

OUTSOURCING RISK GUIDELINE

Initial publication: April 2009 **Update: June 2010**

Table of Contents

Pr	eamble	3					
Int	troduction	4					
Sc	соре	5					
Cc	oming into effect and updating	6					
1.	G						
2.							
3.	Sound and prudent management of outsourcing risks	9					
4.	Governance of outsourcing arrangements	10					
	Principle 1: Responsibilities of senior management and board of directors	10					
5.	Management of outsourcing activities	11					
	Principle 2: Assessing the expediency of outsourcing	11 12 12					
6.	Management of outsourcing risks	14					
	Principle 7: Inventory and assessment of outsourcing risks Principle 8: Management of business continuity	14 14					
Su	upervision of sound and prudent management practices	15					
ΑF	PPENDICES	16					
	Appendix 1: Examples of outsourcing arrangements	19 20 521					

Preamble

The Autorité des marchés financiers ("AMF") establishes guidelines setting out its expectations with respect to financial institutions' legal requirement to follow sound and prudent management practices. These guidelines therefore cover the execution, interpretation and application of this requirement.

The AMF favours a principles-based approach rather than a specific rules-based approach. As such, the guidelines provide financial institutions with the necessary latitude to determine the requisite strategies, policies and procedures for implementation of such management principles and to apply sound practices based on their nature, size and complexity of their activities.

The AMF considers governance, risk management and compliance (GRC) as the foundation stones for sound and prudent management of financial institutions and, consequently, as the basis for the prudential framework provided by the AMF.

This guideline is part of this approach and sets out the AMF's expectations regarding the sound and prudent outsourcing risk management practices.

Introduction

Financial institutions rely on outsourcing to improve their efficiency and competitiveness by entrusting certain activities to service providers with additional resources and cutting-edge expertise. Outsourcing may enable certain financial institutions to reduce costs and others to focus more resources on core activities. However, the many advantages of outsourcing may be offset by risks to a institution's financial condition, service quality and even its reputation. In some cases, financial institutions may be faced with additional expenses not covered in the outsourcing arrangement. They can also be impacted by an unsatisfactory performance by the service provider.

This guideline sets out the AMF's expectations with respect to sound outsourcing risk management practices. Under the various sector-based laws it administers, the AMF has the authority¹ to establish guidelines regarding sound and prudent management practices for financial institutions.

The AMF's expectations with regard to outsourcing risk management are based on the core principles and guidance issued by international bodies. In fact, the sound practices for the management and supervision of operational risk published by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision include outsourcing risks among the new and growing risks threatening financial institutions².

These sound practices urge financial institutions to view the risks of outsourcing as a significant operational risk and therefore integrate these into their overall risk management policies. As well, the insurance core principles issued by the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS) consider the disclosure of information on outsourcing arrangements as critical³. The management of outsourcing risks is also one of the guiding principles adopted by the Joint Forum⁴.

-

An Act respecting insurance, R.S.Q., c. A-32, ss. 325.0.1 and 325.0.2.

An Act respecting financial services cooperatives, R.S.Q., c. C-67.3, s. 565.

An Act respecting trust companies and savings companies, R.S.Q., c. S-29.01, s. 314.1.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, Bank for International Settlements, Sound Practices for the Management and Supervision of Operational Risk, February 2003.

International Association of Insurance Supervisors, Insurance Core Principles and Methodology, October 2003.

⁴ Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, Joint Forum, Outsourcing in Financial Services, February 2005.

Scope

This outsourcing risk guideline is intended for insurers of persons (life and health), damage insurers, portfolio management companies controlled by an insurer, guarantee funds, mutual insurance associations, financial services cooperatives as well as trust and savings companies, which are governed by the following Acts:

- Act respecting insurance, R.S.Q., c. A-32;
- Act respecting financial services cooperatives, R.S.Q., c. C- 67.3;
- Act respecting trust companies and savings companies, R.S.Q., c. S-29.01.

This guideline applies to financial institutions operating independently as well as to financial institutions operating as members of a financial group⁵.

For the purposes of this guideline, services rendered between the entities of a "network," defined herein as comprising credit unions, their federation, a guarantee fund and a financial services cooperative that acts as treasurer, are not considered outsourcing activities.

Services provided by a federation and a guarantee fund to mutual insurance associations are also not captured by this guideline.

The principles of sound outsourcing risk management proposed in this guideline apply to material outsourcing arrangements. Any outsourcing arrangement that may have a major impact on an institution's financial condition, its operations and, ultimately, its reputation is therefore considered to be material. The materiality of any outsourcing arrangement must be assessed according to the factors presented under section 2 of this guideline.

Finally, in this document, the generic terms "financial institution" and "institution" refer to all financial entities covered by the scope of this guideline.

For purposes of this guideline, "financial group" refers to any group of legal persons composed of a parent company (financial institution or holding company) and legal persons affiliated therewith.

Coming into effect and updating

This outsourcing risk guideline will come into effect on April 1st, 2009. The table in Appendix 4, which was added to the June 2010 update, will be effective at the publication date. The transition period will be taking place from this date up to April 1st, 2011.

With respect to the legal requirement of institutions to follow sound and prudent management practices, the AMF expects each institution to develop strategies, policies and procedures based on its nature, size, complexity and risk profile, to ensure the adoption of the principles underlying this guideline by April 1st, 2011. The guideline will apply to all material outsourcing arrangements entered into after that date.

Where an institution has already implemented such a framework, the AMF may verify whether it enables the institution to satisfy the requirements prescribed by law.

Financial institutions will have until April 1st, 2016 to meet the provisions of this guideline for material outsourcing arrangements that have not been reviewed, renewed or extended.

This guideline will be updated based on developments in outsourcing risk management and in light of the AMF's observations in the course of its supervision of financial institutions.

1. Risks related to outsourcing activities

For the purposes of this guideline, outsourcing is defined as delegating to a service provider, over a defined period, the performance and management of a function, activity or process that is or could be undertaken by the financial institution itself.⁶

A service provider is an entity that offers outsourcing services to a financial institution for a consideration. The service provider may belong to the same financial group. In this regard, "members of the same financial group" include the financial institution's subsidiaries, the financial institution's direct or indirect controlling entity and subsidiaries of the controlling entity.

For purposes of this guideline and barring a specific legislative provision to the contrary, all outsourcing arrangements entered into with a service provider acting outside Canada are considered to be off shoring.

The term "outsourcing" includes the notion of contracting out⁷ and therefore incorporates a wider range of delegated relationships.

In this guideline, the generic terms "outsourcing" and "outsourced activity" refer to the "outsourcing of a function, activity or process."

2. Factors for determining materiality

The AMF expects the financial institution to identify those factors used to determine materiality for assessing outsourcing arrangements on the basis of its risk profile as well as the type and size of its activities.

The financial institution should assess the importance of its various outsourcing arrangements in order to determine which arrangements are considered material and therefore covered by this guideline. This assessment can be based on the following materiality factors:

- impact of a deficiency in the outsourced activity on the institution's financial condition, operations or reputation;
- cost of the outsourcing arrangement compared with the overall expenses assumed by the institution;
- scope of the outsourced activity (as regards, for example, its contribution to revenues and profits);

Appendix 1 presents examples of outsourcing arrangements.

Contracting out consists in delegating an activity and its management to an outside service provider. Contracting out is characterized by three key elements:

[•] the service provider is not a member of the financial group (outside service provider);

[•] the activity contracted out frequently includes a transfer of assets for the duration of the arrangement;

[•] a medium- to long-term relationship exists between the financial institution that contracts out and the selected service provider.

- degree of difficulty and time needed to replace the service provider or undertake the outsourced activity internally;
- institution's ability to comply with regulatory requirements when faced with issues related to the outsourced activity;
- risk of concentration where the financial institution outsources several activities to a single provider.

A financial institution should consider all and substantially all outsourcing arrangements involving oversight functions as being material and thus subject to the provisions of this guideline. The following oversight functions are contemplated:

- financial analysis;
- compliance;
- internal auditing;
- risk management.

Moreover, a financial institution should pay special attention to outsourcing arrangements covering significant activities that have a major impact on the institution's finances, operations and, ultimately, its reputation.

Similarly, the financial institution should also focus on outsourcing arrangements entered into with a service provider operating outside of Canada.

The financial institution should review the materiality of its outsourcing arrangements on a regular basis, or where these arrangements are renewed or renegotiated.

For its part, the AMF could require a financial institution to consider an agreement as being material in light of the AMF's broad overview as part of its supervisory activities. For example, if the AMF believes that a systemic risk could arise where several financial institutions outsource to a single service provider (common industry service provider), it could ask a financial institution to consider such arrangements to be material.

3. Sound and prudent management of outsourcing risks

The AMF believes that financial institutions remain responsible for the compliance of outsourcing arrangements with the legal and regulatory requirements applicable to outsourced activities even where the execution and management of these activities are ensured by service providers.

Thus, the AMF expects the financial institution to adopt a policy and procedures for managing and monitoring its outsourcing arrangements and the related risks. The principles governing the sound management of risks related to outsourcing activities proposed by the AMF apply to material arrangements and are intended to help financial institutions mitigate their exposure to these risks. These principles are grouped together under three major axes:

Governance of outsourcing arrangements

A financial institution should provide the governance structures needed to manage and supervise the risks related to its outsourcing activities;

Management of outsourcing activities

Before entering into an outsourcing arrangement, a financial institution should assess the expediency of outsourcing and verify the service provider's capabilities. All arrangements entered into must be documented and include terms for monitoring and controlling the outsourcing;

Management of outsourcing risks

A financial institution should have a policy and procedures for managing the risks related to its outsourcing activities. The policy and procedures should allow the financial institution, in particular, to identify, measure, mitigate and control those risks. The financial institution should ensure the continuity of the outsourced activities.

4. Governance of outsourcing arrangements

Principle 1: Responsibilities of senior management and board of directors

The AMF believes that the management, monitoring and control of outsourcing risks should be supported by a reliable governance structure. The AMF expects that the management, monitoring and control of outsourcing risks are supported by a reliable governance structure. A financial institution's board of directors⁸ and senior management are ultimately responsible for managing and monitoring outsourcing risks.

The Governance Guideline⁹ sets out sound management principles that a financial institution should consider with respect to outsourcing risks.

The AMF expects the roles and responsibilities for managing these risks to be defined, documented and incorporated in the financial institution's overall risk management strategy¹⁰.

Within the specific context of managing outsourcing risks, senior management and the board of directors are assigned the following main responsibilities in particular:

- the board of directors should establish appropriate levels of outsourcing authorization, based on the materiality of arrangements and the type of risks they may represent;
- senior management should approve the factors for determining materiality and designate persons to assess the importance of the financial institution's outsourcing arrangements.

The number of designated persons in charge should depend on the financial institution's size and the materiality of the activities to be outsourced. In certain cases, a single person in charge of outsourcing could be sufficient. However, it would not be necessary to appoint such a person where laws provide for structures or committees that can assume such a task.

.

A reference to the board of directors can also include a board committee, such as a board committee established to examine specific issues.

⁹ Autorité des marchés financiers, Governance Guideline, April 2009.

Autorité des marchés financiers, Integrated Risk Management Guideline, April 2009.

5. Management of outsourcing activities

Principle 2: Assessing the expediency of outsourcing

The AMF expects financial institutions to conduct a preliminary assessment of the expediency of outsourcing to determine the scope of the risks involved.

The reasons for relying on outsourcing and the related strategic orientation must be clearly defined at the start of the exercise.

The assessment of the expediency of outsourcing should be as comprehensive as possible. It should delineate the activity to be outsourced and help determine the scope of the initiative¹¹.

At this point, it is also critical to identify and assess the potential risks related to outsourcing.

This assessment should be detailed in a written report to be submitted to senior management. The latter should ensure that all required authorizations have been obtained.

Principle 3: Due diligence of ability of service providers

The AMF expects all financial institutions to determine a service provider's reputation as well as the financial and operational elements indicative of its ability to provide quality service.

Prior to entering into an arrangement with a service provider, comprehensive background checks should be conducted with respect to its ability based on recent information¹². A financial institution may rely on a recent background check of the service provider's ability carried out by a member of the same financial group as the financial institution. These background checks should be documented. The service provider's ability to perform should be reviewed regularly as part of the overall assessment process. However, the extent of these checks may be modified commensurate with the risks the provider represents.

The service provider's ability should also be updated whenever the outsourcing arrangement is renegotiated or renewed.

If a financial institution is considering an off shoring arrangement, a background check of a service provider's ability should take into account the political, economic and social context of the country in which the activity will be carried out.

1

¹¹ Appendix 2 presents examples of outsourcing arrangements.

¹² Appendix 3 <u>presents examples of due diligence of service providers</u>.

Principle 4: Documenting outsourcing arrangements

The AMF expects financial institutions to document their outsourcing arrangements through written service agreements incorporating the conditions governing the relations, functions, obligations and responsibilities of the parties to the contract.

The degree to which a service agreement is detailed will depend on the type and importance of the outsourcing arrangement. However, the outsourcing contract should include the strategies adopted by the financial institution and involving the service provider for mitigating exposure to risk. Moreover, should the service provider in turn rely on outsourcing, the financial institution should ensure that the outsourcing contract sets out the service provider's responsibilities in this regard.

To facilitate access to information and documentation, the outsourcing contract should contain a clause under which the financial institution may request additional information on the outsourcing arrangement from the service provider.

Principle 5: Monitoring of outsourcing arrangements

The AMF expects financial institutions to monitor their outsourcing arrangements, by adequately managing relationships with service providers so as to ensure that the latter fulfill all contract conditions.

The monitoring of outsourcing arrangements should, in particular, allow for:

- updating a centralized list of material outsourcing arrangements.¹³ however, sound outsourcing practices favour the maintenance of a complete list of outsourcing arrangements (material and less so);
- regularly assessing the performance of service providers. Performance indicators, both qualitative and quantitative, should be clearly defined under the outsourcing contract (including explanations of indicators, calculation methods and communication frequency);
- regularly assessing the service provider's financial capacity and operational ability;
- co-operating with service providers (such as frequent meetings) to ensure that performance levels are met:

Appendix 4 presents an example of a centralized list of material outsourcing arrangements.

- following up on the achievement of outsourcing arrangement objectives set by the financial institution (such as cost reduction);
- ensuring that the risks related to the financial institution's outsourcing arrangements do not hinder its ability to honour client commitments or comply with laws and regulations.

Principle 6: Material intra-group outsourcing arrangements

The AMF expects financial institutions to adequately manage the risks related to outsourcing arrangements entered into with members of the same financial group.

The principles set out in this guideline also apply to outsourcing arrangements entered into with members of the same financial group. However, risk management related to these arrangements may be specified in a policy and procedures implemented at the group level. In such a case, the financial institution should ensure that this policy and those procedures adequately cover the risks arising from these arrangements.

Thus, when a financial institution enters into material outsourcing arrangements with members of the same financial group, the AMF expects the financial institution to:

- document the outsourcing arrangements in order to clarify their scope and the responsibilities of the service providers (in this case, members of the same financial group);
- verify the service provider's ability to provide quality service. In such a case, checks can be more cursory than those for outside service providers;
- ensure that the outsourcing arrangements entered into with members of the same financial group do not hinder the financial institution's ability to honour client commitments or comply with laws and regulations;
- establish a business continuity plan.

The AMF could have other expectations with respect to certain intra-group outsourcing arrangements subsequent to its supervisory activities.

6. Management of outsourcing risks

Principle 7: Inventory and assessment of outsourcing risks

The AMF expects financial institutions to identify the various risks related to outsourcing arrangements in order to be able to adequately assess and manage them.

Outsourcing can generate financial risks as well as strategic risks, operational risks, legal risks, and risks related to the financial institution's reputation and level of concentration, all of which must be identified.

The AMF expects financial institutions to develop a methodology for assessing their exposure to risk, based on their respective size and risk profile as well as the type of outsourcing arrangement involved. A financial institution should therefore assess its exposure to risks related to its outsourcing arrangements in light of the foregoing. This assessment should enable it to determine the risk exposure associated with each of its outsourcing arrangements and to ascertain its aggregate exposure to outsourcing risks. The assessments must be performed on a regular basis¹⁴.

Assessing risk exposure related to outsourcing should be a key factor in deciding whether or not to draw on outsourcing. These assessments should also be used to review the terms of outsourcing contracts at the time of the renewal or extension of outsourcing arrangements.

Principle 8: Management of business continuity

The AMF expects financial institutions to ensure that their reliance on service providers is not detrimental to their management of business continuity.

A financial institution's management of business continuity can be hindered by the occurrence of an incident subsequent to the termination of an outsourcing arrangement or the inability of a service provider to honour its commitments or the voluntary decision to bring the outsourced activity in-house. In this regard, the financial institution should assess the impact of its reliance on service providers on its business continuity and take any necessary corrective measures.

The management of business continuity, whether as it relates to the financial institution itself or service providers, should be rigorously structured so as to ensure an optimal degree of preparedness. Management of business continuity hinges on the preparation and documentation of a business continuity plan. It also includes an assessment of the reliability of a business continuity plan, crisis management and the updating of the business continuity program.

Appendix 5 presents examples of methodology for assessing exposure to outsourcing risks.

Should negative consequences related to outsourcing arrangements take place, the AMF expects financial institutions to set up the measures required to resume normal operations as expeditiously as possible and thereby prevent such a similar event from reoccurring. In this regard, the financial institution should, in particular:

- assess the extent of the consequences (such as costs) and their scope (impact on operations, reputation, financial strength, etc.);
- prepare an inventory of the risk factors causing these consequences;
- determine the necessary corrective measures (such as change of service provider),
 based on the seriousness of the situation and the negative consequences;
- enter these elements in the report on the management and monitoring of outsourcing arrangements.

Senior management and the board of directors should be notified as quickly as possible of the occurrence of a negative consequence having a material impact on the institution.

Supervision of sound and prudent management practices

In fostering the establishment of sound and prudent management practices within financial institutions, the AMF, as part of its supervisory activities, intends to assess the degree of compliance with the principles set forth in this guideline in light of the specific attributes of each institution. Consequently, it will examine the effectiveness and relevance of the strategies, policies and procedures adopted by financial institutions as well as the quality of supervision and control exercised by their boards of directors and senior management.

Given that outsourcing risk management practices are constantly evolving, the AMF expects decision makers at financial institutions to be aware of best practices to remain current with best practices and to adopt them, to the extent that they address their needs.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Examples of outsourcing arrangements

The outsourcing arrangements covered by this guideline may involve the following areas:

- information technology (e.g., data entry and processing);
- document processing (e.g., cheques, credit cards);
- administration of insurance policies;
- administration of claims;
- administration of loans;
- management of investments (e.g., portfolio management);
- marketing (e.g., call centres, telemarketing);
- research (e.g., product development);
- back office management (e.g., payroll processing);
- property management;
- professional services related to the business activities of the financial institution (e.g., internal audits, actuarial services, accounting);
- human resources:
- safekeeping of securities;
- wealth management.

However, the guideline does not apply to the following:

- agreement entered into with the external auditor, unless it is reasonable to conclude that the results of the service will not be subject to audit procedures during an audit of the institution's financial statements;
- courier services, regular mail, utilities, telephone;
- procurement of specialized training;
- discrete advisory services (e.g., legal services, certain investment advisory services that do not result directly in investment decisions, independent appraisals, trustees in bankruptcy);

- purchase of goods, wares, commercially available software and other commodities;
- independent audit reviews;
- credit background and background investigation and information services;
- market information services (e.g., Bloomberg, Moody's);
- independent consulting;
- services the financial institution is not legally able to provide;
- printing services;
- repair and maintenance of fixed assets;
- supply and service of leased telecommunication equipment;
- travel agency and transportation services;
- correspondent banking services;
- maintenance and support of licensed software;
- temporary help and contract personnel;
- fleet leasing services;
- specialized recruitment;
- external conferences;
- clearing and settlement arrangements between members or participants of recognized clearing and settlement systems;
- sales of insurance policies by agents or brokers;
- ceded insurance and reinsurance ceded;
- syndication of loans.

Appendix 2: Examples of assessments of outsourcing arrangements

The assessment of an outsourcing arrangement should take into account the following elements, in particular:

- identification of the type of activity to outsource (strategic or support activity);
- analysis of the cost of the activity to outsource;
- identification of current procedures;
- description of the activity to outsource (number of employees, their functions, etc.);
- description of the relationships or interactions of the activity to outsource with other activities carried out by the financial institution;
- inventory of assets held or leased with regard to the activity to outsource;
- overview of how the competition handles the activity to outsource;
- identification of the strategic medium- and long-term orientations of the activity to outsource;
- identification of obstacles to outsourcing (regulatory requirements, technological hurdles, financial considerations, etc.);
- ability of the financial institution to return the outsourced activity internally.

Appendix 3: Examples of due diligence of service providers

When selecting a service provider that will be able to ensure quality service or when regularly reviewing a provider's ability to continue to adequately meet its commitments, the following information should be considered:

- **Reputation**: What reputation does the service provider have in the industry? Are there any complaints regarding the service provider? Has the service provider ever violated any laws or been involved in disputes in the performance of its activities? Are the service provider's reputation and corporate culture compatible with those of the financial institution?
- **History**: How long has the service provider been in business? Have any events had an impact on its experience? Has the service provider ever had to interrupt its activities due to a system deficiency or outside event? If so, was the service provider able to adequately manage business continuity subsequent to the event?
- **Financial capacity**: Is the service provider financially stable? What were the service provider's financial results in the past few years (analysis of financial statements)? What are the service provider's forecasts for the next few years?
- Organization: Where is the service provider's head office located? Where are the main
 points of service located from which the outsourced activity will be performed (if
 different)? Is the location of the resources (assets, employees and technologies)
 necessary for the performance of the outsourced activity suitable to the financial
 institution?
- **Partners and subcontractors**: Does the service provider rely on subcontracting? Who are the subcontractors? What type of relationships (nature and quality) does the service provider have with subcontractors and business partners?
- **Competence**: What is the degree of relevant experience and expertise with respect to the outsourced activity and with respect to managing outsourcing relations?

Appendix 4: Example of a centralized list of material outsourcing arrangements

Area of the arrangement	Contracting date	Expiry date or renewal	Name of the service provider	The country of the service provider	The estimated value of the outsourcing arrangement
					(Canadian dollars)

Appendix 5: Examples of methodology for assessing exposure to outsourcing risks

Exposure to risk resulting from an outsourcing arrangement depends on two critical factors: the severity of the impacts of potential negative consequences of the outsourcing arrangement and the probability that each of these consequences will occur. Negative consequences are associated with risk factors that characterize each outsourcing arrangement. Assessing the risk exposure in an outsourcing arrangement consists in determining the value of each of these risk factors, identifying the related negative consequences and estimating the scope of the impact of each negative consequence.

Risk Factors	Negative Consequences
 Risk factors related to financial institution Degree of relevant outsourcing experience and expertise Degree of experience and expertise in managing outsourcing relations Accuracy of cost estimates Risk factors related to service provider Size of the service provider Financial capacity Degree of relevant outsourcing experience and expertise Degree of experience and expertise in managing outsourcing relations Risk factors related to outsourcing Type and scope of the outsourced activity Degree to which the outsourced activity is linked to other activities Limited knowledge of outsourced activity Scarcity of service providers Changing regulations Risk factors related to information technology Technological discontinuity Technology transfer 	 Unexpected transition and management expenses Costly amendments to agreements Litigation due to differing interpretations of contract clauses Difficulty in renegotiating agreements High costs of renewing agreements Lower service quality Increase in the cost of service delivery Loss of competence vis-à-vis the outsourced activity Loss of innovative ability Loss of co-ordination ability Loss of control over outsourced activity Loss of legitimacy Disruption within organization