

Notice and Request for Comment

Draft Regulation to amend Regulation 41-101 respecting General Prospectus Requirements

Draft Amendments to Policy Statement to Regulation 41-101 respecting General Prospectus Requirements

Draft Regulation to amend Regulation 44-101 respecting Short Form Prospectus Distributions

Draft Amendments to Policy Statement to Regulation 44-101 respecting Short Form Prospectus Distributions

Draft Regulation to amend Regulation 44-102 respecting Shelf Distributions

Draft Amendments to Policy Statement to Regulation 44-102 respecting Shelf Distributions

Draft Regulation to amend Regulation 81-101 respecting Mutual Fund Prospectus Disclosure

Draft related amendments

July 15, 2011

Introduction

We, the Canadian Securities Administrators (CSA), are publishing for a 90-day comment period draft amendments to:

- *Regulation 41-101 respecting General Prospectus Requirements* (Regulation 41-101);
- *Policy Statement to Regulation 41-101 respecting General Prospectus Requirements* (Policy Statement 41-101);
- *Regulation 44-101 respecting Short Form Prospectus Distributions* (Regulation 44-101);
- *Policy Statement to Regulation 44-101 respecting Short Form Prospectus Distributions* (Policy Statement 44-101);
- *Regulation 44-102 respecting Shelf Distributions* (Regulation 44-102);
- *Policy Statement to Regulation 44-102 respecting Shelf Distributions* (Policy Statement 44-102); and
- *Regulation 81-101 respecting Mutual Fund Prospectus Disclosure* (Regulation 81-101).

We are also publishing draft consequential amendments to:

- *Regulation 52-107 respecting Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards* (Regulation 52-107);
- *Policy Statement to Regulation 52-107 respecting Acceptable Accounting Principles and Auditing Standards* (Policy Statement 52-107);

- *Regulation 51-102 respecting Continuous Disclosure Obligations* (Regulation 51-102); and
- *Regulation 13-101 respecting System for Electronic Document and Analysis Retrieval* (Regulation 13-101).

The references above to a Regulation include its form(s).

The draft amendments to Regulation 41-101, Policy Statement 41-101, Regulation 44-101, Policy Statement 44-101, Regulation 44-102, Policy Statement 44-102 and Regulation 81-101 are collectively referred to in this notice as the “Draft Amendments”.

Proposed Text

The text of the Draft Amendments is published with this notice.

We invite comment on the Draft Amendments.

Background

Regulation 41-101 provides a comprehensive set of prospectus requirements for issuers. Regulation 44-101 sets out requirements for an issuer intending to file a prospectus in the form of a short form prospectus. Regulation 44-102 sets out requirements for a distribution under a short form prospectus using shelf procedures. Regulation 81-101 sets out requirements for an issuer that is a mutual fund to file a simplified prospectus, annual information form and fund facts document. Regulation 41-101, Regulation 44-101, Regulation 44-102 and Regulation 81-101 are collectively referred to in this notice as the “Prospectus Regulations”.

Purpose of the Draft Amendments

The primary purpose of the Draft Amendments is to amend the Prospectus Regulations and their related policy statements to address user experience and the CSA’s experience with the Prospectus Regulations since the implementation of the general prospectus rule, Regulation 41-101, on March 17, 2008. As part of a post-adoption process following implementation of Regulation 41-101, the CSA has tracked issues that have arisen in connection with Regulation 41-101 and other Prospectus Regulations and has developed amendments to address those issues where warranted.

The Draft Amendments to the Prospectus Regulations are intended to:

- clarify certain provisions of the Prospectus Regulations;
- address significant identified gaps in the Prospectus Regulations;
- modify certain requirements in the Prospectus Regulations to enhance their effectiveness;
- remove or streamline certain requirements in the Prospectus Regulations that are burdensome for issuers and of limited utility for investors or securityholders; and
- codify prospectus relief that has been granted in the past.

Summary of Key Draft Amendments

This section describes the key Draft Amendments. It is not a complete list of all the Draft Amendments.

Certain key Draft Amendments apply to all issuers other than investment funds. These are described below in Part I under sections (a) through (k). Other key Draft

Amendments apply specifically to investment funds. These are described below in Part II under sections (l) through (s).

Part I - Key Draft Amendments Generally Applicable to Issuers

(a) No Minimum Offering Amount

In the course of conducting prospectus reviews, the CSA identified concerns with certain best effort offerings that were not subject to a minimum offering amount by issuers that:

- faced significant short-term non-discretionary expenditures or significant short-term capital or contractual commitments, and
- did not appear to have other readily accessible resources to satisfy those expenditures or commitments.

While an issuer may not propose to provide a minimum offering amount, the CSA has determined that additional disclosure is warranted in such cases. The CSA therefore proposes enhanced requirements in connection with the issuer's use of proceeds, as set out in proposed new subsections 6.3(3) and (4) of Form 41-101F1 *Information Required in a Prospectus (Form 41-101F1)* and equivalent new subsections 4.2(3) and (4) of Form 44-101F1 *Short Form Prospectus (Form 44-101F1)*. However, regulators may still expect an issuer to provide a minimum offering amount in certain circumstances depending on the severity of the issuer's financial situation, results of the regulator's review and the application of receipt refusal provisions under securities law. This is clarified in a Draft Amendment to section 2.2.1 of Policy Statement 41-101.

(b) Personal Information Form Reforms

In order to help regulators determine the suitability of directors and executive officers of an issuer filing a prospectus, the CSA introduced a detailed personal information form (**PIF**) for directors and executive officers in 2008. Since that time, we have identified a number of issues with the PIF filing requirement. For instance, under the current rules, an issuer is not required to submit a new PIF for an individual even if a number of years has passed since the filing of the previous PIF, nor is an issuer required to confirm that the previously filed PIF is still correct. Additionally, the rules do not permit us to accept the PIF that a different issuer may have filed for the same individual.

The CSA therefore proposes the following changes to the PIF:

1. We propose to define a "personal information form" in Regulation 41-101 to formally include a TSX or TSX Venture Exchange PIF, provided that an Regulation 41-101 certificate and consent is appended to it and the information contained in the PIF continues to be correct at the time that the Regulation 41-101 certificate and consent is executed.
2. We propose to require that an issuer file a PIF with the regulator for an individual (i.e. director, executive officer, etc. as prescribed under subparagraph 9.1(b)(ii) of Regulation 41-101) at the time of each prospectus filing.
3. We propose to exempt the issuer from the requirement described in paragraph 2 above if, at the time of the prospectus filing:
 - (a) an issuer filed a PIF of that individual with the regulator within the past 3 years;
 - (b) the responses of that individual to certain key questions in his or her PIF (questions 4(b) and (c) and questions 6 through 9 of the current PIF and questions 6 through 10 of the proposed amended PIF) have not changed; and

(c) a certificate is filed by the issuer identifying the previous PIF filing (by either appending the previously filed PIF to the certificate or providing certain information) and giving the confirmation in paragraph (b) above.

4. We propose to make minor amendments to the PIF to remove certain personal questions that are of limited utility and to align with the TSX and TSX Venture Exchange PIFs.

(c) Contractual Rights of Rescission

The CSA has identified an investor protection concern that arises where the distribution of a convertible, exchangeable or exercisable security is qualified under a prospectus and the subsequent conversion, exchange or exercise is made on a prospectus-exempt basis within a short period of time following the purchase of the original security under the prospectus. Under provincial securities legislation in effect in most provinces, the purchaser does not have a right of rescission in respect of the underlying security.

For this reason, we propose to modify the guidance in section 2.9 of Policy Statement 41-101 to clarify that in certain circumstances, the issuer should provide the purchaser with a contractual right of rescission in respect of the issuance of the underlying security where the conversion, exchange or exercise of the security could occur within a short period of time (generally within 180 days) of the purchase of the security under the prospectus.

(d) Interaction of Items 32 and 35 in Form 41-101F1: Significant Acquisitions that Are Also Acquisitions of a Primary Business or Predecessor Entity

A proposed or completed significant acquisition by an issuer filing a prospectus in the form of Form 41-101F1 may also constitute an acquisition of a primary business for the issuer or a predecessor entity of the issuer. For example, this is generally the case where the significance of the acquisition to the issuer exceeds 100%. In these circumstances, the issuer must include financial statements pursuant to Item 32 of Form 41-101F1 (by operation of section 32.1 of Form 41-101F1), rather than Item 35 of Form 41-101F1.

However, the interaction of Items 32 and 35 of Form 41-101F1 – both of which could apply to a significant acquisition by an issuer have been confusing to some users, particularly in the case of reporting issuers.

We have therefore clarified in both Items 32 and 35 of Form 41-101F1 that a non-reporting issuer or a shell reporting issuer that has carried out a significant acquisition that constitutes the acquisition of a primary business or predecessor entity of the issuer is required to disclose the financial statements under Item 32 and not under Item 35. The imposition of this clarifying provision regarding subsequent prospectus filings by shell reporting issuers does not represent a substantive new requirement because these issuers would generally have already had to report the significant acquisition in a previously filed information circular containing Item 32 prospectus-level disclosure for the significant acquisition.

The Draft Amendments also clarify the circumstances when an issuer must provide pro forma financial statements if it has made an acquisition that constitutes the acquisition of a primary business or predecessor entity of the issuer.

Pursuant to new proposed section 32.7 of Form 41-101F1, we will only require the pro forma financial statement disclosure to reflect the effect of a proposed or completed acquisition of a primary business or predecessor entity by an issuer if such pro forma statements are necessary for full, true and plain disclosure of all material facts relating to the securities being distributed.

(e) Exemption from Incorporation by Reference of Reports/Opinions Produced in Information Circular

The CSA proposes to codify relief we have granted to issuers allowing them to exclude from their prospectuses reports or opinions of experts that are incorporated by reference into the prospectus indirectly through the incorporation by reference of a special meeting information circular. These circulars generally relate to a restructuring transaction or other special business of the issuer where the issuer or its board of directors engaged an expert to provide advice that is specific to the business transacted at the special meeting.

For example, a board may retain a firm to provide a fairness opinion to assist the board in determining whether to recommend that a proposed transaction be approved by the issuer's shareholders. Similarly, an issuer may include a tax opinion that is specific to the proposed transaction. Given the limited purpose and nature of the expert's engagement, the CSA has determined that in some cases it is not necessary to incorporate by reference those types of reports or opinions that are specific in nature and scope. This proposed exemption is set out in proposed new subsection 11.1(3) of Form 44-101F1.

(f) Prior Sales and Trading Price and Volume Disclosure

The CSA proposes to modify the prospectus disclosure relating to prior sales information and trading price and volume information contained in Item 13 of Form 41-101F1 and Item 7A of Form 44-101F1 as follows:

- clarify that if an issuer is distributing a series of debt under the prospectus, it must provide prior sales and trading price and volume disclosure in respect of that series of debt; and
- streamline the prior sales and trading price and volume disclosure so that it only applies to the class or series of securities that is being distributed under the prospectus, as that information is the most relevant to the investor purchasing that security.

(g) Non-Issuer's Submission to the Jurisdiction and Appointment of Agent for Service

We propose to amend the requirement to file a non-issuer's submission to the jurisdiction and appointment of an agent for service contained in subparagraph 9.2(a)(vii) of Regulation 41-101, Appendix C of Regulation 41-101 and subparagraph 4.2(a)(vi) of Regulation 44-101. Under the current requirement in subparagraph 9.2(a)(vii) of Regulation 41-101, a person residing outside Canada that is required to sign or provide a certificate must submit to our jurisdiction and appoint an agent in Canada.

We propose to expand the existing requirement to all foreign directors of the issuer, as all directors are liable in our statutory liability regime for misrepresentations contained in the prospectus. The proposed amendments will be made to subparagraph 9.2(a)(vii) of Regulation 41-101 and subparagraph 4.2(a)(vi) of Regulation 44-101.

We also propose amendments to clarify the related disclosure on enforceability of judgments against foreign persons in sections 1.12 of Form 41-101F1 and 1.11 of Form 44-101F1 accordingly.

Potential further extension of filing requirement to foreign experts

CSA staff are also considering, as part of the Draft Amendments, to further extend the requirement to file a non-issuer's submission to the jurisdiction and appointment of an agent for service form to all foreign experts (such as, for example, "qualified persons" or auditors) who have consented to the disclosure in a prospectus of information from a report, opinion or statement made by them. These persons are liable under our statutory liability

regime for misrepresentations in the prospectus that are derived from report, opinion or statement.

In order to effect this potential amendment subparagraph 9.2(a)(vii) of Regulation 41-101 would be amended to include “each person required to file a consent under section 10.1” and section 1.12 of Form 41-101F1 would be amended to encompass “a person who is required to file a consent under section 10.1 of the Regulation”. Corresponding changes would also be made to Regulation 44-101 and Form 44-101F1.

We are interested in your comments on this potential change. Please refer to the “Comments” section of this Notice for our specific questions on the potential extension of the non-issuer’s submission to the jurisdiction and appointment of an agent for service form filing requirement to all foreign experts. Upon consideration of public comments, CSA staff may determine to implement this change as part of the Draft Amendments.

(h) Successor Issuer

Based on our prospectus reviews, we have reconsidered the successor issuer criteria for purposes of short-form eligibility. In the Draft Amendments we have modified the successor issuer definition to address areas where further clarification was required, including:

- in circumstances where the successor issuer acquired a business from a predecessor that represented less than all of the predecessor’s business, we have clarified that substantially all of the business must have been divested by the predecessor to the successor in order for the issuer to be considered a successor issuer. This amendment is intended to ensure that an issuer will only be considered a successor issuer (and thereby become short-form eligible despite its fairly recent status as a reporting issuer) if the historical financial statements of its predecessor are a relevant, accurate proxy for the successor issuer’s financial statements; and
- we have clarified that a successor issuer can include a reverse takeover (**RTO**) acquiree, i.e. an issuer can be a successor to itself.

We have also expanded the application of section 2.7 of Regulation 44-101 to permit a capital pool company listed on the TSX Venture Exchange to be considered short-form eligible under this provision if it is a successor issuer and has filed a filing statement in connection with an RTO or a qualifying transaction.

(i) Primary Business Oil & Gas Exemption to Provide Operating Statements

We propose to extend the exemption available to oil and gas issuers carrying out acquisitions that would be considered acquisitions of a primary business or predecessor entity to rely on operating statements (in lieu of financial statements) when providing financial statement disclosure about the acquisition. This proposed exemption is found in new proposed section 32.9 of Form 41-101F1.

Also, based on prior requests for relief which we have granted, we have developed a provision to exempt an oil and gas issuer from having to provide an audited operating statement the third year back if a recent independent reserves evaluation (in the forms of Form 51-101F1 *Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information*, Form 51-101F2 *Report on Reserves Data by Independent Qualified Reserves Evaluator or Auditor* and Form 51-101F3 *Report of Management and Directors on Oil and Gas Disclosure*) has been prepared (and included in the prospectus) with an effective date within 6 months of the preliminary prospectus receipt date.

(j) Notice of Intention Exemption

Presently an issuer that is new to the short form prospectus regime must file a notice declaring its intention to be qualified to file a short form prospectus at least 10 business days prior to the filing of the preliminary short form prospectus. We propose to exempt a successor issuer from having to wait the 10 business day period to file its preliminary prospectus if its predecessor issuer previously filed the notice of intention. The successor issuer would still need to file the notice of intention either prior to or concurrently with the filing of the preliminary prospectus. We also propose a similar exemption for a credit support issuer which relies upon the continuous disclosure record of its credit supporter.

(k) Time to File Final Prospectus

Presently, pursuant to subsection 2.3(1) of Regulation 41-101, an issuer must file its final prospectus no later than 90 days after the date of the receipt of its preliminary prospectus. We propose to clarify that if an issuer files an amendment to a preliminary prospectus, the 90-day time period will recommence from the date of the receipt of the amendment to the preliminary prospectus. However, irrespective of the filing of one or more amendments to the preliminary prospectus, an issuer shall not be permitted to file the final prospectus more than 180 days after the date of the receipt for the preliminary prospectus.

Part II - Key Draft Amendments Applicable to Investment Funds**(l) Non-Canadian Investment Funds**

We propose to extend the existing disclosure requirement for foreign investment fund managers to foreign investment funds and any other non-Canadian entity required to provide a certificate under Part 5 of Regulation 41-101 or other securities legislation.

(m) Leverage Disclosure for Investment Funds

We propose to enhance the disclosure requirements relating to the use of leverage as an investment strategy in the prospectus summary and body of a prospectus in the form of Form 41-101F2 *Information Required in an Investment Fund Prospectus (Form 41-101F2)*. The enhanced disclosure requirements are intended to provide investors with a better understanding of how the investment fund intends to utilize leverage and the nature of the leverage that may be used by the investment fund.

We propose to modify the prospectus disclosure in paragraph 3.3(1)(e) of Form 41-101F2 and paragraph 6.1(1)(b) of Form 41-101F2 as follows:

- for leverage created through borrowing or the issuance of preferred securities, the investment fund must disclose the maximum amount of leverage it may use as a ratio of its maximum total assets divided by its net asset value; and
- if leverage is created through the use of specified derivatives or similar instruments, the investment fund must disclose the maximum amount of leverage the investment fund may use as a multiple of net assets and explain how the investment fund uses the term “leverage” and the significance of the maximum and minimum amounts of leverage to the investment fund.

An instruction in Form 41-101F2 states that for the purposes of the above disclosure requirements, the term “specified derivative” has the same meaning as in *Regulation 81-102 respecting Mutual Funds*.

(n) Investment Fund Trading Expense Ratio Disclosure

In addition to the current requirement to disclose an investment fund’s annual returns and management expense ratio for the past five years in subsection 3.6(4) of Form

41-101F2 and Item 11 of Form 41-101F2, we propose a requirement that the investment fund's trading expense ratio for the past five years be disclosed. An investment fund's trading expense ratio represents the total trading commissions and costs of the investment fund as a percentage of its net assets. This disclosure requirement will better enable investors to determine the full costs of owning an investment fund or compare the historical costs of different investment funds.

(o) Organization and Management Details of the Investment Fund

We propose to amend the current disclosure required by Item 19 of Form 41-101F2 relating to the organizational and management details of an investment fund to require the disclosure of the following additional information:

- an expanded requirement to disclose current or past bankruptcies of and cease trade orders against any issuer, as opposed to the current disclosure requirement that only applies to investment fund issuers, where the directors or executive officers of the investment fund or its investment fund manager were directors of or held specified executive positions with the issuer,
- enhanced disclosure of ownership interests in the investment fund and its investment fund manager for directors and executive officers of the investment fund and investment fund manager and members of the investment fund's independent review committee; and
- a new disclosure requirement relating to principal distributors of investment funds and a requirement that principal distributors of investment funds sign a prospectus certificate in the same form as the investment fund.

(p) Principal Securityholders

We propose to amend the disclosure of principal securityholders of the investment fund as required by subsection 28.1(1) of Form 41-101F2, to limit disclosure to circumstances where this information is known or ought to be known by the investment fund or its investment fund manager. This amendment will predominantly affect exchange traded funds in continuous distribution (**ETFs**), who may not be able to readily determine their beneficial owners. Disclosure of this information has less utility for ETFs because it would only reflect ownership at a moment in time and beneficial securityholders of ETFs may change very quickly. The amendment is also consistent with exemptive relief from certain takeover bid requirements that many ETFs have received.

(q) Mutual Fund Personal Information Form Reforms

We have drafted reforms to the PIF delivery requirements in Regulation 81-101 that correspond with the draft Regulation 41-101 reforms relating to the PIF. These amendments are intended to address the issues described above and conform the PIF delivery requirements for conventional mutual funds with those for other issuers.

(r) Documents Incorporated by Reference in a Mutual Fund Prospectus

We propose to amend section 3.1 of Regulation 81-101 to require the incorporation by reference, where a mutual fund has not yet filed interim or annual financial statements, of the audited balance sheet filed with the mutual fund's simplified prospectus. We also propose to require the incorporation by reference of a mutual fund's interim financial statements and interim management report of fund performance (**MRFP**), where the mutual fund has not yet filed its annual comparative financial statements and annual MRFP.

(s) Principal Distributor Certificate for Mutual Funds

We propose to amend the principal distributor certificate required by Form 81-101F2 *Contents of Annual Information Form* to require a principal distributor of a mutual fund to provide the same certificate as the mutual fund and the manager of the mutual fund.

Consequential Amendments**(a) Consequential Amendments to Regulation 52-107**

We propose amendments to Regulation 52-107 to ensure that the operating statements which a prospectus filer is permitted to provide under new proposed section 32.9 of Form 41-101F1 (described under section (i) in Part I of the **Summary of Key Draft Amendments** above) can benefit from the financial reporting framework available in Regulation 52-107 for oil and gas operating statements.

We also propose to repeal the financial reporting framework for carve-out financial statements presently found in subsection 3.11(6) of Regulation 52-107. As corroborated by external feedback, we do not feel it is necessary for the CSA to prescribe a separate financial reporting framework for carve-out financial statements. It is our view that auditors will generally be able to confirm that the carve-out financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards, and that instances in which this is not the case will be relatively rare.

(b) Consequential Amendments to Regulation 51-102

Presently an issuer is permitted to utilize operating statements, in lieu of financial statements, if it complies with the requirements of section 8.10 of Regulation 51-102. One requirement is that the acquisition must be an asset acquisition. We propose to expand this provision's application to a share acquisition in certain restricted circumstances. Specifically, the vendor must have transferred the applicable oil and gas assets to a corporation that will be considered the transferor of the transaction and was created for the sole purpose of facilitating the acquisition, and this transferor had no assets or operations other than those attributable to the transferred oil and gas assets. A parallel draft amendment is provided in section 32.9 of Form 41-101F1 for an acquisition that constitutes the acquisition of a primary business of the issuer.

(c) Consequential Amendments to Regulation 13-101

We propose amendments to Regulation 13-101 to update the terminology used for various types of prospectus forms referenced in Appendix A of Regulation 13-101. Certain of these references are out-of-date.

Anticipated Costs and Benefits

We are proposing the Draft Amendments to the Prospectus Regulations because of issues identified in prospectus reviews, applications for exemptive relief from prospectus requirements and recurring inquiries from prospectus filers or CSA staff concerning certain prospectus requirements.

The Draft Amendments are designed to enhance the effectiveness of the prospectus disclosure standards, clarify the requirements, address significant identified gaps, and modify or streamline requirements where warranted. The CSA anticipates that these modifications will ease the process and burden of prospectus disclosure for issuers while at the same time delivering effective, relevant and meaningful disclosure to investors.

Alternatives Considered

We considered maintaining the status quo. However, as discussed above, many of the Draft Amendments are intended to clarify the Prospectus Regulations or to modify or streamline Prospectus Rule requirements where warranted.

Therefore, to provide the appropriate degree of certainty, clarity and consistency among affected issuers, we considered it preferable to amend, replace and add provisions to the Prospectus Regulations and associated guidance.

Unpublished Materials

In developing the Draft Amendments to the Prospectus Regulations, we have not relied on any significant unpublished study, report, or other written materials.

Local Notices

Certain jurisdictions will publish other information required by local securities legislation with this notice.

Comments

We request your comments on the Draft Amendments to the Prospectus Regulations. In addition to any general comments you have, we also invite comments on the following specific topic:

Questions relating to Non-Issuer's Submission to the Jurisdiction and Appointment of Agent for Service

As described in paragraph (g) of the "Summary of Key Draft Amendments" section of this Notice, we are considering further extending the requirement to file a non-issuer's submission to the jurisdiction and appointment of an agent for service form to all foreign experts who have consented to the disclosure in a prospectus of information from a report, opinion or statement made by them.

We are interested in your general comments on this potential change. In particular, we welcome your comments on the following questions:

(a) Do you believe that it is appropriate to extend the requirement to file a non-issuer's submission to the jurisdiction and appointment of an agent for service form to foreign experts who have consented to the disclosure in a prospectus of information from a report, opinion or statement made by them given that these persons are liable under our statutory liability regime for misrepresentations in the prospectus that are derived from that report, opinion or statement? Why or why not?

(b) If foreign experts are required to file a non-issuers' submission to the jurisdiction and appointment of an agent for service form, do you anticipate that this obligation will impose any significant practical or financial burden on these experts or issuers? If so, please explain why. Would your response change if the form requirement for foreign experts only concerned either submission to the jurisdiction or an appointment of an agent for service?

Please provide your comments in writing by **October 14, 2011**. Regardless of whether you are sending your comments by email, you should also send or attach your submissions in an electronic file in Microsoft Word, Windows format.

Address your submissions to the following Canadian securities regulatory authorities:

British Columbia Securities Commission
 Alberta Securities Commission
 Saskatchewan Financial Services Commission
 Manitoba Securities Commission
 Ontario Securities Commission
 Autorité des marchés financiers
 Superintendent of Securities, Prince Edward Island
 Nova Scotia Securities Commission
 New Brunswick Securities Commission
 Securities Commission of Newfoundland and Labrador
 Superintendent of Securities, Yukon Territory
 Superintendent of Securities, Northwest Territories
 Superintendent of Securities, Nunavut

Deliver your comments **only** to the address that follows. Your comments will be distributed to the other participating CSA member jurisdictions.

Alex Poole
Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance

Alberta Securities Commission
 Suite 600, 250-5th Street SW
 Calgary, Alberta T2P 0R4
 Fax: 403-297-4482
 Email: alex.poole@asc.ca

Anne-Marie Beaudoin
Corporate Secretary

Autorité des marchés financiers
 800, square Victoria, 22^e étage
 C.P. 246, tour de la Bourse
 Montréal, Québec H4Z 1G3
 Fax: 514-864-6381
 Email: consultation-en-cours@lautorite.qc.ca

Please note that comments received will be made publicly available and posted at www.albertasecurities.com and the websites of certain other securities regulatory authorities. We cannot keep submissions confidential because securities legislation in certain provinces requires that a summary of the written comments received during the comment period be published.

Questions

A. Questions relating to Investment Funds

Certain Draft Amendments apply only to investment funds. These amendments are found in Form 41-101F2 *Information Required in an Investment Fund Prospectus* and Regulation 81-101 including Form 81-101F2 *Contents of Annual Information Form*. Also, the key Draft Amendments applicable to investment funds are described above under Part II of the **Summary of Key Draft Amendments**. If your questions relate to these Draft Amendments, please refer your questions to any of:

Chantal Leclerc
 Senior Policy Advisor
 Autorité des marchés financiers
 514-395-0337 ext. 4463
chantal.leclerc@lautorite.qc.ca

Anick Ouellette
Analyst, Investment Funds Branch
Autorité des marchés financiers
514-395-0337 ext. 4472
anick.ouellette@lautorite.qc.ca

Christopher Birchall
Senior Securities Analyst, Corporate Finance
British Columbia Securities Commission
604-899-6722
cbirchall@bcsc.bc.ca

Ian Kerr
Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance
Alberta Securities Commission
403-297-2659
ian.kerr@asc.ca

Bob Bouchard
Director
Manitoba Securities Commission
204-945-2555
bob.bouchard@gov.mb.ca

Ian Kearsey
Legal Counsel, Investment Funds Branch
Ontario Securities Commission
416-593-2169
ikeasey@osc.gov.on.ca

B. All Other Questions relating to the Draft Amendments

Certain Draft Amendments apply to issuers other than investment funds. These amendments are found in Regulation 41-101 including Form 41-101F1 *Information Required in a Prospectus*, Regulation 44-101 including Form 44-101F1 *Short Form Prospectus*, Regulation 44-102 and the Consequential Amendments to Regulation 52-107, Regulation 51-102 and Regulation 13-101. Also, the key Draft Amendments applicable to such issuers are described above under Part I of the **Summary of Key Draft Amendments**. If your questions relate to these Draft Amendments, please refer your questions to any of:

Chantal Leclerc
Senior Policy Advisor
Autorité des marchés financiers
514-395-0337 ext. 4463
chantal.leclerc@lautorite.qc.ca

Rosetta Gagliardi
Senior Policy Advisor
Autorité des marchés financiers
514-395-0337 ext. 4462
rosetta.gagliardi@lautorite.qc.ca

Natalie Brown
Senior Securities Analyst, Corporate finance
Autorité des marchés financiers
514-395-0337 ext. 4388
natalie.brown@lautorite.qc.ca

Larissa Streu
Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance
British Columbia Securities Commission
604-899-6888
lstreu@bcsc.bc.ca

Allan Lim
Manager, Corporate Finance
British Columbia Securities Commission
604-899-6780
alim@bcsc.bc.ca

Alex Poole
Senior Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance
Alberta Securities Commission
403-297-4482
alex.poole@asc.ca

Blaine Young
Associate Director, Corporate Finance
Alberta Securities Commission
403-297-4220
blaine.young@asc.ca

Cheryl McGillivray
Manager, Corporate Finance
Alberta Securities Commission
403-297-3307
cheryl.mcgillivray@asc.ca

Ian McIntosh
Deputy Director, Corporate Finance
Saskatchewan Financial Services Commission - Securities Division
306-787-5867
ian.mcintosh@gov.sk.ca

Bob Bouchard
Director
Manitoba Securities Commission
204-945-2555
bob.bouchard@gov.mb.ca

Matthew Au
Senior Accountant, Corporate Finance
Ontario Securities Commission
416-593-8132
mau@osc.gov.on.ca

Jason Koskela
Legal Counsel, Corporate Finance
Ontario Securities Commission
416-595-8922
jkoskela@osc.gov.on.ca

Kevin Redden
Director, Corporate Finance
Nova Scotia Securities Commission
902-424-5343
reddenkg@gov.ns.ca

Pierre Thibodeau
Senior Securities Analyst
New Brunswick Securities Commission
506-643-7751
pierre.thibodeau@nbsc-cvmnb.ca