

August 5, 2014

To:

British Columbia Securities Commission

Alberta Securities Commission

Financial and Consumer Affairs Authority (Saskatchewan)

Manitoba Securities Commission

Ontario Securities Commission

Autorité des marchés financiers

Financial and Condumer Services Commission (New Brunswick)

Superintendent of Securities, Department of Justice and Public Safety, Prince Edward Island

Nova Scotia Securities Commission

Securities Commission of Newfoundland and Labrador

Superintendent of Securities, Northwest Territories

Superintendent of Securities, Yukon

Superintendent of Securities, Nunavut

C/o:

Larissa Streu

Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance

British Columbia Securities Commission

P.O. Box 10142, Pacific Centre

701 West Georgia Street

Vancouver, British Columbia V7Y 1L2

Fax: (604) 899-6581

Email: lstreu@bcsc.bc.ca

Anne-Marie Beaudoin

Corporate Secretary

Autorité des marchés financiers

800, square Victoria, 22e étage

C.P. 246, tour de la Bourse

Montréal, QC H4Z 1G3

Fax: (514) 864-6381

ux. (311) 001 001

Email: consultation-en-cours@lautorite.qc.ca

Re: Amendments to 51-102 Continuous Disclosure Obligations

Dear Sirs,



Further to your request for comments dated May 22, 2014, below is my thoughts on your questions.

I would like to thank you for your efforts to help junior companies provide more relevant and simplified disclosure.

It makes sense to allow junior issuers to provide quarterly highlights as this provides the key information shareholders are looking for and would be easier for them to read with less boilerplate. The significant revenue test is a reasonable one.

The executive compensation disclosure for venture issuers should only be required to be included in the information circular filed for the company's AGM, and there is no need to be within 180 days of year end. As related party disclosure is included in quarterly reports and predominantly consists of stock option grants, once a year disclosure is sufficient.

As far as it relates to BAR's they are a waste of time and effort as the information is predominantly included in the other disclosure documents and adds little to no value, but significant costs. Why do you need a set of financial statements when by your definition they would not be included in a full true and plain disclosure document?

The venture audit committees should have a majority of independent members. The possible exceptions as per NI 52-110 section 3.2-3.9 make sense.

On other changes proposed the table of Director and NEO's in 2.3(4) I think the table should remove date of exercise and price on the date and just allow an aggregate number for the year including gross value realized. If an investor wants to research dates etc. they can go to the SEDI filings.

The disclosure of perquisites as a separate line item seems frivolous and detailed disclosure should only have to be made if it exceeds a certain threshold such as \$5,000.

Sincerely,

Gordon Keep

CEO

Fiore Management & Advisory Corp.