) in Nova Scotia, sections 41(1)(e) and 77(1)(d) of the Securities Act (R.S.N.S. 1989, c. 418);
 - (vii) in Northwest Territories, section 3(c) and (z) of Blanket Order No. 1;
 - (viii) in Nunavut, section 3(c) and (z) of Blanket Order No. 1;
- (ix) in Ontario, sections 35(1)5 and 72(1)(d) of the Securities Act and section 2.12 of Ontario Securities Commission Rule 45-501 Exempt Distributions ((2004) 27 OSCB 433) that came into force on January 12, 2004;
- (x) in Prince Edward Island, section 2(3)(d) of the Securities Act (R.S.P.E.I. 1988, c. S-3) and Prince Edward Island Local Rule 45-512 Exempt Distributions Exemption for Purchase of Mutual Fund Securities;
- (xi) in Québec, section 51 and 155.1(2) of the Securities Act (chapter V-1.1) (Québec);
- (xii) in Saskatchewan, sections 39(1)(e) and 81(1)(d) of the The Securities Act, 1988 (S.S. 1988, c. S-42.2);
- (b) the distribution is of a security of the same class or series as the initial distribution, and
- (c) the security holder, as at the date of the distribution, holds securities of the investment fund that have
- (i) an acquisition cost of not less than the minimum amount prescribed by securities legislation referred to in paragraph (a) under which the initial distribution was conducted, or
- (ii) a net asset value of not less than the minimum amount prescribed by securities legislation referred to in paragraph (a) under which the initial distribution was conducted.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 8.1

Since March 27, 2010, Section 8.1.1 does not apply in any jurisdiction.

- 8.1.1. <u>(Repealed)</u>Additional investment investment funds exemption from registration requirement
- (1) After March 27, 2010, this section 8.1.1 does not apply in any jurisdiction.

(2) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade by an
investment fund in a security of its own issue to a purchaser that initially acquired the
security as principal before September 28, 2009 if
(a) the security was initially acquired under any of the following provisions:
(i) in Alberta, sections 86(e) and 131(1)(d) of the Securities Act as
they existed prior to their repeal by sections 9(a) and 13 of the Securities Amendment
Act 2003 (S.A. 2003, c.32) and sections 66.2 and 122.2 of the Alberta Securities
Commission Rules (General);
Commission realist
(ii) in Pritich Columbia sections $45(2)$ (5) and (22) and $74(2)$ (4)
(ii) in British Columbia, sections 45(2) (5) and (22), and 74(2) (4)
and (19) of the Securities Act;
("") - '- Mariful(" 40/0") 50/4"/-) - f (
(iii) in Manitoba, sections 19(3) and 58(1)(a) of the Securities Act and
section 90 of the Securities Regulation MR 491/88R;
(iv) in New Brunswick, section 2.8 of Local Rule 45-501 Prospectus
and Registration Exemptions;
(v) in Newfoundland and Labrador, sections 36(1)(e) and 73(1)(d) of
the Securities Act;
(vi) in Nova Scotia, sections 41(1)(e) and 77(1)(d) of the Securities Act;
(vii) in Northwest Territories, section 3(c) and (z) of Blanket Order No.1
of the Northwest Territories Securities Registry;
(viii) in Nunavut, section 3(c) and (z) of Blanket Order No.1 of the
Registrar of Securities;
(ix) in Ontario, sections 35(1)5 and 72(1)(d) of the Securities Act and
section 2.12 of Ontario Securities Commission Rule 45-501 Exempt Distributions that
came into force on January 12, 2004;
(x) in Prince Edward Island, section 2(3)(d) of the Securities Act and
Prince Edward Island Local Rule 45-512 - Exempt Distributions - Exemption for
Purchase of Mutual Fund Securities of the Securities Office:
(xi) in Québec, former section 51 and former paragraph 155.1(2) of the
Securities Act (chapter V-1.1);
(xii) in Saskatchewan, sections 39(1)(e) and 81(1)(d) of the The
Securities Act, 1988;

(c) the security holder, as at the date of the trade, holds securities of the investment fund that have

(i) an acquisition cost of not less than the minimum amount prescribed by securities legislation referred to in paragraph (a) under which the initial trade was conducted, or

(ii) a net asset value of not less than the minimum amount prescribed by securities legislation referred to in paragraph (a) under which the initial trade was conducted.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 8.1.1.

8.2. Definition of "accredited investor" – investment fund

An investment fund that distributed its securities to persons pursuant to any of the following provisions is an investment fund under paragraph (n)(ii) of the definition of "accredited investor":

- (a) in Alberta, sections 86(e) and 131(1)(d) of the Securities Act as they existed prior to their repeal by sections 9(a) and 13 of the Securities Amendment Act and sections 66.2 and 122.2 of the Alberta Securities Commission Rules (General) (Alta. Reg. 46/87);
- (b) in British Columbia, sections 45(2) (5) and (22), and 74(2) (4) and (19) of the Securities Act (R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 418);
- (c) in Manitoba, sections 19(3) and 58(1)(a) of the Securities Act and section 90 of the Securities Regulation MR 491/88R;
- (d) in New Brunswick, section 2.8 of Local Rule 45-501 Prospectus and Registration Exemptions;
- (e) in Newfoundland and Labrador, sections 36(1)(e) and 73(1)(d) of the Securities Act;
- (f) in Nova Scotia, sections 41(1)(e) and 77(1)(d) of the Securities Act (R.S.N.S. 1989, c. 418);
 - (g) in Northwest Territories, section 3(c) and (z) of Blanket Order No. 2;
 - (h) in Nunavut, section 3(c) and (z) of Blanket Order No. 3;

- (i) in Ontario, sections 35(1)5 and 72(1)(d) of the Securities Act and section 2.12 of Ontario Securities Commission Rule 45-501 Exempt Distributions ((2004) 27 OSCB 433) that came into force on January 12, 2004;
- (j) in Prince Edward Island, section 2(3)(d) of the Securities Act (R.S.P.E.I. 1988, c. S-3(Prince Edward Island) and Prince Edward Island Local Rule 45-512 -Exempt Distributions Exemption for Purchase of Mutual Fund Securities;
- (k) in Québec, former section 51 and former paragraph 155.1(2) of the Securities Act (chapter V-1.1) as it read prior to September 28, 2009;
- (I) in Saskatchewan, sections 39(1)(e) and 81(1)(d) of the The Securities Act (S.S. 1988., c. S-42.2)

M.O. 2009-05, s. 8.2.

8.3. Transition – Closely-held issuer – exemption from prospectus requirement

- (1) In this section, "2001 OSC Rule 45-501" means the Ontario Securities Commission Rule 45-501 Exempt Distributions that came into force on November 30, 2001; "2004 OSC Rule 45-501" means the Ontario Securities Commission Rule 45-501 Exempt Distributions that came into force on January 12, 2004; "closely-held issuer" has the same meaning as in 2004 OSC Rule 45-501;
- (2) The prospectus requirement does not apply to a distribution of a security that was previously distributed by a closely-held issuer under section 2.1 of 2001 OSC Rule 45-501, or under section 2.1 of 2004 OSC Rule 45-501, to a person who purchases the security as principal and is
 - (a) a director, officer, employee, founder or control person of the issuer,
- (b) a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister or child of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,
- (c) a parent, grandparent, brother, sister or child of the spouse of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,
- (d) a close personal friend of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,
- (e) a close business associate of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,
- (f) a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister or child of the selling security holder or of the selling security holder's spouse,

- (g) a security holder of the issuer,
- (h) an accredited investor,
- (i) a person of which a majority of the voting securities are beneficially owned by, or a majority of the directors are, persons described in paragraphs (a) to (h),
- (j) a trust or estate of which all of the beneficiaries or a majority of the trustees or executors are persons described in paragraphs (a) to (h), or
 - (k) a person that is not the public.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 8.3.

Note: Since March 27, 2010, Section 8.3.1 does not apply in any jurisdiction.

8.3.1. <u>(Repealed)</u>Transition - Closely-held issuer - exemption from registration requirement

- (1) After March 27, 2010, this section 8.3.1 does not apply in any jurisdiction.
- (2) In this section,

<u>"2001 OSC Rule 45-501" means the Ontario Securities Commission Rule 45-501</u> <u>Exempt Distributions ((2001) 24 OSCB 7011) that came into force on November 30, 2001;</u>

"2004 OSC Rule 45-501" means the Ontario Securities Commission Rule 45-501 Exempt Distributions that came into force on January 12, 2004;

"closely-held issuer" has the same meaning as in 2004 OSC Rule 45-501;

- (3) The dealer registration requirement does not apply in respect of a trade in a security that was previously distributed by a closely-held issuer under section 2.1 of 2001 OSC Rule 45-501 or under section 2.1 of 2004 OSC Rule 45-501 to a person who purchases the security as principal and is
 - (a) a director, officer, employee, founder or control person of the issuer,
- (b) a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister or child of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,
- (c) a parent, grandparent, brother, sister or child of the spouse of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,

(d) a close personal friend of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,

(e) a close business associate of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer,

(f) a spouse, parent, grandparent, brother, sister or child of the selling security holder or of the selling security holder's spouse,

(g) a security holder of the issuer,

(h) an accredited investor,

(i) a person of which a majority of the voting securities are beneficially owned by, or a majority of the directors are, persons described in paragraphs (a) to (h),

(j) a trust or estate of which all of the beneficiaries or a majority of the trustees or executors are persons described in paragraphs (a) to (h), or

(k) a person that is not the public.

8.4. Transition – reinvestment plan

Despite subsection 2.2(5) or 3.2(5), if an issuer's reinvestment plan was established before September 28, 2009, and provides for the distribution of a security that is of a different class or series than the class or series of the security to which the dividend or distribution is attributable, the issuer or the trustee, custodian or administrator of the plan must provide to each person who is already a participant the description of the material attributes and characteristics of the securities traded under the plan or notice of a source from which the participant can obtain the information not later than 140 days after the next financial year end of the issuer ending on or after September 28, 2009.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 8.4.

M.O. 2009-05, s. 8.3.1.

8.5. (Repealed) Application of Part 3 of this Regulation

On March 27, 2010, Part 3 does not apply in any jurisdiction.

M.O. 2009-05. s. 8.5.

8.6. Repeal of former regulation

This Regulation replaces Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions (M.O. 2005-20, 05-08-12).

M.O. 2009-05, s. 8.6.

8.7. Effective date

(Omitted)

M.O. 2009-05, s. 8.7.

APPENDIX A VARIABLE INSURANCE CONTRACT EXEMPTION (section 2.39)

JURISDICTION	LEGISLATION REFERENCE
ALBERTA	"contract of insurance", "group insurance", "life insurance", and "policy" have the respective meanings assigned to them under the Insurance Act (R.S.A. 2000, c. I-3) and the regulations under that Act.
	"insurance company" means an insurer as defined in the Insurance Act that is licensed under that Act.
BRITISH COLUMBIA	"contract", "group insurance", and "policy" have the respective meanings assigned to them under the Insurance Act (R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 226) and the regulations under that Act.
	"life insurance" has the respective meaning assigned to it under the Financial Institutions Act (R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 141) and the regulations under that Act.
	"insurance company" means an insurance company, or an extraprovincial insurance corporation, authorized to carry on insurance business under the Financial Institutions Act (R.S.B.C. 1996, c. 141).
MANITOBA	"contract of insurance", "group insurance", "life insurance", and "policy" have the respective meanings assigned to them under the Insurance Act (C.C.S.M. c.I-40) and the regulations under that Act.
	"insurance company" means an insurer as defined in the Insurance Act that is licensed under that Act.
NEW BRUNSWICK	"contract of insurance", "group insurance", "life insurance", and "policy" have the respective meanings assigned to them under the Insurance Act (R.S.N.B. 1973, c. I-12) and the regulations under that Act.
	"insurance company" means an insurer as defined in the Insurance Act that is licensed under that Act.
NORTHWEST TERRITORIES	"contract", "group insurance", "life insurance", and "policy" have the respective meanings assigned to them under the Insurance Act (R.S.N.W.T. 188, c.I-4).
	"insurance company" means an insurer as defined in the Insurance Act that is licensed under that Act.
NOVA SCOTIA	"contract", "group insurance", "life insurance", and "policy" have the respective meanings assigned to them under the Insurance Act (R.S.N.S. 1989, c. 231) and the regulations under that Act.
	"insurance company" has the same meaning as in section 3(1)(a) of the General Securities Rules (N.S. Reg. 51/96).

JURISDICTION	LEGISLATION REFERENCE
ONTARIO	"contract", "group insurance", and "policy" have the respective meanings assigned to them in section 1 and 171 of the Insurance Act (R.S.O. 1990, c. I-8).
	"life insurance" has the respective meaning assigned to it in Schedule 1 by Order of the Superintendent of Financial Services.
	"insurance company" has the same meaning as in section 1(2) of the General Regulation (R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 1015).
QUÉBEC	"contract of insurance", "group insurance", "life insurance", and "policy" have the respective meanings assigned to them under the Civil Code of Québec (1991, chapter 64).
	"insurance company" means an insurer holding a license under the Act respecting insurance (chapter A-32).
PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	"contract", "group insurance", "insurer", "life insurance" and "policy" have the respective meanings assigned to them in sections 1 and 174 of the Insurance Act (R.S.P.E.I. 1988, C.I-4).
	"insurance company" means an insurance company licensed under the Insurance Act.
SASKATCHEWAN	"contract", "life insurance" and "policy" have the respective meanings assigned to them in section 2 of The Saskatchewan Insurance Act (S.S. 1978, c. S-26).
	"group insurance" has the respective meaning assigned to it in section 133 of The Saskatchewan Insurance Act.
	"insurance company" means an issuer licensed under The Saskatchewan Insurance Act.
YUKON	"contract", "group", "life insurance" and "policy" have the respective meanings assigned to them under the Insurance Act (R.S.Y. 2002, c. 119) and the regulations made under that Act.
	"insurance company" means an insurer as defined in the Insurance Act that is licensed under that Act.

M.O. 2009-05, Sch. A.

APPENDIX B CONTROL BLOCK DISTRIBUTIONS (Part 4)

	SECURITIES LEGISLATION REFERENCE
ALBERTA	Section 1(p)(iii) of the Securities Act
BRITISH COLUMBIA	Paragraph (c) of the definition of "distribution" contained in
	section 1 of the Securities Act
MANITOBA	Section 1(b) of the definition of "primary distribution to the
	public" contained in subsection 1(1) of the Securities Act
NEW BRUNSWICK	Paragraph (c) of the definition of "distribution" contained in
	section 1(1) of the Securities Act (S.N.B. 2004, c. S-5.5)
NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR	Section 2(1)(1)(iii) of the Securities Act
NORTHWEST TERRITORIES	Paragraph (c) of the definition of "distribution" in
	subsection 1(1) of the Securities Act (R.S.N.W.T. 1988, c. S-5)
NOVA SCOTIA	Section 2(1)(1)(iii) of the Securities Act
ONTARIO	Paragraph (c) of the definition of "distribution" contained in
	subsection 1(1) of the Securities Act
PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	Section 1(f)(iii) of the Securities Act
QUÉBEC	Paragraph 9 of the definition of "distribution" contained in
	section 5 of the Securities Act (chapter V-1.1)
SASKATCHEWAN	Section 2(1)(r)(iii) of The Securities Act, 1988
YUKON	Paragraph (c) of the definition of "distribution" in
	subsection 1(1) of the Securities Act (R.S.Y. 2002, c.201)

M.O. 2009-05, Sch. B.

FORM 45-106F1 REPORT OF EXEMPT DISTRIBUTION

Except in British Columbia, this is the form required under section 6.1 of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions (chapter V-1.1, r. 21) for a report of exempt distribution. In British Columbia, the required form is Form 45-106F6.

Issuer/underwriter information

Itam 3

State the full name of the issuer of the security distributed and the Item 1: address, telephone number and email addressaddress and telephone number of its head office. If the issuer of the security distributed is an investment fund, state the name of the fund as the issuer, and provide the full name of the manager of the investment fund and the address, telephone number and email addressaddress and telephone number of the head office of the manager. Include the former name of the issuer if its name has changed since last report. If an underwriter is completing this form, also state the full name of the underwriter and the address, telephone number and email address address and telephone number of the head office of the underwriter.

State whether the issuer is or is not a reporting issuer and, if reporting, each of the jurisdictions in which it is reporting.

•	by checking the appropriate box next to
one of the industries listed below.	
Agriculture	Forestry
Biotechnology/Pharmaceuticals/	Mining —
<u>Healthcare</u>	exploration/development
Capital Pool Companies	Mining – production
Communications & Media	Oil & Gas
Consumer Products & Merchandising	<u>Pipelines</u>
Financial Services – banks & trusts	Real Estate
Financial Services – insurance	Real Estate Investment Trust
Financial Services – investment	Technology
companies & funds	
Financial Services – mortgage	Transportation/Infrastructure
investment companies	
Financial Services – private	Utilities/Power Generation
equity/venture capital	
Financial Services – securitization	Other (describe)
<u>conduits</u>	
Industrial Products	
Bio-tech	— Mining
	wiiiiig

	exploration/development production
Financial Services investment companies and funds	Oil and gas
mortgage investment companies	Real estate
Forestry	<u>Utilities</u>
Hi-tech	Other (describe)
Industrial	

Details of distribution

Item 4: Complete Schedule <u>⊢1</u> to this report. Schedule <u>⊢1</u> is designed to assist in completing the remainder of this report.

Item 5: State the distribution date. If the report is being filed for securities distributed on more than one distribution date, state all distribution dates.

Item 6: For each security distributed:

- (a) describe the type of security,
- (b) state the total number of securities distributed. If the security is convertible or exchangeable, describe the type of underlying security, the terms of exercise or conversion and any expiry date: and
 - (c) state the exemption(s) relied on.

Item 7: Complete the following table for each Canadian and foreign jurisdiction where purchasers of the securities reside. Do not include in this table, securities issued as payment for commissions or finder's fees disclosed under item 8, below. The information provided in this table must reconcile with the information provided in Schedule 1.

Each Canadian and foreign jurisdiction where purchasers reside purchasers reside	Number of purchasers	Price per security (Canadian \$) ¹	Total dollar value raised from purchasers in the jurisdiction (Canadian \$)
Total number of Purchasers			
Total dollar value of distribution in all jurisdictions (Canadian \$)			

Note 1: If securities are issued at different prices list the highest and lowest price the securities were sold for.

Commissions and finder's fees

Item 8: Complete the following table by providing information for each person who has received or will receive compensation in connection with the distribution(s). Compensation includes commissions, discounts or other fees or payments of a similar nature. Do not include payments for services incidental to the distribution, such as clerical, printing, legal or accounting services.

If the securities being issued as compensation are or include convertible securities, such as warrants or options, please add a footnote describing the terms of the convertible securities, including the term and exercise price. Do not include the exercise price of any convertible security in the total dollar value of the compensation unless the securities have been converted.

Full name	Indicate if	Compensation paid or to be paid (cash and/or securities)				
and <u>address</u> ,	person being	Cash		Securities		Total dollar
telephone number and email address of the person being compensated	compensated is an insider (I) of the issuer ¹ or a registrant (R)	(Canadian \$)	Number and type of securities issued	Price per security	Exemption relied on and date of distribution (yyyy-mm-dd)	value of compensation (Canadian \$)

Note 1: If the issuer is an investment fund, indicate "A" for affiliate or associate if the person being compensated is the investment fund, the investment fund manager, an affiliate of the investment fund manager or a director, officer or employee of any of them. Also indicate "R" if the person is a registrant.

Item 9: If a distribution is made to one or more individuals in Ontario, include the attached "Authorization of Indirect Collection of Personal Information for Distributions in Ontario". The "Authorization of Indirect Collection of Personal Information for Distributions in Ontario" is only required to be filed with the Ontario Securities Commission.

Certificate

On behalf of the [issuer/underwriter], I certify that the true.	statements made in this report are
Date:	_
Name of [issuer/underwriter] (please print)	_

Print name, title, and telephone number and email a	ddress of person signing
Signature	_
INSTRUCTION	

The person filing the form must complete the bracketed information by deleting the inappropriate word.

Item 10: State the name, title and telephone number title, telephone number and email address of the person who may be contacted with respect to any questions regarding the contents of this report, if different than the person signing the certificate.

IT IS AN OFFENCE TO MAKE A MISREPRESENTATION IN THIS REPORT.

Notice - Collection and use of personal information

The personal information required under this form is collected on behalf of and used by the securities regulatory authorities or, where applicable, the regulators under the authority granted in securities legislation for the purposes of the administration and enforcement of the securities legislation.

If you have any questions about the collection and use of this information, contact the securities regulatory authority or, where applicable, the regulator in the jurisdiction(s) where the form is filed, at the address(es) listed at the end of this report.

Authorization of Indirect Collection of Personal Information for Distributions in Ontario

The attached Schedule <u>I may contains</u> personal information of purchasers and details of the distribution(s). The issuer/underwriter hereby confirms that each purchaser listed in Schedule <u>I of this report who is resident in Ontario and is an individual</u>

- (a) has been notified by the issuer/underwriter
- (i) of the delivery to the Ontario Securities Commission of the information pertaining to the person as set out in Schedule 11,
- (ii) that this information is being collected indirectly by the Ontario Securities Commission under the authority granted to it in securities legislation,
- (iii) that this information is being collected for the purposes of the administration and enforcement of the securities legislation of Ontario, and

- (iv) of the title, business address and business telephone number of the public official of the local jurisdiction, as set out in this report, who can answer questions about the Ontario Securities Commission's indirect collection of the information, and
- (b) has authorized the indirect collection of the information by the Ontario Securities Commission.

Schedule I

Complete the following table. <u>If distributions have been made to purchasers in multiple jurisdictions</u>, list purchasers by jurisdiction.

For reports filed under sub-section 6.1(1)(j) ([TSX Venture Exchange offering)-] of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions (the following table only needs to list the total number of purchasers by jurisdiction instead of including the name, residential address and telephone number of each purchaser.

Do not include in this table, securities issued as payment of commissions or finder's fees disclosed under item 8 of this report.

When identifying the exemption relied on, refer to the specific subsection of Regulation 45 106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions. For example, if relying on the exemption in section 2.10 [Minimum Amount Investment], the column should state "2.10(1)". For exemptions that require the purchaser to meet certain characteristics, such as the exemption in section 2.3 [Accredited investor] or section 2.5 [Family, friends and business associates], also state the specific paragraph that applies to the purchaser. If the purchaser qualifies under multiple paragraphs, state all paragraphs that apply. For example, when relying on section 2.3 [Accredited investor], if the purchaser qualifies under paragraph (j) of the definition of accredited investor in section 1.1, the column must show "2.3(1) – (j)". If the purchaser qualifies under both paragraphs (j) and (k), the column must show "2.3(1) – (j), (k)".

It is not necessary to list the exemption, if any, relied on in the Securities Act (R.S.O., 1990, chapter S.5) of Ontario that provides a similar exemption to that provided in Regulation 45 106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions. For example, if an issuer relies on the accredited investor exemption in section 73.3(2) under the Securities Act (Ontario) for a distribution in Ontario, it can identify the exemption relied on in the table as the accredited investor exemption in section 2.3(1) of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions.

The information in this schedule will not be placed on the public file of any securities regulatory authority or, where applicable, regulator. However, freedom of information legislation in certain jurisdictions may require the securities regulatory authority or, where applicable, regulator to make this information available if requested.

Full name,	Indicate if	Number and	Total	Exemption	Date of	Full name of
residential	<u>the</u>	type of	purchase	relied on (list	distribution	any person
address and	purchaser is	securities	price	the specific	(yyyy-mm-	compensated
<u>, telephone</u>	an insider (I)	purchased	(Canadian	subsection	<u>dd)</u>	for the
number and	of the issuer		\$)	<u>and</u>		distribution to
<u>email</u>	<u>or a</u>			paragraph(s)		<u>this</u>
address of	registrant			<u>of</u>		<u>purchaser²</u>
purchaser	<u>(R)¹</u>			Regulation		
				<u>45-106</u>		
				respecting		
				<u>Prospectus</u>		
				Exemptions)		

Note 1: If the issuer is an investment fund, the issuer is not required to complete this column.

Note 2: The name of the person compensated must reconcile with the information provided in item 8 of this report.

Instructions:

- 1. References to a purchaser in this report are to the beneficial owner of the securities. If a trust company or a registered adviser has purchased on behalf of a fully managed account under subsections 2.3(2) and (4) of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions, give information about both the trust company or registered adviser and the beneficial owner of the fully managed account.
- 2. Except in British Columbia, file this report and the applicable fee in each jurisdiction in which a distribution is made at the addresses listed at the end of this report. If the distribution is made in more than one jurisdiction, the issuer/underwriter must complete a single report identifying all purchasers and file that report in each of the jurisdictions in which the distribution is made. Filing fees associated with the filing of the report are not affected by identifying all purchasers in a single report.
- 2.1 In British Columbia, file Form 45-106F6 and pay the applicable fee. If the distribution is made in British Columbia and one or more other jurisdictions, file Form 45-106F6 in British Columbia and file this form, following instruction 2, in the other applicable jurisdictions.
- 2.2 A "distribution" includes distributions made to purchasers resident in the local jurisdiction. In most Canadian jurisdictions, a "distribution" also occurs if the issuer of the securities is located in the jurisdiction. Consult securities legislation in the particular jurisdiction for guidance on when an issuer is considered to be located in that jurisdiction.

For example, a distribution by an issuer whose head office is located in Alberta to a purchaser resident in Saskatchewan is a distribution in both Alberta and Saskatchewan, requiring the issuer to file Form 45-106F1 with both the Alberta

<u>Securities Commission and the Financial and Consumer Affairs Authority</u> (Saskatchewan).

- 3. If the space provided for any answer is insufficient, please adjust the table to include additional space additional sheets may be used and must be cross-referenced to the relevant part and properly identified and signed by the person whose signature appears on the report.
- 4. One report may be used for multiple distributions occurring within 10 days of each other provided that the report is filed on or before the 10th day following the first of such distributions.
- 5. The information in items 5, 6, and 7 must reconcile with the information in Schedule I of Form 45-106F1. All dollar amounts must be in Canadian dollars.
- 6. In order to determine the applicable fee, consult the securities legislation of each jurisdiction in which a distribution is made.
- 7. This report must be filed in English or in French. In Québec, the issuer/underwriter must comply with linguistic obligations and rights prescribed by Québec law.

Securities Regulatory Authorities and Regulators

Alberta Securities Commission

Suite 600, 250 – 5th Street SW Calgary, Alberta T2P 0R4

Telephone: 403-297-6454 Facsimile: 403-297-6156

<u>Financial and Consumer Affairs Authority (</u>Saskatchewan <u>Financial Services Commission</u>)

Suite 601 - 1919 Saskatchewan Drive Regina, Saskatchewan S4P 4H2

Telephone: 306-787-5879 Facsimile: 306-787-5899

The Manitoba Securities Commission

500 – 400 St Mary Avenue Winnipeg, Manitoba R3C 4K5 Telephone: 204-945-2548

Toll free in Manitoba 1-800-655-5244

Facsimile: 204-945-0330

Ontario Securities Commission

Suite 1903, Box 55

20 Queen Street West

22nd Floor

Toronto, Ontario M5H 3S8
Telephone: (416)-593-8314

Toll free in Canada: 1-877-785-1555

Facsimile: 416-593-8122

Public official contact regarding indirect collection of information:

Administrative Support Clerk Telephone: 416-593-3684

Inquiries Officer

Autorité des marchés financiers

800, Square Victoria, 22e étage C.P. 246, Tour de la Bourse Montréal, Québec H4Z 1G3

Telephone: 514-395-0337 Or 1-877-525-0337 Facsimile: 514-873-6155 (For filing purposes only) Facsimile: 514-864-6381 (For privacy requests only)

<u>Financial and Consumer Services Commission (New Brunswick Securities Commission)</u>

85 Charlotte Street, Suite 300

Saint John, New Brunswick E2L 2J2

Telephone: 506-658-3060

Toll Free in New Brunswick 1-866-933-2222

Facsimile: 506-658-3059

Nova Scotia Securities Commission

Suite 400, 5251 Duke Street Halifax, Nova Scotia B3J 1P3 Telephone: 902-424-7768 Facsimile: 902-424-4625

Prince Edward Island Securities Office

95 Rochford Street, 4th Floor Shaw Building P.O. Box 2000

Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island C1A 7N8

Telephone: 902-368-4569 Facsimile: 902-368-5283

Government of Newfoundland and Labrador

Financial Services Regulation Division P.O. Box 8700 Confederation Building 2nd Floor, West Block Prince Philip Drive St. John's, NFLD A1B 4J6

Attention: Director of Securities

Telephone: 709-729-4189 Facsimile: 709-729-6187

Government of Yukon

Office of the Yukon Superintendent of Securities Government of Yukon

Department of Community Services 307 Black Street, 1st Floor PO Box 2703 (C-6) Whitehorse, Yukon Y1A 2C6

Telephone: 867-667-5466 Facsimile: 867-393-6251

http://www.community.gov.yk.ca/corp/securities_about.html

Government of Northwest Territories

Government of the Northwest Territories

Office of the Superintendent of Securities P.O. Box 1320

Yellowknife, NT X1A 2L9

Attention: Deputy Superintendent, Legal & Enforcement

Telephone: 867-920-8984 Facsimile: 867-873-0243

Government of Nunavut

Department of Justice Legal Registries Division P.O. Box 1000, Station 570 1st Floor, Brown Building Iqaluit, Nunavut X0A 0H0 Telephone: 867-975-6590

Facsimile: 867-975-6594

M.O. 2009-05, Sch. 45-106F1.

FORM 45-106F2 OFFERING MEMORANDUM FOR NON-QUALIFYING ISSUERS

Date: [Insert the date from the certificate page.]

Proposed closing date(s):

The Issuer
Name:
Head office: Address: Phone #: E-mail address: Fax #:
Currently listed or quoted? [If no, state in bold type: "These securities do not trade on any exchange or market". If yes, state where, e.g., TSX/TSX Venture Exchange.]
Reporting issuer? [Yes/No. If yes, state where.]
SEDAR filer? [Yes/No]
The Offering
Securities offered:
Price per security:
Minimum/Maximum offering: [If there is no minimum, state in bold type: "There is no minimum." and also state in bold type: "You may be the only purchaser."]
State in bold type: Funds available under the offering may not be sufficient to accomplish our proposed objectives.
Minimum subscription amount: [State the minimum amount each investor must invest, or state "There is no minimum subscription amount an investor must invest."]
Payment terms:

See item 6. [If income tax consequences are not material, delete this item.]
Selling agent? [Yes/No. If yes, state "See item 7". The name of the selling agent may

Income tax consequences: There are important tax consequences to these securities.

also be stated.]

Resale restrictions

State: "You will be restricted from selling your securities for [4 months and a day/an indefinite period]. See item 10."

Purchaser's rights

State: "You have 2 business days to cancel your agreement to purchase these securities. If there is a misrepresentation in this offering memorandum, you have the right to sue either for damages or to cancel the agreement. See item 11."

State in bold type:

"No securities regulatory authority or regulator has assessed the merits of these securities or reviewed this offering memorandum. Any representation to the contrary is an offence. This is a risky investment. See item 8."

[All of the above information must appear on a single cover page.

Item 1 Use of Available Funds

1.1. Funds – Using the following table, disclose the funds available as a result of the offering. If the issuer plans to combine additional sources of funding with the available funds from the offering to achieve its principal capital-raising purpose, please provide details about each additional source of funding. If there is no minimum offering, state "\$0" as the minimum.

Disclose also the amount of any working capital deficiency, if any, of the issuer as at a date not more than 30 days prior to the date of the offering memorandum. If the working capital deficiency will not be eliminated by the use of available funds, state how the issuer intends to eliminate or manage the deficiency.

		Assuming min. offering	Assuming max. offering
A.	Amount to be raised by this offering	\$	\$
B.	Selling commissions and fees	\$	\$
C.	Estimated offering costs (e.g., legal, accounting, audit.)	\$	\$
D.	Available funds: D = A - (B+C)	\$	\$
E.	Additional sources of funding required	\$	\$
F.	Working capital deficiency	\$	\$
G.	Total: $G = (D+E) - F$	\$	\$

1.2. Use of Available Funds – Using the following table, provide a detailed breakdown of how the issuer will use the available funds. If any of the available funds will be paid to a related party, disclose in a note to the table the name of the related party, the relationship to the issuer, and the amount. If the issuer has a working capital deficiency, disclose the portion, if any, of the available funds to be applied against the working capital deficiency. If more than 10% of the available funds will be used by the

issuer to pay debt and the issuer incurred the debt within the 2 preceding financial years, describe why the debt was incurred.

Description of intended use of available funds listed in order or priority	Assuming min. offering	Assuming max. offering
	\$	\$
	\$	\$
	\$	\$

1.3. Reallocation – The available funds must be used for the purposes disclosed in the offering memorandum. The board of directors can reallocate the proceeds to other uses only for sound business reasons. If the available funds may be reallocated, include the following statement:

"We intend to spend the available funds as stated. We will reallocate funds only for sound business reasons."

Item 2 Business of [name of issuer or other term used to refer to issuer]

- **2.1. Structure** State the business structure (e.g., partnership, corporation or trust), the statute and the province, state or other jurisdiction under which the issuer is incorporated, continued or organized, and the date of incorporation, continuance or organization.
- **2.2. Our Business** Describe the issuer's business. The disclosure must provide sufficient information to enable a prospective purchaser to make an informed investment decision. For a non-resource issuer this disclosure may include principal products or services, operations, market, marketing plans and strategies and a discussion of the issuer's current and prospective competitors. For a resource issuer this will require a description of principal properties (including interest held) and a summary of material information including, if applicable: the stage of development, reserves, geology, operations, production and mineral reserves or mineral resources being explored or developed. A resource issuer disclosing scientific or technical information for a mineral project must follow General Instruction A.8 of this Form. A resource issuer disclosing information about its oil and gas activities must follow General Instruction A.9 of this Form.
- **2.3. Development of Business** Describe (generally, in 1 or 2 paragraphs) the general development of the issuer's business over at least its 2 most recently completed financial years and any subsequent period. Include the major events that have occurred or conditions that have influenced (favourably or unfavourably) the development of the issuer.
- **2.4.** Long Term Objectives Describe each significant event that must occur to accomplish the issuer's long term objectives, state the specific time period in which each event is expected to occur, and the costs related to each event.

2.5. Short Term Objectives and How We Intend to Achieve Them

- (a) Disclose the issuer's objectives for the next 12 months.
- (b) Using the following table, disclose how the issuer intends to meet those objectives for the next 12 months.

What we must do and how we will do it	Target completion date or, if not known, number of months to complete	Our cost to complete
		\$
		\$

2.6. Insufficient Funds

If applicable, disclose that the funds available as a result of the offering either may not or will not be sufficient to accomplish all of the issuer's proposed objectives and there is no assurance that alternative financing will be available. If alternative financing has been arranged, disclose the amount, source and all outstanding conditions that must be satisfied.

2.7. Material Agreements – Disclose the key terms of all material agreements

- (a) to which the issuer is currently a party, or
- (b) with a related party

including the following information:

- (i) if the agreement is with a related party, the name of the related party and the relationship,
- (ii) a description of any asset, property or interest acquired, disposed of, leased, under option, etc.,
 - (iii) a description of any service provided,
- (iv) purchase price and payment terms (e.g., paid in instalments, cash, securities or work commitments),
- (v) the principal amount of any debenture or loan, the repayment terms, security, due date and interest rate,
 - (vi) the date of the agreement,
- (vii) the amount of any finder's fee or commission paid or payable to a related party in connection with the agreement,

- (viii) any material outstanding obligations under the agreement, and
- (ix) for any transaction involving the purchase of assets by or sale of assets to the issuer from a related party, state the cost of the assets to the related party, and the cost of the assets to the issuer.

Item 3 Interests of Directors, Management, Promoters and Principal Holders

3.1. Compensation and Securities Held – Using the following table, provide the specified information about each director, officer and promoter of the issuer and each person who, directly or indirectly, beneficially owns or controls 10% or more of any class of voting securities of the issuer (a "principal holder"). If the principal holder is not an individual, state in a note to the table the name of any person that, directly or indirectly, beneficially owns or controls more than 50% of the voting rights of the principal holder. If the issuer has not completed its first financial year, then include compensation paid since inception. Compensation includes any form of remuneration including cash, shares and options.

Name and municipality of principal residence	Positions held (e.g., director, officer, promoter and/or principal holder) and the date of obtaining that position	Compensation paid by issuer or related party in the most recently completed financial year and the compensation anticipated to be paid in the current financial year	Number, type and percentage of securities of the issuer held after completion of min. offering	Number, type and percentage of securities of the issuer held after completion of max. offering

3.2. Management Experience – Using the following table, disclose the principal occupations of the directors and executive officers over the past 5 years. In addition, for each individual, describe any relevant experience in a business similar to the issuer's.

Name	Principal occupation and related experience

3.3. Penalties, Sanctions and Bankruptcy

- (a) Disclose any penalty or sanction (including the reason for it and whether it is currently in effect) that has been in effect during the last 10 years, or any cease trade order that has been in effect for a period of more than 30 consecutive days during the past 10 years against
 - (i) a director, executive officer or control person of the issuer, or

- (ii) an issuer of which a person referred to in (i) above was a director, executive officer or control person at the time.
- (b) Disclose any declaration of bankruptcy, voluntary assignment in bankruptcy, proposal under any bankruptcy or insolvency legislation, proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors or appointment of a receiver, receiver manager or trustee to hold assets, that has been in effect during the last 10 years with regard to any
 - (i) director, executive officer or control person of the issuer, or
- (ii) issuer of which a person referred to in (i) above was a director, executive officer or control person at that time.
- **3.4. Loans** Disclose the principal amount of any debenture or loan, the repayment terms, security, due date and interest rate due to or from the directors, management, promoters and principal holders as at a date not more than 30 days prior to the date of the offering memorandum.

Item 4 Capital Structure

4.1. Share Capital – Using the following table, provide the required information about outstanding securities of the issuer (including options, warrants and other securities convertible into shares). If necessary, notes to the table may be added to describe the material terms of the securities.

Description of security	Number authorized to be issued	Price per security	Number outstanding as at [a date not more than 30 days prior to the offering memorandum date]	Number outstanding after min. offering	Number outstanding after max. offering

4.2. Long Term Debt Securities – Using the following table, provide the required information about outstanding long term debt of the issuer. Disclose the portion of the debt due within 12 months of the date of the offering memorandum. If the securities being offered are debt securities, add a column to the table disclosing the amount of debt that will be outstanding after both the minimum and maximum offering. If the debt is owed to a related party, indicate that in a note to the table and identify the related party.

Description of long term debt	Interest rate	Repayment terms	Amount outstanding at [a
(including whether secured)			date not more than 30 days
			prior to the offering
			memorandum date]
			\$
			\$

4.3. Prior Sales – If the issuer has issued any securities of the class being offered under the offering memorandum (or convertible or exchangeable into the class being offered under the offering memorandum) within the last 12 months, use the following table to provide the information specified. If securities were issued in exchange for assets or services, describe in a note to the table the assets or services that were provided.

Date of issuance	Type of security issued	Number of securities issued	Price per security	Total funds received

Item 5 Securities Offered

- **5.1. Terms of Securities** Describe the material terms of the securities being offered, including:
 - (a) voting rights or restrictions on voting,
 - (b) conversion or exercise price and date of expiry,
 - (c) rights of redemption or retraction, and
 - (d) interest rates or dividend rates.

5.2. Subscription Procedure

- (a) Describe how a purchaser can subscribe for the securities and the method of payment.
- (b) State that the consideration will be held in trust and the period that it will be held (refer at least to the mandatory 2 day period).
- (c) Disclose any conditions to closing, e.g., receipt of additional funds from other sources. If there is a minimum offering, disclose when consideration will be returned to purchasers if the minimum is not met, and whether the issuer will pay the purchasers interest on consideration.

Item 6 Income Tax Consequences and RRSP Eligibility

- **6.1.** State: "You should consult your own professional advisers to obtain advice on the income tax consequences that apply to you."
- **6.2.** If income tax consequences are a material aspect of the securities being offered (e.g., flow-through shares), provide
- (a) a summary of the significant income tax consequences to Canadian residents, and
 - (b) the name of the person providing the income tax disclosure in (a).
- **6.3.** Provide advice regarding the RRSP eligibility of the securities and the name of the person providing the advice or state "Not all securities are eligible for investment in a registered retirement savings plan (RRSP). You should consult your own professional advisers to obtain advice on the RRSP eligibility of these securities."

Item 7 Compensation Paid to Sellers and Finders

If any person has or will receive any compensation (e.g., commission, corporate finance fee or finder's fee) in connection with the offering, provide the following information to the extent applicable:

- (a) a description of each type of compensation and the estimated amount to be paid for each type,
- (b) if a commission is being paid, the percentage that the commission will represent of the gross proceeds of the offering (assuming both the minimum and maximum offering),
- (c) details of any broker's warrants or agent's option (including number of securities under option, exercise price and expiry date), and
- (d) if any portion of the compensation will be paid in securities, details of the securities (including number, type and, if options or warrants, the exercise price and expiry date).

Item 8 Risk Factors

Describe in order of importance, starting with the most important, the risk factors material to the issuer that a reasonable investor would consider important in deciding whether to buy the issuer's securities.

Risk factors will generally fall into the following 3 categories:

- (a) Investment Risk risks that are specific to the securities being offered. Some examples include
 - arbitrary determination of price,
 - no market or an illiquid market for the securities,
 - resale restrictions, and
 - subordination of debt securities.
 - (b) Issuer Risk risks that are specific to the issuer. Some examples include
 - insufficient funds to accomplish the issuer's business objectives,
 - no history or a limited history of revenue or profits,
 - lack of specific management or technical expertise,
 - management's regulatory and business track record,
 - dependence on key employees, suppliers or agreements,
 - dependence on financial viability of guarantor,
 - pending and outstanding litigation, and
 - political risk factors.
- (c) Industry Risk risks faced by the issuer because of the industry in which it operates. Some examples include
 - environmental and industry regulation,
 - product obsolescence, and
 - competition.

Item 9 Reporting Obligations

9.1. Disclose the documents, including any financial information required by the issuer's corporate legislation, constating documents, or other documents under which the issuer is organized, that will be sent to purchasers on an annual or on-going basis. If the issuer is not required to send any documents to the purchasers on an annual or

on-going basis, state in bold type: "We are not required to send you any documents on an annual or ongoing basis."

9.2. If corporate or securities information about the issuer is available from a government, securities regulatory authority or regulator, SRO or quotation and trade reporting system, disclose where that information can be located (including website address).

Item 10 Resale Restrictions

10.1. General Statement – For trades in Alberta, British Columbia, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Northwest Territories, Nova Scotia, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Québec, Saskatchewan and Yukon, state:

"These securities will be subject to a number of resale restrictions, including a restriction on trading. Until the restriction on trading expires, you will not be able to trade the securities unless you comply with an exemption from the prospectus and registration requirements under securities legislation."

- **10.2. Restricted Period** For trades in Alberta, British Columbia, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Northwest Territories, Nova Scotia, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Québec, Saskatchewan and Yukon state one of the following, as applicable:
- (a) If the issuer is not a reporting issuer in a jurisdiction at the distribution date state:

"Unless permitted under securities legislation, you cannot trade the securities before the date that is 4 months and a day after the date [insert name of issuer or other term used to refer to the issuer] becomes a reporting issuer in any province or territory of Canada."

(b) If the issuer is a reporting issuer in a jurisdiction at the distribution date state:

"Unless permitted under securities legislation, you cannot trade the securities before the date that is 4 months and a day after the distribution date."

10.3. Manitoba Resale Restrictions – For trades in Manitoba, if the issuer will not be a reporting issuer in a jurisdiction at the time the security is acquired by the purchaser state:

"Unless permitted under securities legislation, you must not trade the securities without the prior written consent of the regulator in Manitoba unless

- (a) [name of issuer or other term used to refer to issuer] has filed a prospectus with the regulator in Manitoba with respect to the securities you have purchased and the regulator in Manitoba has issued a receipt for that prospectus, or
 - (b) you have held the securities for at least 12 months.

The regulator in Manitoba will consent to your trade if the regulator is of the opinion that to do so is not prejudicial to the public interest."

Item 11 Purchasers' Rights

State the following:

"If you purchase these securities you will have certain rights, some of which are described below. For information about your rights you should consult a lawyer.

- (1) **2 Day Cancellation Right** You can cancel your agreement to purchase these securities. To do so, you must send a notice to us by midnight on the 2nd business day after you sign the agreement to buy the securities.
- (2) Statutory Rights of Action in the Event of a Misrepresentation [Insert this section only if the securities legislation of the jurisdiction in which the trade occurs provides purchasers with statutory rights in the event of a misrepresentation in an offering memorandum. Modify the language, if necessary, to conform to the statutory rights.] If there is a misrepresentation in this offering memorandum, you have a statutory right to sue:
- (a) [name of issuer or other term used to refer to issuer] to cancel your agreement to buy these securities, or
- (b) for damages against [state the name of issuer or other term used to refer to issuer and the title of any other person against whom the rights are available].

This statutory right to sue is available to you whether or not you relied on the misrepresentation. However, there are various defences available to the persons or companies that you have a right to sue. In particular, they have a defence if you knew of the misrepresentation when you purchased the securities.

If you intend to rely on the rights described in (a) or (b) above, you must do so within strict time limitations. You must commence your action to cancel the agreement within [state time period provided by the securities legislation]. You must commence your action for damages within [state time period provided by the securities legislation.]

(3) Contractual Rights of Action in the Event of a Misrepresentation – [Insert this section only if the securities legislation of the jurisdiction in which the

purchaser is resident does not provide purchasers with statutory rights in the event of a misrepresentation in an offering memorandum.] If there is a misrepresentation in this offering memorandum, you have a contractual right to sue [name of issuer or other term used to refer to issuer]:

- (a) to cancel your agreement to buy these securities, or
- (b) for damages.

This contractual right to sue is available to you whether or not you relied on the misrepresentation. However, in an action for damages, the amount you may recover will not exceed the price that you paid for your securities and will not include any part of the damages that [name of issuer or other term used to refer to issuer] proves does not represent the depreciation in value of the securities resulting from the misrepresentation. [Name of issuer or other term used to refer to issuer] has a defence if it proves that you knew of the misrepresentation when you purchased the securities.

If you intend to rely on the rights described in (a) or (b) above, you must do so within strict time limitations. You must commence your action to cancel the agreement within 180 days after you signed the agreement to purchase the securities. You must commence your action for damages within the earlier of 180 days after learning of the misrepresentation and 3 years after you signed the agreement to purchase the securities."

Item 12 Financial Statements

Include in the offering memorandum immediately before the certificate page of the offering memorandum all required financial statements as set out in the Instructions.

Item 13 Date and Certificate

State the following on the certificate page of the offering memorandum:

"Dated [insert the date the certificate page of the offering memorandum is signed].

This offering memorandum does not contain a misrepresentation."

Instructions for Completing Form 45-106F2 Offering Memorandum for Non-Qualifying Issuers

A. General Instructions

- 1. Draft the offering memorandum so that it is easy to read and understand. Be concise and use clear, plain language. Avoid technical terms. If technical terms are necessary, provide definitions.
- 2. Address the items required by the form in the order set out in the form. However, it is not necessary to provide disclosure about an item that does not apply.
- 3. The issuer may include additional information in the offering memorandum other than that specifically required by the form. An offering memorandum is generally not required to contain the level of detail and extent of disclosure required by a prospectus. Generally, this description should not exceed 2 pages. However, an offering memorandum must provide a prospective purchaser with sufficient information to make an informed investment decision.
- 4. The issuer may wrap the offering memorandum around a prospectus or similar document. However, all matters required to be disclosed by the offering memorandum must be addressed and the offering memorandum must provide a cross-reference to the page number or heading in the wrapped document where the relevant information is contained. The certificate to the offering memorandum must be modified to indicate that the offering memorandum, including the document around which it is wrapped, does not contain a misrepresentation.
- 5. It is an offence to make a misrepresentation in the offering memorandum. This applies both to information that is required by the form and to additional information that is provided. Include particulars of any material facts, which have not been disclosed under any of the Item numbers and for which failure to disclose would constitute a misrepresentation in the offering memorandum. Refer also to section 3.8(3) of Policy Statement to Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions (Decision 2009-PDG-0119, 2009-09-04) for additional information.
- 6. When the term "related party" is used in this form, it refers to:
 - (a) a director, officer, promoter or control person of the issuer,
- (b) in regard to a person referred to in (a), a child, parent, grandparent or sibling, or other relative living in the same residence,
- (c) in regard to a person referred to in (a) or (b), his or her spouse or a person with whom he or she is living in a marriage-like relationship,
 - (d) an insider of the issuer,

- (e) a company controlled by one or more individuals referred to in (a) to (d), and
- (f) in the case of an insider, promoter or control person that is not an individual, any person that controls that insider, promoter or control person.

(If the issuer is not a reporting issuer, the reference to "insider" includes persons or companies who would be insiders of the issuer if that issuer were a reporting issuer.)

- 7. Disclosure is required in item 3.1 of compensation paid directly or indirectly by the issuer or a related party to a director, officer, promoter and/or principal holder if the issuer receives a direct benefit from such compensation paid.
- 8. Refer to Regulation 43-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (chapter V-1.1, r. 15) (Regulation 43-101) when disclosing scientific or technical information for a mineral project of the issuer.
- 9. If an oil and gas issuer is disclosing information about its oil and gas activities, it must ensure that the information is disclosed in accordance with Part 4 and Part 5 of Regulation 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities (chapter V-1.1, r. 23) (Regulation 51-101). Under section 5.3 of Regulation 51-101 disclosure of reserves or resources must be consistent with the reserves and resources terminology and categories set out in the Canadian Oil and Gas Evaluation Handbook. For the purposes of this instruction, references to reporting issuer in Part 4 and Part 5 of Regulation 51-101 will be deemed to include all issuers.
- 10. Securities legislation restricts what can be told to investors about the issuer's intent to list or quote securities on an exchange or market. Refer to applicable securities legislation before making any such statements.
- 11. If an issuer uses this form in connection with a distribution under an exemption other than section 2.9 (offering memorandum) of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions (chapter V-1.1, r. 21), the issuer must modify the disclosure in item 11 to correctly describe the purchaser's rights. If a purchaser does not have statutory or contractual rights of action in the event of a misrepresentation in the offering memorandum, that fact must be stated in bold on the face page.
- 12. During the course of a distribution of securities, any material forward-looking information disseminated must only be that which is set out in the offering memorandum. If an extract of FOFI, as defined in Regulation 51-102 respecting Continuous Disclosure Obligations (chapter V-1.1, r. 24) (Regulation 51-102), is disseminated, the extract or summary must be reasonably balanced and have a cautionary note in boldface stating that the information presented is not complete and that complete FOFI is included in the offering memorandum.

B. Financial Statements - General

1. All financial statements, operating statements for an oil and gas property that is an acquired business or a business to be acquired and summarized financial information as to the aggregated amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and profit or loss of an acquired business or business to be acquired that is, or will be, an investment accounted for by the issuer using the equity method included in the offering memorandum must comply with Regulation 52-107 respecting Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards (chapter V-1.1, r. 25, regardless of whether the issuer is a reporting issuer or not.

Under Regulation 52-107 respecting Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards, financial statements are generally required to be prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP applicable to publicly accountable enterprises. An issuer using this form cannot use Canadian GAAP applicable to private enterprises, except, subject to the requirements of Regulation 52-107 respecting Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards, certain issuers may use Canadian GAAP applicable to private enterprises for financial statements for a business referred to in C.1. An issuer that is not a reporting issuer may prepare acquisition statements in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 52-107 respecting Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards as if the issuer were a venture issuer as defined in Regulation 51-102 respecting Continuous Disclosure Obligations. For the purposes of Form 45-106F2, the "applicable time" in the definition of a venture issuer is the acquisition date.

- 2. Include all financial statements required by these instructions in the offering memorandum immediately before the certificate page of the offering memorandum.
- 3. If the issuer has not completed one financial year or its first financial year end is less than 120 days from the date of the offering memorandum, include in the offering memorandum financial statements of the issuer consisting of:
- (a) a statement of comprehensive income, a statement of changes in equity and a statement of cash flows for the period from inception to a date not more than 90 days before the date of the offering memorandum,
- (b) a statement of financial position as at the end of the period referred to in paragraph (a), and
 - (c) notes to the financial statements.
- 4. If the issuer has completed one or more financial years, include in the offering memorandum annual financial statements of the issuer consisting of:
- (a) a statement of comprehensive income, a statement of changes in equity and a statement of cash flows for

- (i) the most recently completed financial year that ended more than 120 days before the date of the offering memorandum, and
- (ii) the financial year immediately preceding the financial year in clause (i), if any,
- (b) a statement of financial position as at the end of each of the periods referred to in paragraph (a),
- (c) a statement of financial position as at the beginning of the earliest comparative period for which financial statements that are included in the offering memorandum comply with IFRS in the case of an issuer that
- (i) discloses in its annual financial statements an unreserved statement of compliance with IFRS, and
 - (ii) does any of the following:
- (A) applies an accounting policy retrospectively in its annual financial statements;
- (B) makes a retrospective restatement of items in its annual financial statements;
 - (C) reclassifies items in its annual financial statements,
- (d) in the case of an issuer's first IFRS financial statements as defined in Regulation 51-102, the opening IFRS statement of financial position at the date of transition to IFRS as defined in Regulation 51-102, and
 - (e) notes to the financial statements.
- 4.1 If an issuer presents the components of profit or loss in a separate income statement, the separate income statement must be displayed immediately before the statement of comprehensive income filed under Item 4 above.
- 5. If the issuer has completed one or more financial years, include in the offering memorandum an interim financial report of the issuer comprised of:
- (a) a statement of comprehensive income, a statement of changes in equity and a statement of cash flows for the most recently completed interim period that ended
- (i) more than 60 days before the date of the offering memorandum, and

- (ii) after the year-end date of the financial statements required under B.4(a)(i),
- (b) a statement of comprehensive income, a statement of changes in equity and a statement of cash flows for the corresponding period in the immediately preceding financial year, if any,
- (c) a statement of financial position as at the end of the period required by paragraph (a) and the end of the immediately preceding financial year,
- (d) a statement of financial position as at the beginning of the earliest comparative period for which financial statements that are included in the offering memorandum comply with IFRS in the case of an issuer that
- (i) discloses in its interim financial report an unreserved statement of compliance with International Accounting Standard 34 Interim Financial Reporting, and
 - (ii) does any of the following:
- (A) applies an accounting policy retrospectively in its interim financial report;
- (B) makes a retrospective restatement of items in its interim financial report;
 - (C) reclassifies items in its interim financial report,
- (e) in the case of the first interim financial report in the year of adopting IFRS, the opening IFRS statement of financial position at the date of transition to IFRS,
- (f) for an issuer that is not a reporting issuer in at least one jurisdiction of Canada immediately before filing the offering memorandum, if the issuer is including an interim financial report of the issuer for the second or third interim period in the year of adopting IFRS include
- (i) the issuer's first interim financial report in the year of adopting IFRS, or
 - (ii) both
- (A) the opening IFRS statement of financial position at the date of transition to IFRS, and
- (B) the annual and date of transition to IFRS reconciliations required by IFRS 1 First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards to

explain how the transition from previous GAAP to IFRS affected the issuer's reported financial position, financial performance and cash flows, and

- (g) notes to the financial statements.
- 5.1 If an issuer presents the components of profit or loss in a separate income statement, the separate income statement must be displayed immediately before the statement of comprehensive income filed under item 5 above.
- 6. An issuer is not required to include the comparative financial information for the period in B.4.(a)(ii) in an offering memorandum if the issuer includes financial statements for a financial year ended less than 120 days before the date of the offering memorandum.
- 7. For an issuer that is not an investment fund, the term "interim period" has the meaning set out in Regulation 51-102. In most cases, an interim period is a period ending 9, 6, or 3 months before the end of a financial year. For an issuer that is an investment fund, the term "interim period" has the meaning set out in Regulation 81-106 respecting Investment Fund Continuous Disclosure (chapter V-1.1, r. 42) (Regulation 81-106).
- 8. The comparative financial information required under B.5(b) and (c) may be omitted if the issuer has not previously prepared financial statements in accordance with its current or, if applicable, its previous GAAP.
- 9. The financial statements required by B.3 and the financial statements of the most recently completed financial period referred to in B.4 must be audited. The financial statements required under B.5, B.6 and the comparative financial information required by B.4 may be unaudited; however, if any of those financial statements have been audited, the auditor's report must be included in the offering memorandum.
- 10. Refer to Regulation 52-108 respecting Auditor Oversight approved (chapter V-1.1, r. 26) for requirements relating to reporting issuers and public accounting firms.
- 11. All unaudited financial statements and unaudited comparatives must be clearly labelled as unaudited.
- 12. If the offering memorandum does not contain audited financial statements for the issuer's most recently completed financial year, and if the distribution is ongoing, update the offering memorandum to include the annual audited financial statements and the accompanying auditor's report as soon as the issuer has approved the audited financial statements, but in any event no later than the 120th day following the financial year end.
- 13. The offering memorandum does not have to be updated to include interim financial reports for periods completed after the date that is 60 days before the date of

the offering memorandum unless it is necessary to prevent the offering memorandum from containing a misrepresentation.

- 14. Forward looking information, as defined in Regulation 51-102, included in an offering memorandum must comply with section 4A.2 of Regulation 51-102 and must include the disclosure described in section 4A.3 of Regulation 51-102. In addition to the foregoing, FOFI or a financial outlook, each as defined in Regulation 51-102, included in an offering memorandum must comply with Part 4B of Regulation 51-102. For an issuer that is not a reporting issuer, references to "reporting issuer" in section 4A.2, section 4A.3 and Part 4B of Regulation 51-102 should be read as references to an "issuer". Additional guidance may be found in the Policy Statement to Regulation 51-102 respecting Continuous Disclosure **Obligations** (Decision 2006-PDG-0223, 2006-12-12).
- 15. If the issuer is a limited partnership, in addition to the financial statements required for the issuer, include in the offering memorandum the financial statements in accordance with Part B for the general partner and, if the limited partnership has active operations, for the limited partnership.
- 16. Despite section B.5, an issuer may include a comparative interim financial report of the issuer for the most recent interim period, if any, ended
- (a) subsequent to the most recent financial year in respect of which annual financial statements of the issuer are included in the offering memorandum, and
 - (b) more than 90 days before the date of the offering memorandum.

This section does not apply unless

- (a) the comparative interim financial report is the first interim financial report required to be filed in the year of adopting IFRS, and the issuer is disclosing, for the first time, a statement of compliance with International Accounting Standard 34 Interim Financial Reporting,
- (b) the issuer is a reporting issuer in the local jurisdiction immediately before the date of the offering memorandum, and
 - (c) the offering memorandum is dated before June 29, 2012.

C. Financial Statements - Business Acquisitions

1. If the issuer

(a) has acquired a business during the past 2 years and the audited financial statements of the issuer included in the offering memorandum do not include the results of the acquired business for 9 consecutive months, or

(b) is proposing to acquire a business and the acquisition has progressed to a state where a reasonable person would believe that the likelihood of the acquisition being completed is high,

include the financial statements specified in C.4 for the business if either of the tests in C.2 is met, irrespective of how the issuer accounts, or will account, for the acquisition.

- 2. Include the financial statements specified in C.4 for a business referred to in C.1 if either:
- (a) the issuer's proportionate share of the consolidated assets of the business exceeds 40% of the consolidated assets of the issuer calculated using the annual financial statements of each of the issuer and the business for the most recently completed financial year of each that ended before the acquisition date or, for a proposed acquisition, the date of the offering memorandum or
- (b) the issuer's consolidated investments in and advances to the business as at the acquisition date or the proposed date of acquisition exceeds 40% of the consolidated assets of the issuer, excluding any investments in or advances to the business, as at the last day of the issuer's most recently completed financial year that ended before the acquisition date or the date of the offering memorandum for a proposed acquisition. For information about how to perform the investment test in this paragraph, please refer to subsections 8.3(4.1) and (4.2) of Regulation 51-102. Additional guidance may be found in the Policy Statement to Regulation 51-102.

2.1. (paragraph revoked).

- 3. If an issuer or a business has not yet completed a financial year, or its first financial year ended within 120 days of the offering memorandum date, use the financial statements referred to in B.3 to make the calculations in C.2.
- 4. If under C.2 you must include in an offering memorandum financial statements for a business, the financial statements must include:
- (a) If the business has not completed one financial year or its first financial year end is less than 120 days from the date of the offering memorandum
- (i) a statement of comprehensive income, a statement of changes in equity and a statement of cash flows
- (A) for the period from inception to a date not more than 90 days before the date of the offering memorandum, or

- (B) if the acquisition date precedes the ending date of the period referred to in (A), for the period from inception to the acquisition date or a date not more than 45 days before the acquisition date,
- (ii) a statement of financial position dated as at the end of the period referred to in clause (i), and
 - (iii) notes to the financial statements.
 - (b) If the business has completed one or more financial years include
 - (i) annual financial statements comprised of:
- (A) a statement of comprehensive income, a statement of changes in equity and a statement of cash flows for the following annual periods:
- i. the most recently completed financial year that ended before the acquisition date and more than 120 days before the date of the offering memorandum, and
- ii. the financial year immediately preceding the most recently completed financial year specified in clause i, if any,
- (B) a statement of financial position as at the end of each of the periods specified in (A),
 - (C) notes to the financial statements, and
 - (ii) an interim financial report comprised of
 - A) either
- (i) a statement of comprehensive income, a statement of changes in equity and a statement of cash flows for the most recently completed year-to-date interim period ending on the last date of the interim period that ended before the acquisition date and more than 60 days before the date of the offering memorandum and ended after the date of the financial statements required under subclause (b)(i)(A)(i), and a statement of comprehensive income and a statement of changes in equity for the 3 month period ending on the last date of the interim period that ended before the acquisition date and more than 60 days before the date of the offering memorandum and ended after the date of the financial statements required under subclause (b)(i)(A)(i), or
- (ii) a statement of comprehensive income, a statement of changes in equity and a statement of cash flows for the period from the first day after

the financial year referred to in subparagraph (b)(i) to a date before the acquisition date and after the period end in subclause (b)(ii)(A)(i),

- B) a statement of comprehensive income, a statement of changes in equity and a statement of cash flows for the corresponding period in the immediately preceding financial year, if any,
- C) a statement of financial position as at the end of the period required by clause (A) and the end of the immediately preceding financial year, and
 - D) notes to the financial statements.

Refer to Instruction B.7 for the meaning of "interim period".

- 5. The information for the most recently completed financial period referred to in C.4(b)(i) must be audited and accompanied by an auditor's report. The financial statements required under C.4(a), C.4(b)(ii) and the comparative financial information required by C.4(b)(i) may be unaudited; however, if those financial statements or comparative financial information have been audited, the auditor's report must be included in the offering memorandum.
- 6. If the offering memorandum does not contain audited financial statements for a business referred to in C.1 for the business's most recently completed financial year that ended before the acquisition date and the distribution is ongoing, update the offering memorandum to include those financial statements accompanied by an auditor's report when they are available, but in any event no later than the date 120 days following the year-end.
- 7. The term "business" should be evaluated in light of the facts and circumstances involved. Generally, a separate entity or a subsidiary or division of an entity is a business and, in certain circumstances, a lesser component of an entity may also constitute a business, whether or not the subject of the acquisition previously prepared financial statements. The subject of an acquisition should be considered a business where there is, or the issuer expects there will be, continuity of operations. The issuer should consider:
- (a) whether the nature of the revenue producing activity or potential revenue producing activity will remain generally the same after the acquisition, and
- (b) whether any of the physical facilities, employees, marketing systems, sales forces, customers, operating rights, production techniques or trade names are acquired by the issuer instead of remaining with the vendor after the acquisition.
- 8. If a transaction or a proposed transaction for which the likelihood of the transaction being completed is high has been or will be a reverse take-over as defined in Regulation 51-102, include financial statements for the legal subsidiary in the offering

memorandum in accordance with Part A. The legal parent is considered to be the business acquired. C.1 may also require financial statements of the legal parent.

9. An issuer satisfies the requirements in C.4 if the issuer includes in the offering memorandum the financial statements required in a business acquisition report under Regulation51-102.

D. Financial Statement - Exemptions

- 1. An issuer will satisfy the financial statement requirements of this form if it includes the financial statements required by securities legislation for a prospectus.
- 2. Notwithstanding the requirements in section 3.3(1)(a)(i) of Regulation 52-107 respecting Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards, an auditor's report that accompanies financial statements of an issuer or a business contained in an offering memorandum of a non-reporting issuer may express a qualification of opinion relating to inventory if
- (a) the issuer includes in the offering memorandum a statement of financial position that is for a date that is subsequent to the date to which the qualification relates, and
- (b) the statement of financial position referred to in paragraph (a) is accompanied by an auditor's report that does not express a qualification of opinion relating to closing inventory, and
- (c) the issuer has not previously filed financial statements for the same entity accompanied by an auditor's report for a prior year that expressed a qualification of opinion relating to inventory.
- 3. If an issuer has, or will account for a business referred to in C.1 using the equity method, then financial statements for a business required by Part C are not required to be included if:
- (a) the offering memorandum includes disclosure for the periods for which financial statements are otherwise required under Part C that:
- (i) summarizes information as to the aggregated amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and profit or loss of the business, and
- (ii) describes the issuer's proportionate interest in the business and any contingent issuance of securities by the business that might significantly affect the issuer's share of profit or loss;

- (b) the financial information provided under D.3(a) for the most recently completed financial year has been audited, or has been derived from audited financial statements of the business; and
 - (c) the offering memorandum discloses that:
- (i) the financial information provided under D.3(a) for any completed financial year has been audited, or identifies the audited financial statements from which the financial information provided under D.3(a) has been derived; and
- (ii) the audit opinion with respect to the financial information or financial statements referred to in D.3(c)(i) was an unmodified opinion.
- 4. Financial statements relating to the acquisition or proposed acquisition of a business that is an interest in an oil and gas property are not required to be included in an offering memorandum if the acquisition is significant based only on the asset test or:
- (a) the issuer is unable to provide the financial statements in respect of the significant acquisition otherwise required because those financial statements do not exist or the issuer does not have access to those financial statements.
- (b) the acquisition was not or will not be reverse take-over as defined in Regulation 51-102, and
 - (c) (paragraph revoked);
- (d) the offering memorandum contains alternative disclosure for the business which includes:
- (i) an operating statement for the business or related businesses for each of the financial periods for which financial statements would, but for this section, be required under C.4 prepared in accordance with subsection 3.11(5) of Regulation 52-107 respecting Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards. The operating statement for the most recently completed financial period referred to in C.4(b)(i) must be audited.
- (ii) a description of the property or properties and the interest acquired by the issuer,
- (iii) information with respect to the estimated reserves and related future net revenue attributable to the business, the material assumptions used in preparing the estimates and the identity and relationship to the issuer or to the seller of the person who prepared the estimates,
- (iv) actual production volumes of the property for the most recently completed year, and

- (v) estimated production volumes of the property for the first year reflected in the estimate disclosed under D.4(d)(iv).
- 5. Financial statements for a business that is an interest in an oil and gas property, or for the acquisition or proposed acquisition by an issuer of a property, are not required to be audited if during the 12 months preceding the acquisition date or the proposed acquisition date, the daily average production of the property on a barrel of oil equivalent basis (with gas converted to oil in the ratio of 6,000 ft³ of gas being the equivalent of one barrel of oil) is less than 20% of the total daily average production of the seller for the same or similar periods and:
- (i) despite reasonable efforts during the purchase negotiations, the issuer was prohibited from including in the purchase agreement the rights to obtain an audited operating statement of the property,
- (ii) the purchase agreement includes representations and warranties by the seller that the amounts presented in the operating statement agree to the seller's books and records, and
 - (iii) the offering memorandum discloses
- 1. that the issuer was unable to obtain an audited operating statement,
 - 2. the reasons for that inability,
- 3. the fact that the purchase agreement includes the representations and warranties referred to in D.5(ii), and
- 4. that the results presented in the operating statements may have been materially different if the statements had been audited.

M.O. 2009-05, Sch. 45-106F2; M.O. 2010-17, s. 6.

FORM 45-106F3 OFFERING MEMORANDUM FOR QUALIFYING ISSUERS

Date: [Insert the date from the certificate page.]

The Issuer

Name:

Head office: Address:

Phone #:

E-mail address:

Fax #:

Where currently listed or quoted? [e.g., TSX/TSX Venture Exchange]

Jurisdictions in which the issuer is a reporting issuer:

The Offering

Securities offered:

Price per security:

Minimum/Maximum offering: [If there is no minimum state in bold: "There is no minimum." and also state in bold type: "You may be the only purchaser."]

State in bold type: Funds available under the offering may not be sufficient to accomplish our proposed objectives.

Minimum subscription amount: [State the minimum amount each investor must invest, or state "There is no minimum subscription amount an investor must invest."]

Payment terms:

Proposed closing date(s):

Income Tax consequences: "There are important tax consequences to these securities. See item 6." [If income tax consequences are not material, delete this item.]

Selling agent? [Yes/No. If yes, state "See item 7". The name of the selling agent may also be stated.]

Resale restrictions

State: "You will be restricted from selling your securities for 4 months and a day. See item 10".

Purchaser's rights

State: "You have 2 business days to cancel your agreement to purchase these securities. If there is a misrepresentation in this offering memorandum, you have the right to sue either for damages or to cancel the agreement. See item 11."

State in bold type:

"No securities regulatory authority or regulator has assessed the merits of these securities or reviewed this offering memorandum. Any representation to the contrary is an offence. This is a risky investment. See item 8."

[All of the above information must appear on a single cover page.]

Item 1 Use of Available Funds

1.1. Available Funds – Using the following table, disclose the funds available as a result of the offering. If the issuer plans to combine additional sources of funding with the available funds from the offering to achieve its principal capital-raising purpose, please provide details about each additional source of funding. If there is no minimum offering, state "\$0" as the minimum.

Disclose also the amount of any working capital deficiency, if any, of the issuer as at a date not more than 30 days prior to the date of the offering memorandum. If the working capital deficiency will not be eliminated by the use of available funds, state how the issuer intends to eliminate or manage the deficiency.

		Assuming min. offering	Assuming max. offering
Α	Amount to be raised by this offering	\$	\$
В	Selling commissions and fees	\$	\$
С	Estimated offering costs (e.g., legal, accounting, audit)	\$	\$
D	Available funds: D = A - (B+C)	\$	\$
E.	Additional sources of funding required	\$	\$
F.	Working capital deficiency	\$	\$
H.	Total: G = (D+E) - F	\$	\$

1.2. Use of Available Funds — Using the following table, provide a detailed breakdown of how the issuer will use the available funds. If any of the available funds will be paid to an insider, associate or affiliate of the issuer, disclose in a note to the table the name of the insider, associate or affiliate, the relationship to the issuer, and the amount. If the issuer has a working capital deficiency, disclose the portion, if any, of the available funds to be applied against the working capital deficiency. If more than 10% of

the available funds will be used by the issuer to pay debt and the issuer incurred the debt within the 2 preceding financial years, describe why the debt was incurred.

Description of intended use of available funds listed	Assuming min. offering	Assuming max. offering
in order of priority.		
	\$	\$
	\$	\$
Total: Equal to G in the Funds table above	\$	\$

1.3. Reallocation – The available funds must be used for the purposes disclosed in the offering memorandum. The board of directors can reallocate the proceeds to other uses only for sound business reasons. If the available funds may be reallocated, include the following statement:

"We intend to spend the available funds as stated. We will reallocate funds only for sound business reasons."

1.4. Insufficient Funds – If applicable, disclose that the funds available as a result of the offering either may not or will not be sufficient to accomplish all of the issuer's proposed objectives and that there is no assurance that alternative financing will be available. If alternative financing has been arranged, disclose the amount, source and any outstanding conditions that must be satisfied.

Item 2 Information About [name of issuer or other term used to refer to issuer]

2.1. Business Summary – Briefly (in 1 or 2 paragraphs) describe the business intended to be carried on by the issuer over the next 12 months. State whether this represents a change of business. The disclosure must provide sufficient information to enable a prospective purchaser to make an informed investment decision. If the issuer is a non-resource issuer, describe the products that the issuer is or will be developing or producing and the stage of development of each of the products. If the issuer is a resource issuer, state: whether the issuer's principal properties are primarily in the exploration or in the development or production stage; what resources the issuer is engaged in exploring, developing or producing; and the locations of the issuer's principal properties. A resource issuer who discloses information about its oil and gas activities must follow General Instruction A-9 of this Form.

2.2. Existing Documents Incorporated by Reference – State:

"Information has been incorporated by reference into this offering memorandum from documents listed in the table below, which have been filed with securities regulatory authorities or regulators in Canada. The documents incorporated by reference are available for viewing on the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com. In addition, copies of the documents may be obtained on request without charge from [insert complete address and telephone and the name of a contact person].

Documents listed in the table and information provided in those documents are not incorporated by reference to the extent that their contents are modified or superseded by a statement in this offering memorandum or in any other subsequently filed document that is also incorporated by reference in this offering memorandum."

Using the following table, list all of the documents incorporated by reference (as required by Instruction D.1):

Description of document (In the case of material change reports, provide a brief description of the nature of the material change)	Date of document

2.3. Existing Documents Not Incorporated by Reference – State:

"Other documents available on the SEDAR website (for example, most press releases, take-over bid circulars, prospectuses and rights offering circulars) are not incorporated by reference into this offering memorandum unless they are specifically referenced in the table above. Your rights as described in item 11 of this offering memorandum apply only in respect of information contained in this offering memorandum and documents or information incorporated by reference."

- **2.4. Existing Information Not Incorporated by Reference** Certain specified information (as outlined in Instruction D.2) contained in the documents incorporated by reference may be, but is not required to be, incorporated by reference into the offering memorandum. If the issuer does not wish to incorporate that information into the offering memorandum, the issuer must state that and include a statement in the offering memorandum identifying:
 - (a) the information that is not being incorporated by reference, and
 - (b) the document in which the information is contained.

2.5. Future Documents Not Incorporated by Reference – State:

"Documents filed after the date of this offering memorandum are not deemed to be incorporated into this offering memorandum. However, if you subscribe for securities and an event occurs, or there is a change in our business or affairs, that makes the certificate to this offering memorandum no longer true, we will provide you with an update of this offering memorandum, including a newly dated and signed certificate, and will not accept your subscription until you have re-signed the agreement to purchase the securities."

Item 3 Interests of Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Principal Holders

3.1. Using the following table, provide information about each director, executive officer, promoter and each person who, directly or indirectly, beneficially owns or controls 10% or more of any class of voting securities of the issuer (a "principal holder"). If the principal holder is not an individual, state in a note to the table the name of any person that, directly or indirectly, beneficially owns or controls more than 50% of the voting rights of the principal holder.

Name and municipality of principal residence	Position(s) with the issuer

- **3.2.** State: "You can obtain further information about directors and executive officers from [insert the name and date of the document(s) with the most current information, e.g., management information circular, annual information form or material change report]."
- **3.3.** State: "Current information regarding the securities held by directors, executive officers and principal holders can be obtained from [refer to the SEDI website at www.sedi.ca or, if information cannot be obtained from the SEDI website, refer to the securities regulatory authority(ies) or regulator(s) from which the information can be obtained, including any website(s)]. [Name of issuer or other term used to refer to issuer] can not guarantee the accuracy of this information."
- **3.4. Loans** Disclose the principal amount of any debenture or loan, the repayment terms, security, due date and interest rate due to or from the directors, management, promoters and principal holders as at a date not more than 30 days prior to the date of the offering memorandum.

Item 4 Capital Structure

Using the following table, provide the required information about outstanding securities of the issuer (including options, warrants and other securities convertible into shares). If necessary, notes to the table may be added to describe the material terms of the securities.

Description of	Number	Price per	Number	Number	Number
security	authorized to be issued	security	outstanding as at [a date not more than 30 days prior to the offering memorandum date]	outstanding after min. offering	outstanding after max. offering
			•		

Item 5 Securities Offered

- **5.1. Terms of Securities** Describe the material terms of the securities being offered, including:
 - (a) voting rights or restrictions on voting,
 - (b) conversion or exercise price and date of expiry,
 - (c) rights of redemption or retraction, and
 - (d) interest rates or dividend rates.

5.2. Subscription Procedure

- (a) Describe how a purchaser can subscribe for the securities and the method of payment.
- (b) State that the consideration will be held in trust and the period that it will be held (refer at least to the mandatory 2 day period).
- (c) Disclose any conditions to closing e.g., receipt of additional funds from other sources. If there is a minimum offering, disclose when consideration will be returned to purchasers if the minimum is not met.

Item 6 Income Tax Consequences and RRSP Eligibility

- **6.1.** State: "You should consult your own professional advisers to obtain advice on the income tax consequences that apply to you".
- **6.2.** If income tax consequences are a material aspect of the securities being offered (e.g., flow-through shares), provide
- (a) a summary of the significant income tax consequences to Canadian residents, and
 - (b) the name of the person providing the income tax disclosure in (a).
- **6.3.** Provide advice regarding the RRSP eligibility of the securities and the name of the person providing the advice or state "Not all securities are eligible for investment in a registered retirement savings plan (RRSP). You should consult your own professional advisers to obtain advice on the RRSP eligibility of these securities."

Item 7 Compensation Paid to Sellers and Finders

If any person has or will receive any compensation (e.g., commission, corporate finance fee or finder's fee) in connection with the offering, provide the following information to the extent applicable:

- (a) a description of each type of compensation and the estimated amount to be paid for each type,
- (b) if a commission is being paid, the percentage that the commission will represent of the gross proceeds of the offering (assuming both the minimum and maximum offering),
- (c) details of any broker's warrants or agent's option (including number of securities under option, exercise price and expiry date), and
- (d) if any portion of the compensation will be paid in securities, details of the securities (including number, type and, if options or warrants, the exercise price and expiry date).

Item 8 Risk Factors

Describe in order of importance, starting with the most important, the risk factors material to the issuer that a reasonable investor would consider important in deciding whether to buy the issuer's securities.

Risk factors will generally fall into the following 3 categories:

- (a) Investment Risk risks that are specific to the securities being offered. Some examples include
 - arbitrary determination of price,
 - no market or an illiquid market for the securities,
 - resale restrictions, and
 - subordination of debt securities.
 - (b) Issuer Risk risks that are specific to the issuer. Some examples include
 - insufficient funds to accomplish the issuer's business objectives,
 - no history or a limited history of revenue or profits,
 - lack of specific management or technical expertise,

- management's regulatory and business track record,
- dependence on key employees, suppliers or agreements,
- dependence on financial viability of guarantor,
- pending and outstanding litigation, and
- political risk factors.
- (c) Industry Risk risks faced by the issuer because of the industry in which it operates. Some examples include
 - environmental and industry regulation,
 - product obsolescence, and
 - competition.

Item 9 Reporting Obligations

- **9.1.** Disclose the documents that will be sent to purchasers on an annual or on-going basis.
- **9.2.** If corporate or securities information about the issuer is available from a government, securities regulatory authority or regulator, SRO or quotation and trade reporting system, disclose where that information can be located (including website address).

Item 10 Resale Restrictions

For trades in Alberta, British Columbia, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Northwest Territories, Nova Scotia, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Québec, Saskatchewan and Yukon, state:

"These securities will be subject to a number of resale restrictions, including a restriction on trading. Until the restriction on trading expires, you will not be able to trade the securities unless you comply with an exemption from the prospectus and registration requirements under securities legislation.

Unless permitted under securities legislation, you cannot trade the securities before the date that is 4 months and a day after the distribution date."

Item 11 Purchasers' Rights

State the following:

"If you purchase these securities you will have certain rights, some of which are described below. For information about your rights you should consult a lawyer.

- (1) **2-Day Cancellation Right** You can cancel your agreement to purchase these securities. To do so, you must send a notice to us by midnight on the 2nd business day after you sign the agreement to buy the securities.
- (2) Statutory Rights of Action in the Event of a Misrepresentation [Insert this section only if the securities legislation of the jurisdiction in which the trade occurs provides purchasers with statutory rights in the event of a misrepresentation in an offering memorandum. Modify the language, if necessary, to conform to the statutory rights.] If there is a misrepresentation in this offering memorandum, you have a statutory right to sue:
- (a) [name of issuer or other term used to refer to issuer] to cancel your agreement to buy these securities, or
- (b) for damages against [state the name of issuer or other term used to refer to issuer and the title of any other person against whom the rights are available].

This statutory right to sue is available to you whether or not you relied on the misrepresentation. However, there are various defences available to the persons or companies that you have a right to sue. In particular, they have a defence if you knew of the misrepresentation when you purchased the securities.

If you intend to rely on the rights described in (a) or (b) above, you must do so within strict time limitations. You must commence your action to cancel the agreement within [state time period provided by the securities legislation]. You must commence your action for damages within [state time period provided by the securities legislation].

- (3) Contractual Rights of Action in the Event of a Misrepresentation [Insert this section only if the securities legislation of the jurisdiction in which the purchaser is resident does not provide purchasers with statutory rights in the event of a misrepresentation in an offering memorandum.] If there is a misrepresentation in this offering memorandum, you have a contractual right to sue [name of issuer or other term used to refer to issuer]:
 - (a) to cancel your agreement to buy these securities, or
 - (b) for damages.

This contractual right to sue is available to you whether or not you relied on the misrepresentation. However, in an action for damages, the amount you may recover will not exceed the price that you paid for your securities and will not include any part of the damages that [name of issuer or other term used to refer to issuer] proves does not represent the depreciation in value of the securities resulting from the misrepresentation. [Name of issuer or other term used to refer to issuer] has a defence if it proves that you knew of the misrepresentation when you purchased the securities.

If you intend to rely on the rights described in (a) or (b) above, you must do so within strict time limitations. You must commence your action to cancel the agreement within 180 days after you signed the agreement to purchase the securities. You must commence your action for damages within the earlier of 180 days after learning of the misrepresentation and 3 years after you signed the agreement to purchase the securities."

Item 12 Date and Certificate

State the following on the certificate page of the offering memorandum: "Dated [insert the date the certificate page of the offering memorandum is signed].

This offering memorandum does not contain a misrepresentation."

Instructions for Completing Form 45-106F3 Offering Memorandum for Qualifying Issuers

A. General Instructions

- 1. Only a "qualifying issuer" may use this form.
- 2. An issuer using this form to draft an offering memorandum must incorporate by reference certain parts of its existing continuous disclosure base. An issuer that does not want to do this must use Form 45-106F2 Offering Memorandum for Non-Qualifying Issuers.
- 3. Draft the offering memorandum so that it is easy to read and understand. Be concise and use clear, plain language. Avoid technical terms. If technical terms are necessary, provide definitions.
- 4. Address the items required by the form in the order set out in the form. However, it is not necessary to provide disclosure about an item that does not apply.
- 5. The issuer may include additional information in the offering memorandum other than that specifically required by the form. The offering memorandum is generally not required to contain the level of detail and extent of disclosure required by a prospectus. However, an offering memorandum must provide a prospective purchaser with sufficient information to make an informed investment decision.
- 6. The issuer may wrap the offering memorandum around a prospectus or similar document. However, all matters required to be disclosed by the offering memorandum must be addressed and the offering memorandum must provide a cross-reference to the page number or heading in the wrapped document where the relevant information is contained. The certificate to the offering memorandum must be modified to indicate that the offering memorandum, including the document around which it is wrapped, does not contain a misrepresentation.
- 7. It is an offence to make a misrepresentation in the offering memorandum. This applies both to information that is required by the form and to additional information that is provided. Include particulars of any material facts, which have not been disclosed under any of the Item numbers and for which failure to disclose would constitute a misrepresentation in the offering memorandum. Refer also to section 3.8(3) of Policy Statement to Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions (Decision 2009-PDG-0119, 2009-09-04) for additional information.
- 8. Refer to Regulation 43-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (chapter V-1.1, r. 15) (Regulation 43-101) when disclosing scientific or technical information for a mineral project of the issuer.

- 9. If an oil and gas issuer is disclosing information about its oil and gas activities, it must ensure that the information is disclosed in accordance with Part 4 and Part 5 of Regulation 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities (chapter V-1.1, r. 23) (Regulation 51-101). Under section 5.3 of Regulation 51-101 disclosure of reserves or resources must be consistent with the reserves and resources terminology and categories set out in the Canadian Oil and Gas Evaluation Handbook. For the purposes of this instruction, references to reporting issuer in Part 4 and Part 5 of Regulation 51-101 will be deemed to include all issuers.
- 10. Securities legislation restricts what can be told to investors about the issuer's intent to list or quote securities on an exchange or market. Refer to applicable securities legislation before making any such statements.
- 11. If an issuer uses this form in connection with a distribution under an exemption other than section 2.9 (offering memorandum) of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions (chapter V-1.1, r. 21), the issuer must modify the disclosure in item 12 to correctly describe the purchaser's rights. If a purchaser does not have statutory or contractual rights of action in the event of a misrepresentation in the offering memorandum, that fact must be stated in bold on the face page.
- 12. During the course of a distribution of securities, any material forward-looking information disseminated must only be that which is set out in the offering memorandum. If an extract of FOFI, as defined in Regulation 51-102 respecting Continuous Disclosure Obligations (chapter V-1.1, r. 24) (Regulation 51-102), is disseminated, the extract or summary must be reasonably balanced and have a cautionary note in boldface stating that the information presented is not complete and that complete FOFI is included in the offering memorandum.

B. Financial Statements

- 1. All financial statements incorporated by reference into the offering memorandum must comply with Regulation 51-102 and Regulation 52-107 respecting Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards (chapter V-1.1, r. 25).
- 2. Forward-looking information included in an offering memorandum must comply with section 4A.2 of Regulation 51-102 and must include the disclosure described in section 4A.3 of Regulation 51-102. In addition to the foregoing, FOFI or a financial outlook, each as defined in Regulation 51-102, included in an offering memorandum must comply with Part 4B of Regulation 51-102. Additional guidance may be found in the Policy Statement to Regulation 51-102 respecting Continuous Disclosure Obligations (Decision 2006-PDG-0223, 2006-12-12).

C. Required Updates to the Offering Memorandum

1. If the offering memorandum does not incorporate by reference the issuer's AIF, and audited financial statements for its most recently completed financial year, update

the offering memorandum for any financial statements that are required to be filed prior to the distribution to incorporate by reference the documents as soon as the documents are filed on SEDAR.

2. Except for documents referred to in C.1, the offering memorandum does not have to be updated to incorporate by reference interim financial reports or other documents referred to in D.1 unless it is necessary to do so to prevent the offering memorandum from containing a misrepresentation.

D. Information about the Issuer

- 1. **Existing Documents Incorporated by Reference** In addition to any other document that an issuer may choose to incorporate by reference, the issuer must incorporate the following documents:
- (a) the issuer's AIF for the issuer's most recently completed financial year for which annual financial statements are either required to be filed or have been filed,
- (b) material change reports, except confidential material change reports, filed since the end of the financial year in respect of which the issuer's AIF is filed,
- (c) the interim financial report for the issuer's most recently completed interim period for which the issuer prepares an interim financial report that is required to be filed or have been filed and which ends after the most recently completed financial year referred to in (d),
- (d) the comparative financial statements, together with the accompanying auditor's report, for the issuer's most recently completed financial year for which annual financial statements are required to be filed or have been filed,
- (e) if, before the offering memorandum is filed, financial information about the issuer for a financial period more recent than the period for which financial statements are required under D.1(c) and (d) is publicly disseminated by, or on behalf of, the issuer through news release or otherwise, the content of the news release or public communication,
- (f) management's discussion and analysis (MD&A) as required under Regulation 51-102 for the period specified in D.1(c) and D.1(d),
- (g) each business acquisition report required to be filed under Regulation 51-102 for acquisitions completed since the beginning of the financial year in respect of which the issuer's AIF is filed, unless the issuer incorporated the business acquisition report by reference into its AIF for its most recently completed financial year for which annual financial statements are either required to be filed or have been filed, or incorporated at least 9 months of the acquired business or related businesses operations into the issuer's most recent audited financial statements,

- (h) any information circular filed by the issuer since the beginning of the financial year in respect of which the issuer's most recent AIF is filed, other than an information circular prepared in connection with an annual general meeting if the issuer has filed and incorporated by reference an information circular for a subsequent annual general meeting,
- (i) if the issuer has oil and gas activities, as defined in Regulation 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities, the most recent Form 51-101F1, Form 51-101F2 and Form 51-101F3, filed by an SEC issuer, unless
 - (i) the issuer's current AIF is in the form of Form 51-102F2; or
- (ii) the issuer is otherwise exempted from the requirements of Regulation 51-101,
- (j) any other disclosure document which the issuer has filed pursuant to an undertaking to a provincial and territorial securities regulatory authority or regulator since the beginning of the financial year in respect of which the issuer's most recent AIF is filed, and
- (k) any other disclosure document of the type listed above that the issuer has filed pursuant to an exemption from any requirement under securities legislation since the beginning of the financial year in respect of which the issuer's most recent AIF is filed.
- 2. **Mineral Property** If a material part of the funds available as a result of the distribution is to be expended on a particular mineral property and if the issuer's most recent AIF does not contain the disclosure required under section 5.4 of Form 51-102F2 for the property or that disclosure is inadequate or incorrect due to changes, disclose the information required under section 5.4 of Form 51-102F2.

An issuer may incorporate any additional document provided that the document is available for viewing on the SEDAR website and that, on request by a purchaser, the issuer provides a copy of the document to the purchaser, without charge.

M.O. 2009-05, Sch. 45-106F3; M.O. 2010-17, s. 7.

Risk Acknowledgem	nent
-------------------	------

I acknowledge that this is a risky investment.

- I am investing entirely at my own risk.
- No securities regulatory authority or regulator has evaluated or endorsed the merits of these securities or the disclosure in the offering memorandum.
- The person selling me these securities is not registered with a securities regulatory authority or regulator and has no duty to tell me whether this investment is suitable for me. [Instruction: Delete if sold by registrant]
- I will not be able to sell these securities except in very limited circumstances. I may never be able to sell these securities. [Instruction: Delete if issuer is reporting]
- The securities are redeemable, but I may only be able to redeem them in limited circumstances. [Instruction: Delete if securities are not redeemable]
- I will not be able to sell these securities for 4 months. [Instruction: Delete if issuer is not reporting or if the purchaser is a Manitoba resident]
 - I could lose all the money I invest.

to pay in fut	ure] of this to	[total consideration] in to [name of issuer] wi [name of	ll pay \$	[amount of fee or
I acknowled	ge that this is a	risky investment and that	t I could lose all	the money I invest.
Date	Signature of F	Purchaser		
Print name of	f Purchaser	_		
Sign 2 copies of this document. Keep one copy for your records.				

You have 2 business days to cancel your purchase [Instruction: The issuer must complete this section before giving the form to the purchaser.]

To do so, send a notice to [name of issuer] stating that you want to cancel your purchase. You must send the notice before midnight on the 2**nd** business day after you sign the agreement to purchase the securities. You can send the notice by fax or email or deliver it in person to [name of issuer] at its business address. Keep a copy of the notice for your records.

Issuer Name and Address:		
Fax:	E-mail:	

You are buying Exempt Market Securities

They are called *exempt market securities* because 2 parts of securities law do not apply to them. If an issuer wants to sell *exempt market securities* to you:

- the issuer does not have to give you a prospectus (a document that describes the investment in detail and gives you some legal protections), and
- the securities do not have to be sold by an investment dealer registered with a securities regulatory authority or regulator.

There are restrictions on your ability to resell *exempt market securities*. *Exempt market securities* are more risky than other securities.

You will receive an offering memorandum Read the offering memorandum carefully because it has important information about the issuer and its securities. Keep the offering memorandum because you have rights based on it. Talk to a lawyer for details about these rights.

You will not receive advice [Instruction: Delete if sold by registrant]

You will not get professional advice about whether the investment is suitable for you. But you can still seek that advice from a registered adviser or registered dealer. In Alberta, Manitoba, Northwest Territories, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Québec, Saskatchewan and Yukon to qualify as an eligible investor, you may be required to obtain that advice.

The securities you are buying are not listed [Instruction: Delete if securities are listed or quoted]

The securities you are buying are not listed on any stock exchange, and they may never be listed. You may never be able to sell these securities.

The issuer of your securities is a non-reporting issuer [Instruction: Delete if issuer is reporting]

A *non-reporting issuer* does not have to publish financial information or notify the public of changes in its business. You may not receive ongoing information about this issuer.

For more information on the exempt market, call your local securities regulatory authority or regulator. [Instruction: Insert the name, telephone number and website address of the securities regulatory authority or regulator in the jurisdiction in which you are selling these securities.]

[Instruction: The purchaser must sign 2 copies of this form. The purchaser and the issuer must each receive a signed copy.]

M.O. 2009-05, Sch. 45-106F4.

Risk Acknowledgement Saskatchewan Close Personal Friends and Close Business Associates

I acknowledge that this is a risky investment:

- I am investing entirely at my own risk.
- No securities regulatory authority or regulator has evaluated or endorsed the merits of these securities.
- The person selling me these securities is not registered with a securities regulatory authority or regulator and has no duty to tell me whether this investment is suitable for me. [Instruction: Delete if sold by registrant]
- I will not be able to sell these securities except in very limited circumstances. I may never be able to sell these securities. [Instruction: Delete if issuer is reporting]
- The securities are redeemable, but I may only be able to redeem them in limited circumstances. [Instruction: Delete if securities are not redeemable]
- I will not be able to sell these securities for 4 months. [Instruction: Delete if issuer is not reporting]
 - I could lose all the money I invest.
- I do not have a 2-day right to cancel my purchase of these securities or the statutory rights of action for misrepresentation I would have if I were purchasing the securities under a prospectus. I do have a 2-day right to cancel my purchase of these securities if I receive an amended offering document.

doddinent.		
I am investing \$to pay in future.	[total consideration] in total; the	his includes any amount I am obliged
[state title	- founder, director, executive office	r or control person] of n affiliate of the issuer" and give the
name of founder, director		elationship with [state] whom I know well enough and for a es and trustworthiness.
I acknowledge that this i	is a risky investment and that I co	uld lose all the money I invest.
Date	Signature of Purchaser	<u></u>
Print name of Purchaser		<u></u>
Sign 2 copies of this docu	ment. Keep one copy for your record	ds.

You are buying Exempt Market Securities

They are called *exempt market securities* because 2 parts of securities law do not apply to them. If an issuer wants to sell *exempt market securities* to you:

- the issuer does not have to give you a prospectus (a document that describes the investment in detail and gives you some legal protections), and
- the securities do not have to be sold by an investment dealer registered with a securities regulatory authority or regulator.

There are restrictions on your ability to resell *exempt market securities*. Exempt market securities are more risky than other securities.

You may not receive any written information about the issuer or its business

If you have any questions about the issuer or its business, ask for written clarification before you purchase the securities. You should consult your own professional advisers before investing in the securities.

You will not receive advice [Instruction: Delete if sold by registrant]

Unless you consult your own professional advisers, you will not get professional advice about whether the investment is suitable for you.

The issuer of your securities is a non-reporting issuer [Instruction: Delete if issuer is reporting]

A *non-reporting issuer* does not have to publish financial information or notify the public of changes in its business. You may not receive ongoing information about this issuer. You can only sell the securities of a non-reporting issuer in very limited circumstances. You may never be able to sell these securities.

The securities you are buying are not listed [Instruction: Delete if securities are listed or quoted]

The securities you are buying are not listed on any stock exchange, and they may never be listed. There may be no market for these securities. You may never be able to sell these securities.

For more information on the exempt market, refer to the Saskatchewan Financial Services Commission's website at http://www.sfsc.gov.sk.ca.

[Instruction: The purchaser must sign 2 copies of this form. The purchaser and the issuer must each receive a signed copy.]

M.O. 2009-05, Sch. 45-106F5.

FORM 45-106F6 BRITISH COLUMBIA REPORT OF EXEMPT DISTRIBUTION

This is the form required under section 6.1 of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions for a report of exempt distribution in British Columbia.

Issuer/underwriter information

Item 1: Issuer/underwriter name and contact information

A. State the following:

- the full name of the issuer of the security distributed. Include the former name of the issuer if its name has changed since this report was last filed;
- the issuer's website address: and
- the address, telephone number and email address of the issuer's head office.
- B. If an underwriter is completing this report, state the following:
 - the full name of the underwriter:
 - the underwriter's website address; and
 - the address, telephone number and email address of the underwriter's head office.

Item 2: Reporting issuer status

A. State whether the issuer is or is not a reporting issuer and, if reporting, each of the jurisdictions in which it is reporting.

B. If the issuer is an investment fund managed by an investment fund manager registered in a jurisdiction of Canada, name the investment fund manager and state the jurisdiction(s) where it is registered.

Indicate the industry of the issuer by checking the appropriate box below. Agriculture Biotechnology/Pharmaceuticals/Healthcare Capital Pool Companies Communications & Media Consumer Products & Merchandising Financial Services – banks & trusts Indicate the industry Forestry Mining – exploration/development Mining – production Oil & Gas Pipelines Real Estate

Financial Services – insurance	Real Estate Investment Trust
Financial Services – investment	<u>Technology</u>
companies & funds	
Financial Services – mortgage investment	Transportation/Infrastructure
<u>companies</u>	
Financial Services – private equity/venture	Utilities/Power Generation
<u>capital</u>	
Financial Services – securitization	Other (describe)
<u>conduits</u>	
Industrial Products	
Bio-tech	—— Mining
Financial Services	— Exploration/development
investment companies and fur	
mortgage investment compani	i es 🔲 Oil and gas
	Real estate
Hi-tech	Utilities
Industrial	Other (describe)

Item 4: Insiders and promoters of non-reporting issuers

If the issuer is an investment fund managed by an investment fund manager registered in a jurisdiction of Canada, do not complete this table.

If the issuer is not a reporting issuer in any jurisdiction of Canada, complete the following table by providing information about each <u>insider_director</u>, <u>executive officer</u>, <u>control person_and promoter of the issuer.</u> If the <u>insider_control person_or promoter is not an individual, complete the table for directors and officers of the <u>insider_control person_or promoter.</u></u>

	Information about insiders and promoters						
Full name, municipality, province or state and country of principal residence	All positions held (e.g., director, officer, promoter and/or holder of more than 10% of voting securities)	Number and type of securities of the issuer beneficially owned or, directly or indirectly controlled or directed, on the distribution date, including any securities purchased under the distribution	Total price paid for all securities beneficially owned or, directly or indirectly controlled or directed, on the distribution date, including any securities purchased under the distribution (Canadian \$)				

Details of distribution

Item 5: Distribution date

State the distribution date. If this report is being filed for securities distributed on more than one distribution date, state all distribution dates.

Item 6: Number and type of securities

For each security distributed:

- describe the type of security;
- state the total number of securities distributed. If the security is convertible or exchangeable, describe the type of underlying security, the terms of exercise or conversion and any expiry date; and
- if the issuer is an investment fund managed by an investment fund manager registered in a jurisdiction of Canada, state the exemption(s) relied on. If more than one exemption is relied on, state the amount raised using each exemption.

Item 7: Geographical information about purchasers

Complete the following table for each Canadian and foreign jurisdiction where purchasers of the securities reside. Do not include in this table information about securities issued as payment of commissions or finder's fees disclosed under item 9 of this report. The information provided in this table must reconcile with the information provided in item 8 and Schedules I and II.

Each Canadian and foreign jurisdiction where purchasers reside	Number of purchasers ¹	Price per security (Canadian \$) ⁴²	Total dollar value raised from purchasers in the jurisdiction (Canadian \$)
Total number of Purchasers			
Total dollar value of distribution in all jurisdictions (Canadian \$)			

Note 1: If more than one exemption is relied on in the same jurisdiction, state the number of purchasers in that jurisdiction using each exemption.

Note 2: If securities are issued at different prices, list the highest and lowest price for which the securities were sold.

Item 8: Information about purchasers

Instructions

A. If the issuer is an investment fund managed by an investment fund manager registered in a jurisdiction of Canada, do not complete this table.

B. Information about the purchasers of securities under the distribution is required to be disclosed in different tables in this report. Complete

- the following table for each purchaser that is not an individual, and
- the tables in Schedules I and II of this report for each purchaser who is an individual.

Do not include in the tables information about securities issued as payment of commissions or finder's fees disclosed under item 9 of this report.

C. When identifying the exemption relied on, refer to the specific subsection of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions. For example, if relying on the exemption in section 2.10 [Minimum Amount Investment], the column should state "2.10(1)". For exemptions that require the purchaser to meet certain characteristics, such as the exemption in section 2.3 [Accredited investor] or section 2.5 [Family, friends and business associates], also state the specific paragraph that applies to the purchaser. If the purchaser qualifies under multiple paragraphs, state all paragraphs that apply. For example, when relying on section 2.3 [Accredited investor], if the purchaser qualifies under paragraph (j) of the definition of accredited investor in section 1.1, the column must show "2.3(1) – (j)". If the purchaser qualifies under both paragraphs (j) and (k), the column must show "2.3(1) – (j), (k)".

<u>D.</u> An issuer or underwriter completing this table in connection with a distribution using the exemption in subparagraph 6.1(1)(j) of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions may choose to replace the information in the first column with the total number of purchasers, whether individuals or not, by jurisdiction. If the issuer or underwriter chooses to do so, then the issuer or underwriter is not required to complete the second column or the tables in Schedules I and II.

Information about non-individual purchasers						
Full name and address of purchaser and name, and telephone number and email address of a contact person	Indicate if the purchaser is an insider (I) of the issuer or a registrant (R)	Number and type of securities purchased	Total purchase price (Canadian \$)	Exemption relied on (specific subsection and paragraph)	Date of distribution (yyyy-mm- dd)	Full name of any person compensated for the distribution to this purchaser ¹

Note 1: The name of the person compensated must reconcile with the information provided in item 9 of this report.

Commissions and finder's fees

Item 9: Commissions and finder's fees

Instructions

A. Complete the following table by providing information for each person who has received or will receive compensation in connection with the distribution(s). Compensation includes commissions, discounts or other fees or payments of a similar nature. Do not include information about payments for services incidental to the distribution, such as clerical, printing, legal or accounting services.

B. If the securities being issued as compensation are or include convertible securities, such as warrants or options, add a footnote describing the terms of the convertible securities, including the term and exercise price. Do not include the exercise price of any convertible security in the total dollar value of the compensation unless the securities have been converted.

	Full name, address, telephone number and email address of the person being compensated	Indicate if the person being compensated is an insider (I) of the issuer or a registrant (R)	Compensation paid or to be paid (cash and/or securities)				
				Securities			
			Cash (Canadian \$)	Number and type of securities issued	Price per security (Canadian \$)	Exemption relied on and date of distribution (yyyy-mm- dd)	Total dollar value of compensation (Canadian \$)

Note 1: If the issuer is an investment fund, indicate "A" for affiliate or associate if the person being compensated is the investment fund, the investment fund manager, an affiliate of the investment fund manager or a director, officer or employee of any of them. Also indicate "R" if the person is a registrant.

Certificate

On behalf of the [issuer/underwriter], I certify that the true.	statements made in this report are
Date:	-

Name of [issuer/underwriter] (please print)

Print name, <u>title</u>, <u>telephone number and email address</u>title and <u>telephone number</u> of person signing

Signature

Instruction

The person certifying this report must complete the information in the square brackets by deleting the inapplicable word. For electronic filings, substitute a typewritten signature for a manual signature.

Item 10: Contact information

State the name, <u>title</u>, <u>telephone number and email address</u>title and telephone number of the person who may be contacted with respect to any questions regarding the contents of this report, if different than the person signing the certificate.

IT IS AN OFFENCE TO MAKE A MISREPRESENTATION IN THIS REPORT.

Notice – Collection and use of personal information

The British Columbia Securities Commission collects and uses the personal information required to be included in this report for the administration and enforcement of the Securities Act. If you have any questions about the collection and use of this information, contact the British Columbia Securities Commission at the following address:

British Columbia Securities Commission

P.O. Box 10142, Pacific Centre 701 West Georgia Street Vancouver, British Columbia V7Y 1L2

Telephone: (604) 899-6500

Toll free across Canada: 1-800-373-6393

Facsimile: (604) 899-6581

Schedule I

Public information about purchasers who are individuals

A. If the issuer is an investment fund managed by an investment fund manager registered in a jurisdiction of Canada, do not complete the following table or the table in Schedule II.

B. Information about the purchasers of securities under the distribution is required to be disclosed in different tables in this report. Complete

- the following table and the table in Schedule II for each purchaser who is an individual, and
- the table in item 8 for each purchaser that is not an individual.

Do not include in the tables information about securities issued as payment of commissions or finder's fees disclosed under item 9 of this report.

- C. An issuer or underwriter filing this report in connection with a distribution using the exemption in subparagraph 6.1(1)(j) of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions may choose to replace the information in the first column of the table in item 8 with the total number of purchasers, whether individuals or not, by jurisdiction. If the issuer or underwriter chooses to do so, then the issuer or underwriter is not required to complete the following table or the table in Schedule II.
- D. The information in the following table is available for public inspection at the British Columbia Securities Commission during normal business hours.

Public information about purchasers who are individuals Unless exempted by the British Columbia Securities Commission, a person must not, directly or indirectly, use the information in this table, in whole or in part, for any purpose other than research concerning the issuer for the person's own investment purpose. Full name of Full name of Indicate if the Number and Total Date of purchaser purchaser is an purchase distribution any person type of insider (I) of the securities price (yyyy-mm-dd) compensated issuer or a (Canadian \$) purchased for the distribution to registrant (R) this purchaser¹

Note 1: The name of the person compensated must reconcile with the information provided in item 9 of this report.

Schedule II

Confidential information about purchasers who are individuals

- A. Complete the following table for each purchaser who is an individual. The information in this table must reconcile with the table in Schedule I.
- B. When identifying the exemption relied on, refer to the specific subsection of Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions. For example, if relying on the exemption in section 2.10 [Minimum Amount Investment], the column should state "2.10(1)". For exemptions that require the purchaser to meet certain characteristics,

such as the exemption in section 2.3 [Accredited investor] or section 2.5 [Family, friends and business associates], also state the specific paragraph that applies to the purchaser. If the purchaser qualifies under multiple paragraphs, state all paragraphs that apply. For example, when relying on section 2.3 [Accredited investor], if the purchaser qualifies under paragraph (j) of the definition of accredited investor in section 1.1, the column must show "2.3(1) – (j)". If the purchaser qualifies under both paragraphs (j) and (k), the column must show "2.3(1) – (j), (k)".

C. The information in the following table will not be placed on the public file of the British Columbia Securities Commission.

Confidential information about purchasers who are individuals				
Full name, residential address, and telephone number and email address of purchaser	Exemption relied on (specific subsection and paragraph)			

Guidance for completing and filing Form 45-106F6

- 1. Required form in British Columbia In British Columbia, file this report and the applicable fee using BCSC e-services in accordance with British Columbia Instrument 13-502 Electronic filing of reports of exempt distribution. If the distribution occurs in British Columbia and one or more other jurisdictions, the issuer is required to file this report in British Columbia and file Form 45-106F1 in the other applicable jurisdictions.
- 2. What is a distribution? In British Columbia, "distribution" includes distributions made from British Columbia to purchasers resident in other Canadian or foreign jurisdictions if the issuer has a significant connection to British Columbia. If the issuer has a significant connection to British Columbia, complete the tables in item 8 and Schedules I and II for all purchasers. BC Interpretation Note 72-702 Distribution of Securities to Persons Outside British Columbia provides guidance on when an issuer has a significant connection to British Columbia.

In British Columbia, "distribution" also includes distributions made from another Canadian or foreign jurisdiction to purchasers resident in British Columbia. If the issuer is from another Canadian or foreign jurisdiction, complete the tables in item 8, item 9 and Schedules I and II only for purchasers resident in British Columbia.

3. What is a purchaser? - References to a purchaser in this report are to the beneficial owner of the securities. If a trust company or a registered adviser has purchased on behalf of a fully managed account under subsections 2.3(2) and (4) of Regulation 45 106 respecting Prospectus Exemptions, give information about both the

trust company or registered adviser and the beneficial owner of the fully managed account.

- 4. What is an individual? Individual is defined in securities legislation to mean a natural person. A corporation, partnership, party, trust, fund, association, and any other organized group of persons is not an individual.
- 5. If a purchaser refuses to provide their telephone number or email address, then indicate "not provided" in the applicable table.
- 6. Space in tables If the space provided in any table in this Form is insufficient, please adjust the table to include additional space.
- 7. Multiple distributions One report may be used for multiple distributions occurring within 10 days of each other if the report is filed on or before the 10th day following the first of such distributions.
- 8. Fees In order to determine the applicable fee, consult Fee Checklist British Columbia Form 11-901F (item # 16).

N11-316, s. 2.

FORM 45-106F9

RISK ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FORM FOR INDIVIDUAL ACCREDITED INVESTORS

WARNING TO INVESTORS

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE PURCHASER:

1. Acknowledgement of risk

I acknowledge that this is a risky investment. I could lose all of the \$
[insert amount being invested, including any amounts you have agreed to pay in the future] I invest.

I understand that I may never be able to sell these securities and I may not be provided with any ongoing information from the issuer I invest in. [Instruction: Delete if issuer is a reporting issuer.]

I acknowledge that, because I am purchasing this investment under the accredited investor prospectus exemption, I will not have the benefit of certain protections under securities law, including detailed disclosure about the investment.

First and last name (please print):

Signature:

Date:

2. How I qualify to buy these securities

I confirm that I am an accredited investor because I satisfy at least one of the following tests (initial all that apply):	Purchaser's initials
Either alone or with my spouse, I own cash and securities worth more than \$1 million, less any related debt.	
My net income before taxes was more than \$200,000 in each of the 2 most recent calendar years and I expect it to exceed \$200,000 in this calendar year. (The amount of net income can be found in your personal income tax form.)	
My net income before taxes combined with my spouse's was more than \$300,000 in each of the 2 most recent calendar years and I expect our combined net income to exceed \$300,000 in this calendar year. (The amount of net income can be found in your personal income tax form.)	
Either alone or with my spouse, I own net assets (being my total assets, including real estate, less my total debt) worth more than \$5 million.	

3. What I am buying	
Number and type of securities:	
Name of issuer:	
I understand that \$	of my total investment is being paid to the salesperson
as a fee or commission.	
Initial by the purchaser:	

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE ISSUER OR SELLING SECURITY HOLDER: [Instruction: The issuer/selling securityholder must complete this section before delivering this form to the purchaser. If the issuer is an investment fund, the issuer must provide the name of the investment fund, the name and address of the investment fund manager and the name and phone number of a contact person for the investment fund manager.]

4. How to contact the issuer/selling securityholder
Name and address of issuer/selling securityholder:
First and last name of contact person:
Phone number:
Email address:

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE PERSON INVOLVED IN THE SALE OF THE SECURITIES: [Instruction: Before providing this document to a salesperson, the issuer/selling securityholder must remove the appropriate box to reflect whether the issuer is an investment fund or not. Any person involved in meeting with the purchaser or providing information to the purchaser must complete this section by answering "yes" or "no" and filling in their contact information before delivering this form to the purchaser.]

5. Who is selling these securities?	Yes/No
I am registered with (insert name of	
registered firm).*	
[Instruction: Delete if issuer is an investment fund.] I am a director, officer or	
employee of the issuer.	
[Instruction: Delete if issuer is not an investment fund.] I am a director,	
officer or employee of the investment fund, of the investment fund	
manager or of an affiliate of the investment fund manager.	
I am not registered with a securities regulator and generally not qualified	
to provide investment advice.	
First and last name (please print):	
Signature:	
Date:	
Phone number:	
Email address:	

*Persons in the business of selling securities or offering investment advice are generally required to be registered with their provincial or territorial securities regulatory authority, unless they have an exemption. A purchaser can check the seller's registration status and history at the following website: www.aretheyregistered.ca

Form Instructions:

- 1. This form must be presented to purchasers on one double-sided page. The cover page must contain purchaser boxes 1, 2 and 3. The back page must contain issuer/selling securityholder box 4 and salesperson box 5.
- 2. The purchaser, issuer and salesperson (if any) must sign 2 copies of this form. Each of the purchaser and the issuer must receive a signed copy of this form. The issuer is required to keep a copy of this form for 8 years after the distribution. If a salesperson has signed this form, the salesperson may choose to keep a copy for their records. The salesperson must ensure that the purchaser and the issuer receive originally signed copies.

POLICY STATEMENT TO REGULATION 45-106 RESPECTING PROSPECTUS AND REGISTRATION EXEMPTIONS

PART 1 INTRODUCTION

Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus—and Registration Exemptions ("Regulation 45-106") provides: (i) exemptions from the prospectus requirement; and (ii) exemptions from registration requirements; and (iii) one exemption from the issuer bid requirements. The registration exemptions in Part 3 of Regulation 45-106 will not apply in any jurisdiction six months after to does not provide exemptions from the requirement to be registered as a dealer, adviser or investment fund manager. Regulation 31-103 respecting Registration Requirements—and, Exemptions and Ongoing Registrant Obligations ("Regulation 31-103") comes into force. A subset of registration exemptions will continue to apply after the six month transition period and will be located in Regulation 31-103.) contains some exemptions from the registration requirement.

1.1. Purpose

The purpose of this Policy Statement is to help users understand how the provincial and territorial securities regulatory authorities and regulators interpret or apply certain provisions of Regulation 45-106. This Policy Statement includes explanations, discussion and examples of the application of various parts of Regulation 45-106.

1.2. All <u>distributions and other</u> trades are subject to securities legislation

The securities legislation of a local jurisdiction applies to any trade in, or distribution of, a security in the local jurisdiction, whether or not the issuer of the security is a reporting issuer in that jurisdiction. Likewise, the definition of "trade" in securities legislation includes any act, advertisement, solicitation, conduct or negotiation directly or indirectly in furtherance of a trade. A person who engages in these activities, or other trading activities, must comply with the securities legislation of each jurisdiction in which the trade or distribution occurs.

1.3. Multi-jurisdictional distributions

A distribution can occur in more than one jurisdiction. If it does, the person conducting the distribution must comply with the securities legislation of each jurisdiction in which the distribution occurs. For example, a distribution from a person in Alberta to a purchaser in British Columbia may be considered a distribution in both jurisdictions.

1.4. Other exemptions

In addition to the exemptions in Regulation 45-106, exemptions may also be available to persons under securities legislation of each local jurisdiction. The CSA has issued CSA Staff Notice 45-304 <u>Notice of Local Exemptions Related to Regulation</u> 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions and Regulation 31-103

<u>respecting Registration Requirements and Exemptions</u> that lists other exemptions available under securities legislation.

1.5. Discretionary relief

In addition to the exemptions contained in Regulation 45-106 and those available under securities legislation of a local jurisdiction, the securities regulatory authority or regulator in each jurisdiction has the discretion to grant exemptions from the prospectus requirement and the registration requirements.

1.6. Advisers Registration business trigger for trading and advising

Securities legislation requires certain persons to be registered if they are any of the following:

- in the business of trading
- in the business of advising
- <u>Subsection 1.5(2) of Regulation 45-106 provides that an exemption from the</u> dealer registration requirement in Regulation 45-106 is deemed to be an exemption from the underwriter registration requirement. However, it is not deemed to be an exemption from the adviser registration requirement. The adviser registration requirement is distinct from the dealer registration requirement. In general terms, persons engaged in the business of, or holding themselves out as being in the business of, providing investment advice are required to be registered, or exempted from registration, under applicable securities legislation. Accordingly, only advisers registered or exempted from registration as advisers may act as advisers in connection with a trade made under Regulation 45-106.holding themselves out as being in the business of trading or advising
- acting as an underwriter
- acting as an investment fund manager

Regulation 31-103 sets out the requirements for registration as well as certain exemptions from these registration requirements.

Issuers relying on prospectus exemptions to distribute securities, or any selling agents they use, may be required to be registered. Policy Statement to Regulation 31-103 gives guidance to issuers on how to apply the registration business trigger.

1.7. Underwriters

Underwriters should not sell securities to the public without providing a prospectus. If an underwriter purchases securities with a view to distribution, the underwriter should purchase the securities under the prospectus exemption in section 2.33 of Regulation 45-106. If the underwriter purchases securities under this exemption, the first trade in the securities will be a distribution. As a result, the underwriter will only be

able to resell the securities if it can rely on another exemption from the prospectus requirement, or if a prospectus is delivered to the purchasers of the securities.

There may be legitimate transactions where a dealer purchases securities under a prospectus exemption other than the exemption in section 2.33 of Regulation 45-106; however, these transactions are only appropriate when the dealer purchases the securities with investment intent and not with a view to distribution.

If a dealer purchases securities through a series of exempt transactions in order to avoid the obligation to deliver a prospectus, the transactions will be viewed as a whole to determine if they constitute a distribution. If a transaction is in effect an indirect distribution, a prospectus will be required to qualify the sale of the securities despite the fact that each interim step in the transaction could otherwise be completed under a prospectus exemption. Such indirect distributions cannot be legitimately structured under Regulation 45-106.

1.8. Persons created to use exemptions ("syndication")

Sections 2.3(5), 3.3(5), 2.4(1), 3.4(1), 2.9(3), 3.9(3), and 2.10(2) and 3.10(2) of Regulation 45-106 specifically prohibit syndications. A distribution or a trade of securities to a person that had no pre-existing purpose and is created or used solely to purchase or hold securities under exemptions (a "syndicate") may be considered a distribution of, or trade in, securities to the persons beneficially owning or controlling the syndicate.

For example, a newly formed company with 15 shareholders is set up with the intention of purchasing \$\frac{150000}{150000}\$ worth of securities under the minimum amount investment exemption. Each shareholder of the newly formed company contributes \$10 000. In this situation the shareholders of the newly formed company are indirectly investing \$10 000 when the exemption requires that they each invest \$150 000. Consequently, both the newly formed company and its shareholders may need to comply with the requirements of the minimum amount investment exemption, or find an alternative exemption to relyonrely on.

Syndication related concerns should not ordinarily arise if the purchaser under the exemption is a corporation, syndicate, partnership or other form of entity that is pre-existing and has a bona fide purpose other than investing in the securities being sold. However, it is an inappropriate use of these exemptions to indirectly distribute or trade securities when the exemption is not available to directly distribute or trade securities to each person in the syndicate.

1.9. Responsibility for compliance and verifying purchaser status

A person distributing or trading securities is responsible for determining when an exemption is available.

Some prospectus exemptions in Regulation 45-106 require the purchaser to be an "accredited investor," an "eligible investor", or a family member, close personal friend or

close business associate of a director, executive officer or control person of the issuer of the security. It is the responsibility of the person relying on the exemption to verify that the purchaser meets the characteristics necessary to determine if the exemption is available.

A seller cannot rely on a form of subscription agreement that only states: "I am an accredited investor". Rather the seller must request that the purchaser provide the details on how they fit within the accredited investor definition. Issuers need this information to complete the report of exempt distribution required under Part 6 of the Regulation.

It will not be sufficient to accept standard representations in a subscription agreement or an initial beside a category on the Form 45-106F9 *Risk Acknowledgement Form for Individual Accredited Investors* unless the person relying on the exemption has taken reasonable steps to verify the representation.

Whether the types of steps are reasonable will depend on the particular facts and circumstances of the investor and the offering, including

- how the person relying on the exemption identified or located the potential investor
- what type of accredited investor the investor claims to be
- how much and what type of background information is known about the investor

For example, persons relying on an exemption that requires the purchaser to meet certain characteristics should:

- <u>•</u> <u>Understand, and be able to explain, the conditions of the exemption − The person relying on the exemption should fully understand the conditions of the exemption and have processes in place to ensure that any employee, officer, director, agent, finder or other intermediary (whether registered or not) retained to identify or approach potential purchasers also fully understand the conditions. This includes understanding and being able to explain to a potential purchaser the meaning of legal terminology used in the exemption. For example, the accredited investor definition uses the terms "financial assets" and "net assets". The person relying on the exemption should be able to clearly explain to potential purchasers the difference between the two terms, such as whether any type of real estate may be included and what types of liabilities should be subtracted when calculating a potential purchaser's financial assets.</u>
- <u>Verify the purchaser meets the conditions of the exemption The person relying on the exemption should describe the conditions of the exemption to the potential purchaser and gather information from the purchaser to confirm their status, before discussing the details of the investment. For the accredited investor exemption, this could include asking the purchaser questions about their income or assets in order to establish that they fit the characteristics of the exemption. The questions should elicit details about the purchaser's financial circumstances. It would not be sufficient to ask a potential purchaser "are you an accredited investor?" For example, asking a potential purchaser</u>

questions about their net income in the past two years and expectations of net income in the current year would provide answers with factual information for purposes of assessing the availability of the accredited investor exemption.

- A person distributing or trading securities is responsible for determining when an exemption is available. In determining whether an exemption is available, a person may rely on factual representations by a purchaser, provided that the person has no reasonable grounds to believe that those representations are false. However, the person distributing or trading securities is responsible for determining whether, given the facts available, the exemption is available. Generally, a person distributing or trading Keep relevant and detailed documentation signed by the purchaser – A person distributing securities under an exemption should retain all necessary documents that show the person properly relied upon the exemption. The person relying on the exemption should consider what documentation they need to collect from purchasers to evidence the steps the seller followed to establish the purchaser met the conditions of the exemption. The seller should ensure it has that documentation signed by the purchaser before distributing securities to that purchaser. For example, an issuer distributing securities to a close personal friend of a director could require that the purchaser provide a signed statement giving the name of the director and describing the nature and length of the purchaser's relationship with the director. On the basis of The issuer may want to verify with the director that the information provided by the purchaser is accurate. Based on that factual information, the issuer could determine whether the purchaser is a close personal friend of the director for the purposes of a family, friends and business associates exemption. The issuer should It is not sufficient to rely merely on a written representation: "I am a close personal friend of a director". Likewise, under the accredited investor exemptions, the seller must have a reasonable belief that the purchaser understands the meaning of the definition of "accredited investor". Prior to discussing the particulars of the investment with the purchaser, the seller should discuss with the purchaser the various criteria for qualifying as an accredited investor and whether the purchaser meets any of the criteria.."
- <u>Establish policies and procedures A person using an employee, officer, director, agent, finder or other intermediary should establish policies and procedures to ensure these parties understand the exemptions, are able to describe them to potential purchasers and know what information and documentation they need to gather from potential purchasers to confirm the purchaser meets the conditions of the exemption. Registered dealers or salespersons must also comply with their obligations under securities legislation, particularly the "know your client" and suitability obligations. Even if the purchaser qualifies as an accredited investor, a registered dealer or salesperson must still assess whether the investment is suitable for the purchaser.</u>

It is not appropriate for a person to assume an exemption is available. For instance a seller should not accept a form of subscription agreement that only states that the purchaser is an accredited investor. Rather the seller should request that the purchaser provide the details on how they fit within the accredited investor definition. The person relying on the exemption may need to take further steps or collect additional information depending on the circumstances. For example, if the issuer has reason to believe that a potential purchaser does not earn the income they claim they do, then the issuer may want

to ask the purchaser to provide documentation confirming their claims, such as income tax returns, bank statements, investment statements, tax assessments or appraisal reports issued by independent third parties. It is the issuer's responsibility to ensure it is complying with the exemption. If the issuer doubts the truth of the purchaser's statements, the issuer should not sell securities to the purchaser.

1.10. Prohibited activities

Securities legislation in certain jurisdictions prohibits any person from making certain representations to a purchaser of securities, including an undertaking about the future value or price of the securities. In certain jurisdictions, these provisions also prohibit a person from making any statement that the person knows or ought reasonably to know is a misrepresentation. These prohibitions apply whether or not a trade or distribution is made under an exemption.

Misrepresentation is defined in securities legislation. The use of exaggeration, innuendo or ambiguity in an oral or written representation about a material fact, or other deceptive behaviour relating to a material fact, might be a misrepresentation.

PART 2 INTERPRETATION

2.1. Definitions

Unless defined in Regulation 45-106, terms used in Regulation 45-106 have the meaning given to them in local securities legislation or in *Regulation 14-101 respecting Definitions*.

The term "contract of insurance" in the definition of "financial assets" has the meaning assigned to it in the legislation for the jurisdiction referenced in Appendix A of Regulation 45-106.

2.2. Executive officer ("policy making function")

The definition of "executive officer" in Regulation 45-106 is based on the definition of the same term contained in *Regulation 51-102 respecting Continuous Disclosure Obligations* ("Regulation 51-102").

Paragraph (c) of the definition "executive officer" includes individuals that are not employed by the issuer or any of its subsidiaries, but who perform a policy-making function in respect of the issuer.

The definition includes someone who "performs a policy-making function" in respect of the issuer. The CSA is of the view that an individual who "performs a policy-making function" in respect of an issuer is someone who is responsible, solely or jointly with others, for setting the direction of the issuer and is sufficiently knowledgeable of the

business and affairs of the issuer so as to be able to respond meaningfully to inquiries from investors about the issuer.

2.3. Directors, executive officers and officers of non-corporate issuers

The term "director" is defined in Regulation 45-106 and it includes, for non-corporate issuers, individuals who perform functions similar to those of a director of a company.

When the term "officer" is used in Regulation 45-106, or any of the Regulation 45-106 forms, a non-corporate issuer should refer to the definitions in securities legislation. Securities legislation in most jurisdictions defines "officer" to include any individual acting in a capacity similar to that of an officer of a company. Therefore, in most jurisdictions, non-corporate issuers must determine which individuals are acting in capacities similar to that of directors and officers of corporate issuers, for the purposes of complying with Regulation 45-106 and its forms.

For example, the determination of who is acting in the capacity of a director or executive officer may be important where a person intends to distribute or trade securities of a limited partnership under an exemption that is conditional on a relationship with a director or executive officer. The person must conclude that the purchaser has the necessary relationship with an individual who is acting in a capacity with the limited partnership that is similar to that of a director or executive officer of a company.

2.4. Founder

The definition of "founder" includes a requirement that, at the time of the distribution of, or trade in, a security the person be actively involved in the business of the issuer. Accordingly, a person who takes the initiative in founding, organizing or substantially reorganizing the business of the issuer within the meaning of the definition but subsequently ceases to be actively engaged in the day to day operations of the business of the issuer would no longer be a "founder" for the purposes of Regulation 45-106, regardless of the person's degree of prior involvement with the issuer or the extent of the person's continued ownership interest in the issuer.

2.5. Investment fund

Generally, the definition of "investment fund" would not include a trust or other entity that issues securities that entitle the holder to net cash flows generated by: (i) an underlying business owned by the trust or other entity, or (ii) the income-producing properties owned by the trust or other entity. Examples of trusts or other entities that are not included in the definition are business income trusts, real estate investment trusts and royalty trusts.

2.6. Affiliate, control and related entity

(1) Affiliate

Section 1.3 of Regulation 45-106 contains rules for determining whether persons are affiliates for the purposes of Regulation 45-106, which may be different than those contained in other securities legislation.

(2) Control

The concept of control has two different interpretations in Regulation 45-106. For the purposes of Division 4 of Part 2 and Division 4 of Part 3 (trades to employees(employee, executive officers, directors and consultantsofficer, director and consultant exemptions), the interpretation of control is contained in section 2.23(1)—and section 3.23(1), respectively. For the purposes of the rest of Regulation 45-106, the interpretation of control is found in section 1.4 of Regulation 45-106. The reason for having two different interpretations of control is that the exemptions for distributions of, and trades in, securities to employees, executive officers, directors and consultants require a broader concept of control than is considered necessary for the rest of Regulation 45-106 to accommodate the issuance of compensation securities in a wide variety of business structures.

2.7. Close personal friend

For the purposes of both the private issuer exemptions in section 2.4 of Regulation 45-106 and the family, friends and business associates exemptions, exemption in section 2.5 of Regulation 45-106, a "close personal friend" of a director, executive officer, founder or control person of an issuer is an individual who knows the director, executive officer, founder or control person well enough and has known them for a sufficient period of time to be in a position to assess their capabilities and trustworthiness. The term "close personal friend" can include a family member who is not already specifically identified in the exemptions if the family member satisfies the criteria described above.

The relationship between the individual and the director, executive officer, founder or control person must be direct. For example, the exemption is not available to a close personal friend of a close personal friend of a director of the issuer.

An individual is not a close personal friend solely because the individual is:

- (a) a relative,
- (b) a member of the same organization, association or religious group, or
- (c) a co-worker, colleague or associate at the same workplace,
- (ed) a client, customer, former client or former customer, or
- (e) connected through some form of social media, such as Facebook or Twitter.

The person relying on the exemption is responsible for determining that the purchaser meets the characteristics required under the exemption. See section 1.9 of this Policy Statement for guidance on how to verify and document purchaser status.

2.8. Close business associate

For the purposes of both the private issuer <u>exemptionsexemption in section 2.4 of Regulation 45-106</u> and the family, friends and business associates <u>exemptions, exemption in section 2.5 of Regulation 45-106</u>, a "close business associate" is an individual who has had sufficient prior business dealings with a director, executive officer, founder or control person of the issuer to be in a position to assess their capabilities and trustworthiness.

An individual is not a close business associate solely because the individual is:

- (a) a member of the same organization, association or religious group, or
- (b) a client, customer, former client or former customer, or
- (c) a co-worker, colleague or associate at the same workplace.

The relationship between the individual and the director, executive officer, founder or control person must be direct. For example, the exemptions are not available for a close business associate of a close business associate of a director of the issuer.

The person relying on the exemption is responsible for determining that the purchaser meets the characteristics required under the exemption. See section 1.9 of this Policy Statement for guidance on how to verify and document purchaser status.

2.9. Indirect interest

Under paragraph (t) of the definition of "accredited investor" in section 1.1 of Regulation 45-106, an "accredited investor" includes a person in respect of which all of the owners of interests in that person, direct, indirect or beneficial, are accredited investors. The interpretive provision in section 1.2 of Regulation 45-106 is needed to confirm the meaning of indirect interest in British Columbia.

PART 3 CAPITAL RAISING EXEMPTIONS

3.1. Soliciting purchasers

Part 2, Division 1, and Part 3, Division 1 (capital raising exemptions) in Regulation 45-106 dodoes not prohibit the use of registrants, finders, or advertising in any form (for example, internet, e-mail, direct mail, newspaper or magazine) to solicit purchasers under any of the exemptions. However, use of any of these means to find purchasers under the private issuer exemptions in sections section 2.4 and 3.4 of Regulation 45-106,106 or under the family, friends and business associates

exemptions in sections 2.5 and 3.5 of Regulation 45-106, may give rise to a presumption that the relationship required for use of these exemptions is not present. If, for example, an issuer advertises or pays a commission or finder's fee to a third party to find purchasers under the family, friends and business associates exemptions exemption, it suggests that the precondition of a close relationship between the purchaser and the issuer may not exist and therefore the issuer cannot rely on these exemptions this exemption.

Use of a finder by a private issuer to find an accredited investor, however, would not preclude the private issuer from relying upon the private issuer <u>exemptionsexemption</u>, provided that all of the other conditions to <u>those exemptions are that exemption is</u> met.

Any solicitation activities that aim to identify a particular category of investor should clearly state the kind of investor being sought and the criteria that investors will be required to meet. Any print materials used to find accredited investors, for example, should clearly and prominently state that only accredited investors should respond to the solicitation.

3.2. Soliciting purchasers — Newfoundland and Labrador and Ontario

In Newfoundland and Labrador and Ontario, the exemptions from the dealer registration requirement identified in section 3.01 of Regulation 45-106 are not available to a "market intermediary", except as therein provided (or as otherwise provided in local securities legislation—see, for instance, in the case of Ontario, OSC Rule 45-501 Ontario Prospectus and Registration Exemptions). Generally, a person is a market intermediary if the person is in the business of trading in securities as principal or agent. In Ontario, the term "market intermediary" is defined in Ontario Securities Commission Rule 14-501 Definitions.

The Ontario Securities Commission takes the position that if an issuer retains an employee whose primary job function is to actively solicit members of the public for the purposes of selling the issuer's securities, the issuer and its employee are in the business of selling securities. Further, if an issuer and its employees are deemed to be in the business of selling securities the Ontario Securities Commission considers both the issuer and its employees to be market intermediaries. This applies whether the issuer and its employees are located in Ontario and solicit members of the public outside of Ontario or whether the issuer and its employees are located outside of Ontario and solicit members of the public in Ontario. Accordingly, in order to be in compliance with securities legislation, these issuers and their employees should be registered under the appropriate category of registration in Ontario.

3.3. Advertising

Regulation 45-106 does not restrict the use of advertising to solicit or find purchasers. However, issuers and selling security holders should review other securities legislation and securities directions for guidelines, limitations and prohibitions on advertising intended to promote interest in an issuer or its securities. For example, any

advertising or marketing communications must not contain a misrepresentation and should be consistent with the issuer's public disclosure record.

3.4. Restrictions on finder's fees or commissions

The following restrictions apply with respect to certain exemptions under Regulation 45-106:

- (1) no commissions or finder's fees may be paid to directors, officers, founders and control persons in connection with a distribution or a trade made under the private issuer exemptions or the family, friends and business associates exemptions exemption, except in connection with a distribution of, or trade in, a security to an accredited investor under athe private issuer exemption; and
- (2) in Northwest Territories, Nunavut and Saskatchewan, only a registered dealer may be paid a commission or finder's fee in connection with a distribution of, or a trade in, a security to a purchaser in one of those jurisdictions under anthe offering memorandum exemption.

3.4.1. Reinvestment plans

(1) When is a plan administrator acting "for or on behalf of the issuer"?

Sections Section 2.2 and 3.2 of Regulation 45-106 contains a prospectus and dealer registration exemptions exemption for distributions of, and trades in, securities by a trustee, custodian or administrator acting for or on behalf of the issuer. If the trustee, custodian or administrator is engaged by the issuer, the plan administrator acts "for or on behalf of the issuer" and therefore falls within the language contained in sections section 2.2(1) and 3.2(1) of Regulation 45-106. The fact that the plan administrator may act on or in accordance with instructions of a plan participant, under the plan, does not preclude the administrator from relying on the exemptions exemption contained in sections section 2.2 or 3.2 of Regulation 45-106.

(2) Providing a description of material attributes and characteristics of securities

The prospectus and dealer registration reinvestment plan exemptions in sections 2.2(5) and 3.2(5) of Regulation 45–106 addincludes a requirement, effective September 28, 2009, that if the securities distributed or traded under a reinvestment plan, in reliance upon a reinvestment plan exemption, are of a different class or series than the securities to which the dividend or distribution is attributable, the issuer or plan agent must have provided the plan participants with a description of the material attributes and characteristics of the securities being distributed or traded. An issuer or plan agent with an existing reinvestment plan can satisfy this requirement in a number of ways. If plan participants have previously signed a plan agreement or received a copy of a reinvestment plan that included this information, the issuer or plan agent does not need to take any further action for current plan participants. (Future participants should receive the same type of information before their first trade of a security under the plan.)

If plan participants have not received this information in the past, the issuer or plan agent can provide the required information or a reference to a website where the information is available with other materials sent to holders of that class of securities, for example with proxy materials. Section 8.3.1 of Regulation 45 106 provides a transition period, allowing the issuer or plan agent to meet this requirement not later than 140 days after the next financial year end of the issuer ending on or after September 28, 2009.

(3) Interest payments

The <u>exemptionsexemption</u> in <u>sections section</u> 2.2 <u>and 3.2</u> of Regulation 45-106 may be available where a person invests interest payable on debentures or other similar securities into other securities of the issuer. The words "distributions out of earnings...or other sources" cover interest payable on debentures.

3.5. Accredited investor

(1) Individual qualification – financial tests

An individual is an "accredited investor" for the purposes of Regulation 45-106 if he or she satisfies, either alone or with a spouse, any of the financial asset test in paragraph (j), the net income test in paragraph (k) or the net asset test in paragraph (l) of the "accredited investor" definition in section 1.1 of Regulation 45-106. the individual satisfies one of four tests set out in the "accredited investor" definition in section 1.1 of Regulation 45-106:

- the \$1 000 000 financial asset test in paragraph (j)
- the \$5 000 000 financial asset test in paragraph (j.1)
- the net income test in paragraph (k)
- the net asset test in paragraph (1)

These Three branches of the definition are designed to treat spouses as a single investing unit, so that either spouse qualifies as an "accredited investor" if the combined financial assets, net income, or net assets of both spouses exceed the \$1 000 000, the combined net income of both spouses exceeds \$300 000, or \$5 000 000 thresholds, respectively the combined net assets of both spouses exceeds \$5 000 000.

The fourth branch, the \$5 000 000 financial asset test, does not treat spouses as a single investing unit. If an individual meets the \$5 000 000 financial asset test, they also meet the test to be a "permitted client" under Regulation 31-103. Permitted clients are entitled to waive the "know your client" and suitability obligations of registered dealers and advisers under Regulation 31-103. Under subsection 2.3(7) of the Regulation, an issuer distributing securities under the accredited investor exemption to an individual who meets the \$5 000 000 financial asset test in paragraph (j.1) under the definition of "accredited investor" is not required to obtain a signed risk acknowledgement in Form 45-106F9 Risk Acknowledgement Form for Individual Accredited Investors from that individual.

For the purposes of the financial asset testtests in paragraph paragraphs (j) and (j.1), "financial assets" are defined in Regulation 45-106 to mean cash, securities, or a contract of insurance, a deposit or an evidence of a deposit that is not a security for the purposes of securities legislation. These financial assets are generally liquid or relatively easy to liquidate. The value of a purchaser's personal residence would not be included in a calculation of financial assets. By comparison, the net asset test under paragraph (l) involves a consideration of all of the purchaser's total assets minus the purchaser's total liabilities. Accordingly, for the purposes of the net asset test, the calculation of total assets would include the value of a purchaser's personal residence and the calculation of total liabilities would include the amount of any liability (such as a mortgage) in respect of the purchaser's personal residence.

If the combined net income of both spouses does not exceed \$300 000, but the net income of one of the spouses exceeds \$200 000, only the spouse whose net income exceeds \$200 000 qualifies as an accredited investor.

(2) Bright-line standards – individuals

The monetary thresholds in the "accredited investor" definition are intended to create "bright-line" standards. Investors who do not satisfy these monetary thresholds do not qualify as accredited investors under the applicable paragraph.

(3) Beneficial ownership of financial assets

Paragraph (j) and (j.1) of the "accredited investor" definition refers to an individual who, either alone or with a spouse, beneficially owns financial assets having an aggregate realizable value that, before taxes but net of any related liabilities, exceeds \$1 000 000.refer to the beneficial ownership of financial assets. As a general matter, it should not be difficult to determine whether financial assets are beneficially owned by an individual, an individual's spouse, or both, in any particular instance. However, financial assets held in a trust or in other types of investment vehicles for the benefit of an individual may raise questions as to whether the individual beneficially owns the financial assets in the circumstances. The following factors are indicative of beneficial ownership of financial assets:

- (a) physical or constructive possession of evidence of ownership of the financial asset;
 - (b) entitlement to receipt of any income generated by the financial asset;
 - (c) risk of loss of the value of the financial asset; and
- (d) the ability to dispose of the financial asset or otherwise deal with it as the individual sees fit.

For example, securities held in a self-directed RRSP, for the sole benefit of an individual, are beneficially owned by that individual. In general, financial assets in a spousal RRSP would also be included for the purposes of the thresholds1 000 000

<u>financial asset</u> test <u>because in</u> paragraph (j) <u>because it</u> takes into account financial assets owned beneficially by a spouse. However, financial assets <u>in a spousal RRSP would not be included for purposes of the \$5 000 000 financial asset test in paragraph (j.1). <u>Financial assets</u> held in a group RRSP under which the individual would not have the ability to acquire the financial assets and deal with them directly would not meet <u>thesethe</u> beneficial ownership requirements <u>in either paragraph (j) or paragraph (j.1)</u>.</u>

(4) Calculation of <u>an individual purchaser</u>'s net assets

To calculate a purchaser's net assets under the net asset test in paragraph (l) of the "accredited investor" definition, subtract the purchaser's total liabilities from the purchaser's total assets. The value attributed to assets should reasonably reflect their estimated fair value. Income tax should be considered a liability if the obligation to pay it is outstanding at the time of the distribution of, or trade in, the security.

(4.1) Risk acknowledgement from individual investors

Persons relying on the accredited investor exemption in section 2.3 of Regulation 45-106 and section 73.3 of the *Securities Act* (Ontario) to distribute securities to individual accredited investors must obtain a completed and signed risk acknowledgement from that individual accredited investor. Under subsection 2.3(7) of the Regulation this requirement does not apply if the individual accredited investor meets the \$5 000 000 financial asset test set out in paragraph (j.1) of the "accredited investor" definition.

"Individual" is defined in the securities legislation of certain jurisdictions to mean a natural person. The definition specifically excludes partnerships, unincorporated associations, unincorporated syndicates, unincorporated organizations and trusts. It also specifically excludes a natural person acting in the capacity of trustee, executor, administrator or personal or other legal representative.

(5) Financial statements

The minimum net asset threshold of \$5 000 000 specified in paragraph (m) of the "accredited investor" definition must, in the case of a non-individual entity, be shown on the entity's "most recently prepared financial statements". The financial statements must be prepared in accordance with applicable generally accepted accounting principles.

(6) Time for assessing qualification

The financial tests prescribed in the accredited investor definition are to be applied only at the time of the distribution of, or trade in, the security. The person is not required to monitor the purchaser's continuing qualification as an accredited investor after the distribution of, or trade in, the security is completed.

(7) Recognition or Designation as an Accredited Investor "accredited investor"

Paragraph (v) of the "accredited investor" definition in Regulation 45-106 contemplates that a person may apply to be recognized or designated as an accredited investor by the securities regulatory authorities or regulators, except in Ontario and Québec, the regulators. The securities regulatory authorities or regulators have not adopted any specific criteria for granting accredited investor recognition or designation to applicants, as the securities regulatory authorities or regulators believe that the "accredited investor" definition generally covers all types of persons that do not require the protection of the prospectus requirement—or the dealer registration requirement. Accordingly, the securities regulatory authorities or regulators expect that applications for accredited investor recognition or designation will be utilized on a very limited basis. If a securities regulatory authority or regulator considers it appropriate in the circumstances, it may grant accredited investor recognition or designation to a person on terms and conditions, including a requirement that the person apply annually for renewal of accredited investor recognition or designation.

(8) Verifying accredited investor status

Persons relying on the accredited investor exemption are responsible for determining whether a purchaser meets the definition of "accredited investor". See section 1.9 of this Policy Statement for guidance on how to verify and document purchaser status.

3.6. Private issuer

(1) Meaning of "the public"

Whether or not a person is a member of the public must be determined on the facts of each particular case. The courts have interpreted "the public" very broadly in the context of securities trading. Whether a person is a part of the public will be determined on the particular facts of each case, based on the tests that have developed under the relevant case law. A person who intends to distribute or trade-securities, in reliance upon the private issuer prospectus exemption in section 2.4(2) or the private issuer dealer registration exemption in section 3.4(2) of Regulation 45-106,106 to a person not listed in paragraphs (a) through (j) of that section will have to satisfy itself that the distribution of, or trade in, the security is not to the public.

(2) Meaning of "close personal friends" and "close business associates"

See sections 2.7 and 2.8 of this Policy Statement for a discussion of the meaning of "close personal friend" and "close business associate".

(2.1) Meaning of "non-convertible debt securities"

Paragraph (b) of the definition of private issuer has a number of restrictions that apply to the securities, other than non-convertible debt securities, of a private issuer.

Non-convertible debt securities are debt securities that do not have a right or obligation to exchange or convert into another security of the issuer.

(3) Business combination of private issuers

A distribution of, or trade in, securities in connection with an amalgamation, merger, reorganization, arrangement or other statutory procedure involving two private issuers, to holders of securities of those issuers is not a distribution of, or trade in, a security to the public, provided that the resulting issuer is a private issuer.

Similarly, a distribution of, or trade in, securities by a private issuer in connection with a share exchange take-over bid for another private issuer is not a distribution of, or trade in, securities to the public, provided the offeror remains a private issuer after completion of the bid.

(4) Acquisition of a private issuer

Persons relying on a private issuer exemption in Regulation 45-106 must be satisfied that the purchaser is not a member of the public. Generally, however, if the owner of a private issuer sells the business of the private issuer by way of a sale of securities, rather than assets, to another party who acquires all of the securities, the sale will not be considered to have been to the public.

(5) Ceasing to be a private issuer

The term "private issuer" is defined in section 2.4(1) (with the same definition repeated in section 3.4(1) of Regulation 45-106. A private issuer can distribute securities only to the persons listed in section 2.4(2) of Regulation 45-106. If a private issuer distributes securities to a person not listed in section 2.4(2), even under another exemption, it will no longer be a private issuer and will not be able to continue to use the private issuer prospectus exemption in section 2.4(2) (or the private issuer dealer registration exemption in section 3.4(2)). For example, if a private issuer distributes securities under the offering memorandum exemption, it will no longer be a private issuer.

Issuers that cease to be private issuers willdo not automatically become "reporting issuers". They are simply no longer able to rely on the private issuer exemption in section 2.4(1). Such issuers would still be able to use other exemptions to distribute their securities. For example, such issuers could rely on the family, friends and business associates prospectus exemption (except in Ontario) or the accredited investor prospectus exemption. However, issuers that rely on these prospectus exemptions must file a report of exempt distribution with the securities regulatory authority or regulator in each jurisdiction in which the distribution tookplace took place.

An issuer that completes a going private transaction (for example, by way of an amalgamation squeeze out or a takeover bid with a subsequent statutory compulsory acquisition) can however use the private issuer exemption after a going private transaction.

3.7. Family, friends and business associates

(1) Number of purchasers

There is no restriction on the number of persons that the issuer may sell securities to under the family, friends and business associates exemptions in sections 2.5 and 3.5 of Regulation 45-106. However, an issuer selling securities to a large number of persons under this exemption may give rise to a presumption that not all of the purchasers are family, close personal friends or close business associates and that the exemption may not be available.

(2) Meaning of "close personal friends" and "close business associates"

See sections 2.7 and 2.8 of this Policy Statement for a discussion of the meaning of "close personal friend" and "close business associate".

(3) Risk acknowledgement - Saskatchewan

Under sections 2.6 and 3.6 of Regulation 45-106, the corresponding family, friends and business associates exemption in section 2.5 or 3.5 of Regulation 45-106 cannot be relied upon in Saskatchewan for a distribution of, or trade in, securities based on a close personal friendship or close business association unless the person obtains a signed "risk acknowledgement" in the required form from the purchaser and retains the form for eight years after the distribution of, or trade in, securities.

3.8. Offering memorandum

(1) Eligibility criteria - Alberta, Manitoba, Northwest Territories, Nunavut, PrinceEdward Prince Edward Island, Québec and Saskatchewan

Alberta, Manitoba, Northwest Territories, Nunavut, Prince Edward Island, Québec, Saskatchewan, and Yukon impose eligibility criteria on persons investing under the offering memorandum <u>exemptionsexemption</u>. In these jurisdictions, the purchaser must be an eligible investor if the purchaser's acquisition cost is more than \$10 000.

In determining the acquisition cost to a purchaser who is not an eligible investor, include any future payments that the purchaser will be required to make. Proceeds whichthat may be obtained on exercise of warrants or other rights, or on conversion of convertible securities, are not considered to be part of the acquisition cost unless the purchaser is legally obligated to exercise or convert the securities. The \$10 000 maximum acquisition cost is calculated per distribution of, or trade in, security.

Nevertheless, concurrent and consecutive, closely-timed offerings to the same purchaser will usually constitute one distribution of, or trade in, a security. Consequently, when calculating the acquisition cost, all of these offerings by or on behalf of the issuer to the same purchaser who is not an eligible investor would be included. It would be inappropriate for an issuer to try to circumvent the \$10 000 threshold by dividing a subscription in excess of \$10 000 by one purchaser into a number of smaller

subscriptions of \$10 000 or less that are made directly or indirectly by the same purchaser.

A purchaser can qualify as an eligible investor under various categories of the definition, including if the purchaser has and has had in prior years either \$75 000 pre-tax net income or profit or has \$400 000 worth of net assets. In calculating a purchaser's net assets, subtract the purchaser's total liabilities from the purchaser's total assets. The value attributed to assets should reasonably reflect their estimated fair value. Income tax should be considered a liability if the obligation to pay it is outstanding at the time of the distribution of, or trade in, a security.

Another way a purchaser can qualify as an eligible investor is to obtain advice from an eligibility adviser. An eligibility adviser is a person registered as an investment dealer (or in an equivalent category of unrestricted dealer in the purchaser's jurisdiction) that is authorized to give advice with respect to the type of security being distributed—or traded. In Saskatchewan and Manitoba, certain lawyers and public accountants may also act as eligibility advisers.

A registered investment dealer providing advice to a purchaser in these circumstances is expected to comply with the "know your client" and suitability requirements under applicable securities legislation and SRO rules and policies. Some dealers have obtained exemptions from the "know your client" and suitability requirements because they do not provide advice. An assessment of suitability by these dealers is not sufficient to qualify a purchaser as an eligible investor.

(2) Form of offering memorandum

There are two forms of offering memorandum: Form 45-106F3, which may be used by qualifying issuers, and Form 45-106F2, which must be used by all other issuers. Form 45-106F3 requires qualifying issuers to incorporate by reference their annual information form (AIF), management's discussion and analysis (MD&A), annual financial statements and subsequent specified continuous disclosure documents required under Regulation 51-102.

A qualifying issuer is a reporting issuer that has filed an AIF under Regulation 51-102 and has met all of its other continuous disclosure obligations, including those in Regulation 51-102, Regulation 43-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects, and Regulation 51-101 respecting Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities. Under Regulation 51-102, venture issuers are not required to file AIFs. However, if a venture issuer wants to use Form 45-106F3, the venture issuer must voluntarily file an AIF under Regulation 51-102 in order to incorporate that AIF into its offering memorandum.

(3) Date of certificate and required signatories

The issuer must ensure that the information provided to the purchaser is current and does not contain a misrepresentation. For example, if a material change occurs in the business of the issuer after delivery of an offering memorandum to a potential purchaser, the issuer must give the potential purchaser an update to the offering memorandum before the issuer accepts the agreement to purchase the securities. The update to the offering memorandum may take the form of an amendment describing the material change, a new offering memorandum containing up-to-date disclosure or a material change report, whichever the issuer decides will most effectively inform purchasers.

Whatever form of update the issuer uses, it must include a newly signed and dated certificate as required in the applicable subsection 2.9(9), (10), (10.1), (10.2), (10.3), (11), or (12) or 3.9(9), (10), (10.1), (10.2), (10.3), (11), (11.1), or (12) of Regulation 45-106.

"Promoter" is defined differently in provincial and territorial securities legislation across CSA jurisdictions. It is generally defined as meaning a person who has taken the initiative in founding, organizing or substantially reorganizing the business of the issuer or who has received consideration over a prescribed amount for services or property or both in connection with founding, organizing or substantially reorganizing the issuer. "Promoter" has not been defined in the Securities Act (Québec) and a broad interpretation is taken in Québec in determining who would be considered a promoter.

Under securities legislation, persons who receive consideration solely as underwriting commissions or in consideration of property and who do not otherwise take part in the founding, organizing or substantially reorganizing the issuer are not promoters. Simply selling securities, or in some way facilitating sales in securities, does not make a person a promoter under the offering memorandum exemptions.

(4) Consideration to be held in trust

The purchaser has, or must be given, the right to cancel the agreement to purchase the securities until midnight on the 2nd business day after signing the agreement. During this period, the issuer must arrange for the consideration to be held in trust on behalf of the purchaser.

It is up to the issuer to decide what arrangements are necessary to preserve the consideration received from the purchaser. The requirement to hold the consideration in trust may be satisfied if, for example, the issuer keeps the purchaser's cheque, without cashing or depositing it, until the expiration of the two business day cancellation period.

It is also the issuer's responsibility to ensure that whoever is holding the consideration promptly returns it to the purchaser if the purchaser cancels the agreement to purchase the securities.

(5) Filing of offering memorandum

The issuer is required to file the offering memorandum with the securities regulatory authority or regulator in each of the jurisdictions in which the issuer distributes or trades securities under an offering memorandum exemption. The issuer must file the offering memorandum on or before the 10th day after the distribution.

If the issuer is conducting multiple closings, the offering memorandum must be filed on or before the 10th day after the first closing. Once the offering memorandum has been filed, there is no need to file it again after subsequent closings, unless it has been updated.

(6) Purchasers' rights

Unless securities legislation in a purchaser's jurisdiction provides a purchaser with a comparable right of cancellation or revocation, an issuer must give each purchaser under an offering memorandum a contractual right to cancel the agreement to purchase the securities by delivering a notice to the issuer not later than midnight on the 2nd business day after the purchaser signs the agreement.

Unless securities legislation in a purchaser's jurisdiction provides purchasers with comparable statutory rights, the issuer must also give the purchaser a contractual right of action against the issuer in the event the offering memorandum contains a misrepresentation. This contractual right of action must be available to the purchaser regardless of whether the purchaser relied on the misrepresentation when deciding to purchase the securities. This right is similar to that given to a purchaser under a prospectus. The purchaser may claim damages or ask that the agreement be cancelled. If the purchaser wants to cancel the agreement, the purchaser must commence the action within 180 days after signing the agreement to purchase the securities. If the purchaser is seeking damages, the purchaser must commence the action within the earlier of 180 days after learning of the misrepresentation or 3 years after signing the agreement to purchase the securities.

The issuer is required to describe in the offering memorandum any rights available to the purchaser, whether they are provided by the issuer contractually as a condition to the use of the exemption or provided under securities legislation.

3.9. Minimum amount investment

(1) Baskets of securities

An issuer may wish to distribute or trade more than one kind of security of its own issue, such as shares and debt, in a single transaction under athe minimum investment amount exemption. Provided that the shares and debt are sold in units that have a total acquisition cost of not less than \$150 000 paid in cash at the time of the distribution of, or trade in, a security, the exemptionsexemption can, if otherwise available, be used, notwithstanding that the acquisition cost of the shares and the acquisition cost of the debt, taken separately, are both less than \$150 000.

(2) Not available for distributions to individuals or syndicates

The minimum amount investment exemption in section 2.10 of Regulation 45-106 is not available for distributions to individuals. "Individual" is defined in the securities legislation of certain jurisdictions to mean a natural person. The definition specifically excludes partnerships, unincorporated associations, unincorporated syndicates,

unincorporated organizations and trusts. It also specifically excludes a natural person acting in the capacity of trustee, executor, administrator or personal or other legal representative.

Subsection 2.10(2) of Regulation 45-106 specifically prohibits using the minimum amount investment exemption to distribute to persons created or used solely to rely on this exemption. See section 1.8 of this Policy Statement for a discussion of the "anti-syndication" provisions in Regulation 45-106.

PART 4 OTHER EXEMPTIONS

4.1. Employee, executive officer, director and consultant exemptions

Trustees, custodians or administrators who engage in activities, contemplated in the prospectus and dealer registration exemptions in sections exemption in section 2.27 and 3.27 of Regulation 45-106, that bring together purchasers and sellers of securities should have regard to the provisions of *Regulation 21–101 respecting Marketplace Operation* respecting "marketplaces" and "alternative trading systems".

The employee, executive officer, director and consultant exemptions are based on the alignment of economic interests between an issuer and its employees. They may, where available, be used to provide employees and other similar persons with an opportunity to participate in the growth of the employer's business and to compensate persons for the services they provide to an issuer. The securities regulatory authorities or regulators will generally not grant exemptive relief analogous to these exemptions except in very limited circumstances.

4.2. Business combination and reorganization

(1) Statutory procedure

The securities regulatory authorities interpret the phrase "statutory procedure" broadly and are of the view that the prospectus and dealer registration exemptions exemption contained in sections execution 2.11 and 3.11 of Regulation 45-106 apply applies to all distributions of, and trades in, securities of an issuer that are both part of the procedure and necessary to complete the transaction, regardless of when the distribution of, or trade in, a security occurs.

The prospectus and dealer registration exemptions contained in sections section 2.11 and 3.11 of Regulation 45-106 exemptexempts distributions of, and trades in, securities in connection with an amalgamation, merger, reorganization or arrangement if the same is done "under a statutory procedure". The securities regulatory authorities or regulators are of the view that the references to statutory procedure in sections 2.11 and 3.11 of Regulation 45-106 are to any statute of a jurisdiction or foreign jurisdiction under which the entities involved have been incorporated or created and exist or under which the transaction is taking place. This would include, for example, an arrangement under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act (Canada).

(2) Three-cornered amalgamations

Certain corporate statutes permit a so-called "three-cornered merger or amalgamation" under which two companies will amalgamate or merge and security holders of the amalgamating or merging entities will receive securities of a third party affiliate of one amalgamating or merging entity. The prospectus and dealer registration exemptions exemption contained in sections section 2.11 and 3.11 of Regulation 45-106 referrefers to these distributions of, or trades in, a security when they refer to a distribution of, or a trade in, a security made in connection with an amalgamation or merger done under a statutory procedure.

(3) Exchangeable shares

A transaction involving a procedure described in the prospectus and dealer registration exemptions contained in sections 2.11 and 3.11 of Regulation 45-106 may include an exchangeable share structure to achieve certain taxplanning objectives. For example, where a non-Canadian company seeks to acquire a Canadian company under a plan of arrangement, an exchangeable share structure may be used to allow the Canadian shareholders of the company to be acquired to receive, in substance, shares of the non-Canadian company while avoiding the adverse tax consequences associated with exchanging shares of a Canadian company for shares of a non-Canadian company. Instead of receiving shares of the non-Canadian company which, through various contractual arrangements, have economic terms and voting rights that are essentially identical to the shares of the non-Canadian company and permit the holder to exchange such shares, at a time of the holder's choosing, for shares of the non-Canadian company.

Historically, the use of an exchangeable share structure in connection with a statutory procedure has raised a question as to whether the exemptionsexemption now contained in sections 2.11 and 3.11 of Regulation 45-106 were was available for all distributions or trades necessary to complete the transaction. For example, in the case of the acquisition under a plan of arrangement noted above, the use of an exchangeable share structure may result in a delay of several months or even years between the date of the arrangement and the date the shares of the non-Canadian company are distributed to the former shareholders of the acquired company. As a result of this delay, some filers have questioned whether the distribution of the non-Canadian company's shares upon the exercise of the exchangeable shares may still be viewed as being "in connection with" the statutory transaction, and have made application for exemptive relief to address this uncertainty.

The securities regulatory authorities or regulators take the position that the statutory procedure exemptions contained in section 2.11 and section 3.11 of Regulation 45-106 referrefers to all distributions or trades of securities that are necessary to complete an exchangeable share transaction involving a procedure described in section 2.11 or section 3.11,2.11, even where such distributions or trades occur several months or years after the transaction. In the case of the acquisition noted above, the investment decision of the shareholders of the acquired company at the time of the arrangement

represented a decision to, ultimately, exchange their shares for shares of the non-Canadian company. The distribution of such shares upon the exercise of the exchangeable shares does not represent a new investment decision, but merely represents the completion of that original investment decision. Accordingly, additional exemptive relief is not warranted in circumstances where the original transaction was completed in reliance on these exemptions this exemption.

4.3. Asset acquisition - character of assets to be acquired

When issuing securities, issuers must comply with the requirements under applicable corporate or other governing legislation that the securities be issued for fair value. Where securities are issued for non-cash consideration such as assets or resource properties, it is the responsibility of the issuer and its board of directors to determine the fair market value of the assets or resource properties and to retain records to demonstrate how that fair market value was determined. In some situations, cash assets that make up working capital could also be considered in the total calculation of the fair market value.

4.4. Securities for debt - bona fide debt

A bona fide debt is one that was incurred for value, on commercially reasonable terms and that on the date the debt was incurred the parties believed would be repaid in cash.

A reporting issuer may distribute or trade securities to settle a debt only after the debt becomes due, as evidenced by the creditor issuing an invoice, demand letter or other written statement to the issuer indicating that the debt is due. The securities for debt exemptions exemption may not be relied on for the issuance of securities by an issuer to secure a debt that will remain outstanding after the issuance.

4.5. Take-over bid and issuer bid

(1) Exempt bids

The terms take-over bid and issuer bid, for the purposes of sections 2.16 and 3.16 of Regulation 45-106, include an exempt take-over bid and exempt issuer bid.

(2) Bids involving exchangeable shares

The take-over bid and issuer bid exemptions refer to all distributions or trades necessary to complete a take-over bid or an issuer bid that involves an exchangeable share structure (as described under section 4.2 of this Policy Statement), even where such distributions or trades may occur several months or even years after the bid is completed.

4.6. Isolated distribution or trade

The exemptions contained in section 2.30 and 3.30 of Regulation 45-106 are is limited to distributions of, or trades in, a distribution of a security made by an issuer in a security of its own issue. There is also an additional isolated trade dealer

registration exemption contained in section 3.29 of Regulation 45-106. While the latter exemption refers to trades in any security, it does not apply to any trades by an issuer in a security that is issued by the issuer. It is intended that these exemptions this exemption will only be used rarely and are not available for registrants or others whose business is trading innot to distribute securities to multiple purchasers.

Reliance upon the isolated trade exemption might, for example, be appropriate when a person who is not involved in the business of trading securities wishes to make a single trade of a security that the person owns to another person. The exemption would not be available to a person for any subsequent trades for a period of time adequate to ensure that each transaction was truly isolated and unconnected.

4.7. Mortgages

In British Columbia, Alberta, Manitoba, Québec and Saskatchewan, Regulation 45-106 specifically excludes syndicated mortgages from the mortgage prospectus and dealer registration exemptions in sections 2.36 and 3.36 exemption in section 2.36. In determining what constitutes a syndicated mortgage, issuers will need to refer to the corresponding definition provided in section 2.36(1) or 3.36(1) of Regulation 45-106.

The mortgage exemptions doprospectus exemption does not apply to distributions or trades in securities that secure mortgages by bond, debenture, trust deed or similar obligation. The mortgage exemptionsprospectus exemption also dodoes not apply to a distribution of, or a trade in, a security that represents an undivided co-ownership interest in a pool of mortgages, such as a pass-through certificate issued by an issuer of asset-backed securities.

4.8. Not for profit issuer

(1) Eligibility to use these exemptions this exemption

These exemptions apply This exemption applies to distributions of, and trades in, securities of an issuer that is organized exclusively for educational, benevolent, fraternal, charitable, religious or recreational purposes and not for profit ("not for profit issuer"). To use these exemptions this exemption, an issuer must be organized exclusively for one or more of the listed purposes and use the funds raised for those purposes.

If an issuer is organized exclusively for one of the listed purposes, but its mandate changes so that it is no longer primarily engaged in the purpose it was organized for, the issuer may no longer be able to rely on these exemptions this exemption. For example, if an issuer organized exclusively for educational purposes over time devotes more and more of its efforts to lending money, even if it is only to other educational entities, the lending issuer may be unable to rely on these exemptions. The same would also be true if one of an issuer's mandates was to provide an investment vehicle for its members. An issuer that issues securities that pay dividends would also not be able to use these exemptions, because no part of the issuer's net earnings can go to any security holder. However, if the securities are debt securities and the issuer agrees to repay the principal

amount with or without interest, the security holders are not considered to be receiving part of the net earnings of the issuer. The debt securities may be secured or unsecured.

If investors could receive any special treatment as a result of purchasing securities, the security holders are not typically receiving part of the net earnings of the issuer and the sale may still fit within these exemptions. For example, if the not for profit issuer runs a golf course and offers security holders a waiver of greens fees for three years, it could still rely on these exemptions this exemption, provided all other conditions are met (and the exemption remains available in the relevant jurisdiction(s)).

If, at the time of the distribution of, or trade in, the security, the purchaser has an entitlement to the assets of the issuer on the basis that they would be getting part of the net earnings of the issuer, then the sale would not fit within these exemptions this exemption.

In Québec, not for profit issuers may still rely on the broad exemption available for not for profit issuers under section 3 of the Securities Act (Québec).

(2) Meaning of "no commission or other remuneration"

Sections Section 2.38(b) and 3.38(b) provide provides that "no commission or other remuneration is paid in connection with the sale of the security". This is intended to ensure that no one is paid to find purchasers of the securities. However, the issuer may pay its legal and accounting advisers for their legal or accounting services in connection with the sale.

4.9. Exchange contracts

The dealer registration exemption for exchange contracts contained in section 3.45 of Regulation 45-106 (and as limited by section 3.0 of Regulation 45-106) is only available in Alberta, British Columbia, Québec and Saskatchewan. In Manitoba and Ontario, exchange contracts are governed by commodity futures legislation.

Except in Saskatchewan, the dealer registration exemption for exchange contracts contained in section 3.45(1)(b) (and as limited by section 3.0) of Regulation 45-106 provides for trades resulting from unsolicited orders placed with an individual resident outside the jurisdiction. However, if the individual conducts further trades in the future, that individual will be deemed to be carrying on business in the jurisdiction and will not be able to rely on this exemption.

PART 5 FORMS

5.1. Report of Exempt Distribution exempt distribution

(1) Requirement to file

An issuer that has distributed a security of its own issue under any of the prospectus exemptions listed in section 6.1 of Regulation 45-106 is required to file Form

45-106F1 Report of Exempt Distributiona report of exempt distribution, on or before the 10th day after the distribution. Alternatively, if an underwriter distributes securities acquired under section 2.33 of Regulation 45-106, either the issuer or the underwriter may complete and file the form. If there is a syndicate of underwriters, the lead underwriter may file the form on behalf of the syndicate or each underwriter may file a form relating to the portion of the distribution it was responsible for.

The required form of report is Form 45-106F1 Report of Exempt Distribution in all jurisdictions except British Columbia. In British Columbia, the required form of report is Form 45-106F6 British Columbia Report of Exempt Distribution.

In determining if it is required to file a report in a particular jurisdiction, the issuer or underwriter should consider the following questions:

- (a) Is there a distribution in the jurisdiction? (Please refer to the securities legislation of the jurisdiction for guidance, if any, on when a distribution occurs in the jurisdiction.)
- (b) If there is a distribution in the jurisdiction, what exemption from the prospectus requirement is the issuer relying on for the distribution of the security?
- (c) Does the exemption referred to in paragraph (b) trigger a reporting requirement? (Reports of exempt distribution are required for distributions made in reliance on the prospectus exemptions listed in section 6.1 of Regulation 45-106.)

A distribution may occur in more than one jurisdiction. In this case, the issuer is required to file a single report in each Canadian jurisdiction where the distribution has occurred, except British Columbia. The report will set out all distributions in each Canadian jurisdiction.

If the distribution occurs in British Columbia and one or more other jurisdictions, the issuer is required to file Form 45-106F6 with the British Columbia Securities Commission and file Form 45-106F1 in the other applicable jurisdictions.

(2) Access to information in jurisdictions other than British Columbia

The securities legislation of several provinces requires that information filed with the securities regulatory authority or, where applicable, the regulator under such securities legislation, be made available for public inspection during normal business hours except for information that the securities regulatory authority, or where applicable, the regulator,

(a) believes to be personal or other information of such a nature that the desirability of avoiding disclosure thereof in the interest of any affected individual outweighs the desirability of adhering to the principle that information filed with the securities regulatory authority or the regulator, as applicable, be available to the public for inspection,

- (b) in Alberta, considers that it would not be prejudicial to the public interest to hold the information in confidence, and
- (c) in Québec, considers that access to the information could result in serious prejudice.

Based on the above mentioned provisions of securities legislation, the securities regulatory authorities or regulators, as applicable, have determined that the information listed in Form 45-106F1 Report of Exempt Distribution, Schedule I ("Schedule I") discloses personal or other information of such a nature that the desirability of avoiding disclosure of this personal information outweighs the desirability of making the information available to the public for inspection. In addition, in Alberta, the regulator considers that it would not be prejudicial to the public interest to hold the information listed in Schedule I in confidence. In Québec, the securities regulatory authority considers that access to Schedule I by the public in general could result in serious prejudice and consequently, the information listed in Schedule I will not be made publicly available.

(3) Filings in British Columbia

For filings made in British Columbia, issuers are required to file Form 45-106F16 and pay the fees associated with that filing electronically using BCSC e-services. This requirement only applies to Form 45-106F1 filings that are required to be made within 10 days of the distribution. It does not apply to Form 45-106F1 filings made annually by investment funds under section 6.2(2) of Regulation 45-106. Please refer to BC Instrument 13-502 Electronic Filing of Reports of Exempt Distribution for further information.

5.2. Forms required under the offering memorandum exemption

Regulation 45-106 designates two forms of offering memorandum. The first, Form 45-106F2, is for non-qualifying issuers and the second, Form 45-106F3, can only be used by qualifying issuers (as defined in Regulation 45-106).

The required form of risk acknowledgment under sections 2.9(1), 3.9(1), 2.9(2) and 3.92.9(2) of Regulation 45-106 is Form 45-106F4.

5.3. Real estate securities

Certain jurisdictions impose alternative or additional disclosure requirements in relation to the distribution of real estate securities by offering memorandum. Refer to securities legislation in the jurisdictions where securities are being distributed.

5.4. Risk Acknowledgement Form Respecting Close Personal Friends and Close Business Associates – acknowledgement form for distributions to close personal friends and close business associates in Saskatchewan

In Saskatchewan, a risk acknowledgment is also required under section 2.6(1) of Regulation 45-106 (and under section 3.6(1)) if the person intends to rely upon the

"family, friends and business associates exemption" in section 2.5 (or in section 3.5) of Regulation 45-106, which is based on a relationship of close personal friendship or close business association. The form of risk acknowledgement required in these circumstances is Form 45-106F5.

5.5 Risk acknowledgement form for distributions to individual accredited investors

A person relying on the accredited investor exemption in section 2.3 of Regulation 45-106 and section 73.3 of the *Securities Act* (Ontario) to distribute securities to an individual must obtain a signed risk acknowledgement from that individual accredited investor. Under subsection 2.3(7) of this Regulation, this requirement does not apply if the individual accredited investor meets the highest threshold to be an individual accredited investor, that is, the individual owns \$5 000 000 of financial assets as set out in paragraph (j.1) of the definition of "accredited investor" in section 1.1 of Regulation 45-106. The required form of risk acknowledgement for the accredited investor exemption is Form 45-106F9 *Risk Acknowledgement Form for Individual Accredited Investors*.

PART 6 RESALE OF SECURITIES ACQUIRED UNDER AN EXEMPTION

6.1. Resale restrictions

In most jurisdictions, securities distributed under a prospectus exemption may be subject to restrictions on their resale. The particular resale, or "first trade", restrictions depend on the parties to the distribution and the particular exemption that was relied upon to distribute the securities. In certain circumstances, no resale restrictions will apply and the securities acquired under an exempt distribution will be freely tradable.

Resale restrictions are imposed under *Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities* ("Regulation 45-102"). While Regulation 45-106 contains text boxes providing commentary on resale, these text boxes are intended as guidance only and are not a substitute for reviewing the applicable provisions in Regulation 45-102 to determine what resale restrictions, if any, apply to the securities in question.

The resale restrictions operate by the resale transaction triggering the prospectus requirement unless certain conditions are satisfied. Securities that are subject to such restrictions in circumstances where the conditions cannot be satisfied may nevertheless be distributed under an exemption from the prospectus requirement, whether under Regulation 45-106 or other securities legislation.

PART 7 TRANSITION

7.1. Transition - Application of Amendments IFRS amendments

The amendments to Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus and Registration Exemptions and this Policy Statement which came into effect on January 1, 2011 only apply in respect of an offering memorandum or an amendment to an offering memorandum of an issuer which includes or incorporates by reference financial statements of the issuer in respect of periods relating to financial years beginning on or after January 1, 2011.

REGULATION TO AMEND REGULATION 45-102 RESPECTING RESALE OF SECURITIES

Securities Act (chapter V-1.1, s. 331.1, par. (34))

- **1.** Regulation 45-102 respecting Resale of Securities is amended:
 - (1) in Appendix D:
- (a) by replacing the bulleted item "- section 2.3 [Accredited investor];" with the following:
 - "- section 2.3 [Accredited investor] (except in Ontario);";
- (b) by inserting, after the bulleted item "- clauses 77(1)(u) and (w) and subclauses 77(1)(ab)(ii) and (iii) of the Securities Act (Nova Scotia);", the following:
- "- section 73.3 of the Securities Act (Ontario) [Accredited Investor];";
 - (c) in paragraph (3):
 - (i) in the definition of the expression "Type 1 trade":
- (A) by inserting, in the part preceding paragraph (a) and after the words "requirement in", the words "any of the following";
 - (B) by deleting, at the end of paragraph (c), the word "or";
- (C) by inserting, after paragraph (d), the following, and making the necessary changes :
 - "(e) section 2.1 and section 2.2 of the 2009 OSC Rule
 - (ii) by inserting, after subparagraph (a), the following:

"Section 73.5 of the Securities Act (Ontario) [Government incentive security];

(a.1) National Instrument 45-106

Section 2.3 of National Instrument 45-106 Prospectus and Registration Exemptions prior to subsection 12(2) of Schedule 26 of the Budget Measures Act, 2009 being proclaimed in force.";

- (iii) by replacing subparagraph (b) with the following:
 - "(b) 2005 OSC Rule 45-501 and 2009 OSC Rule 45-501

Section 2.1 of the 2005 OSC Rule 45-501 and sections 2.1 and 2.2 of the 2009 OSC Rule 45-501.";

(2) in Appendix E:

45-501; and";

- (a) by replacing the bulleted item "- section 2.4 [*Private issuer*];" with the following:
 - "- section 2.4 [*Private issuer*], except in Ontario;";

- (b) by inserting, after the bulleted item "- Nova Scotia Securities Commission Blanket Order No. 46;", the following:
 - "- Section 73.4 of the Securities Act (Ontario) [Private issuer];";
 - (c) by inserting, after subparagraph (a) of paragraph (3), the following:

"(a.1) National Instrument 45-106

Section 2.4 of National Instrument 45-106 Prospectus and Registration Exemptions prior to subsection 12(2) of Schedule 26 of the Budget Measures Act, 2009 being proclaimed in force."

2. Form 45-102F1 of the Regulation is amended by replacing the contact information for the Ontario Securities Commission with the following:

"Ontario Securities Commission

20 Queen Street West 22nd Floor Toronto, Ontario M5H 3S8 Telephone: (416) 593-8314

Toll free in Canada: 1-877-785-1555

Facsimile: (416) 593-8122

Public official contact regarding indirect collection of information:

Inquiries Officer".

3. This Regulation comes into force on x.